

THE NASHVILLE PRESS, PUBLISHED WEEKLY. GRAVES, MARKS & CO., Publishers.

Baptist Principles, Polity and Practice.

Six Important Doctrines. The Lord, one Faith, one Immersion, Eph. 4: 5, 6. The profession of that one Faith in the baptism of the Lord, one Lord, one Saviour, one God, Col. 2: 10.

The Grace of God, the only foundation of Hope and Faith as Christ, the only means of justification. The Word of God, the scriptures and the Spirit of God, the Spirit of the Father, the Spirit of the Son, the Spirit of the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of the Lord, the Spirit of the Father, the Spirit of the Son, the Spirit of the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of the Lord.

I have never read Dr. Howell's book, but I have read the one before me. After making the Baptist denomination, generally, with a "Declaration of Sentiments" before me.

Dr. Summers thus caps the climax. "A large portion of the denomination, especially in the South, is made up of antinomian, anti-missionary Baptists, whose ignorance and immorality have been and still are, a disgrace to the Christian name. They are not grace, like their German brethren, but thousands of them are notorious drunkards."

The Doctor does not specify but one species of immorality in the foregoing extract, but he makes it plain enough on page 180, where he charges that "thousands of anti-baptists engrain on the doctrine of justification by faith. ALL THE ANTI-BAPTISTS OF ANTI-NOMINIAN LICENSEDNESS."

Now, we do not know that the people alluded to by Dr. Summers are such a miserable set of scamps as he represents them. But we have no doubt that they all acknowledge themselves to be sinners—great sinners; and therefore, often smite upon their breasts and cry, "God be merciful to me, a sinner!" While the Doctor, perhaps, makes section 15, chapter 1 of the "Book of Discipline," the leading article of his confession of faith to wit "The world says, the Methodists are no better than other people. This is not true in the general."

Now if it is not true, that the Methodists are no better than other people; it follows of course that it is true, that they are better than OTHER PEOPLE—ANY OTHER PEOPLE—ALL OTHER PEOPLE—two negatives being equivalent to an affirmative. And Dr. S. can say "I thank God that he is not as other people," or even as these antinomian baptists.

But the proof of the charge brought by Dr. S. against these people whom he calls "so many hard names, seems to rest upon something like the following considerations: I. Dr. S. is a Doctor of Divinity and is, of course, well acquainted with the ninth commandment. "Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor."

II. And is well acquainted with the principle in morals which makes the assertion of any thing as true, without knowing it to be true, equivalent to falsehood.

III. He knows that a witness is as much bound to tell "nothing but the truth" as he is to tell "the whole truth."

IV. Having charged Dr. Howell with "suppression veri," it would be uncharitable to suppose that he in avoiding Seylla has run upon Charibdis and perpetrated a *negotio falsi*.

V. That he had the fear of the awful penalty before his eyes, denounced in Revelation xxi. 8. Q. E. D.

But, then, we are struck with amazement at the wonderful extent of his knowledge; that he should know the character, acquirements, and standing of every individual in the denomination, from Canada to Mexico, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific, for he charges the "denomination," emphasizing the word by quotation marks, without admitting a solitary exception.

Out of the "thousands of notorious drunkards" touched for by Dr. S. D. D. as being in the denomination, especially in the South we do not ask for one thousand, nor one hundred, nor even for fifty, but we demand of him to specify by name and locality, two or three notorious drunkards retained in the Church, or stand convicted before the world, as guilty of

"bearing the burden which is on." In conclusion I would suggest to Dr. S. that, although according to his own book he is "better than other people," yet he might profitably take lessons from another book—somehow antiquated and unfashionable, indeed, but containing wholesome advice, such as the following: "In lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves." "Speak evil of no man." "He that speaketh evil of his brother, and judgeth his brother, speaketh evil of the law, and judgeth the law; but if thou judge the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge. And with what judgment wilt thou judge, it shall be judged, and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

KATOTRON

ARE BAPTISTS PROTESTANTS? To the "Methodist." We commend these responses from the Baptist Press. We have appealed to the Baptist Press in America for the correctness of our declaration, depicted by the "Methodist" that Baptists are not Protestants. Never having symbolized with the Papacy, they are neither their baptisms nor ordinances in Rome as all the Protestant sects do.

The "Christian Index," the oldest Baptist paper in the South, if not in America, answers thus: "Are Baptists Protestants?"

If by Protestants those are meant who have, by practice and dissent, protested against the abominations and presumptions of the Roman See, ever since it began to oppose and exalt itself above all that is called God, then Baptists are Protestants. Or, if by Protestants are meant those who, independent of "Councils," "Confessions," "Articles," "Catechisms," or doctrines of men, take the BIBLE for their rule of faith and practice, eschewing sects, Episcopacies and Hierarchies, then are Baptists Protestants. But if by Protestants are designated those who have separated from the See of Rome, then by no means are Baptists Protestants. And strictly speaking they only are Protestants who, by name and sect, are the descendants of those who, during the Great Reformation, seceded from the despotic and tyrannical and arbitrary edicts of Catholicism, as manifested most particularly at Spire in 1529. The Baptists trace their lineage back to the apostolic age outside of the Roman Papacy, not even classing themselves among the so-called "Reformers" who have separated from the See of Rome, but by no means are Baptists Protestants as they are called.

But, then, we are struck with amazement at the wonderful extent of his knowledge; that he should know the character, acquirements, and standing of every individual in the denomination, from Canada to Mexico, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific, for he charges the "denomination," emphasizing the word by quotation marks, without admitting a solitary exception.

Out of the "thousands of notorious drunkards" touched for by Dr. S. D. D. as being in the denomination, especially in the South we do not ask for one thousand, nor one hundred, nor even for fifty, but we demand of him to specify by name and locality, two or three notorious drunkards retained in the Church, or stand convicted before the world, as guilty of

"bearing the burden which is on." In conclusion I would suggest to Dr. S. that, although according to his own book he is "better than other people," yet he might profitably take lessons from another book—somehow antiquated and unfashionable, indeed, but containing wholesome advice, such as the following: "In lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves." "Speak evil of no man." "He that speaketh evil of his brother, and judgeth his brother, speaketh evil of the law, and judgeth the law; but if thou judge the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge. And with what judgment wilt thou judge, it shall be judged, and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

KATOTRON

ARE BAPTISTS PROTESTANTS? To the "Methodist." We commend these responses from the Baptist Press. We have appealed to the Baptist Press in America for the correctness of our declaration, depicted by the "Methodist" that Baptists are not Protestants. Never having symbolized with the Papacy, they are neither their baptisms nor ordinances in Rome as all the Protestant sects do.

The "Christian Index," the oldest Baptist paper in the South, if not in America, answers thus: "Are Baptists Protestants?"

If by Protestants those are meant who have, by practice and dissent, protested against the abominations and presumptions of the Roman See, ever since it began to oppose and exalt itself above all that is called God, then Baptists are Protestants. Or, if by Protestants are meant those who, independent of "Councils," "Confessions," "Articles," "Catechisms," or doctrines of men, take the BIBLE for their rule of faith and practice, eschewing sects, Episcopacies and Hierarchies, then are Baptists Protestants. But if by Protestants are designated those who have separated from the See of Rome, then by no means are Baptists Protestants. And strictly speaking they only are Protestants who, by name and sect, are the descendants of those who, during the Great Reformation, seceded from the despotic and tyrannical and arbitrary edicts of Catholicism, as manifested most particularly at Spire in 1529. The Baptists trace their lineage back to the apostolic age outside of the Roman Papacy, not even classing themselves among the so-called "Reformers" who have separated from the See of Rome, but by no means are Baptists Protestants as they are called.

But, then, we are struck with amazement at the wonderful extent of his knowledge; that he should know the character, acquirements, and standing of every individual in the denomination, from Canada to Mexico, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific, for he charges the "denomination," emphasizing the word by quotation marks, without admitting a solitary exception.

Out of the "thousands of notorious drunkards" touched for by Dr. S. D. D. as being in the denomination, especially in the South we do not ask for one thousand, nor one hundred, nor even for fifty, but we demand of him to specify by name and locality, two or three notorious drunkards retained in the Church, or stand convicted before the world, as guilty of

"bearing the burden which is on." In conclusion I would suggest to Dr. S. that, although according to his own book he is "better than other people," yet he might profitably take lessons from another book—somehow antiquated and unfashionable, indeed, but containing wholesome advice, such as the following: "In lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves." "Speak evil of no man." "He that speaketh evil of his brother, and judgeth his brother, speaketh evil of the law, and judgeth the law; but if thou judge the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge. And with what judgment wilt thou judge, it shall be judged, and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

KATOTRON

ARE BAPTISTS PROTESTANTS? To the "Methodist." We commend these responses from the Baptist Press. We have appealed to the Baptist Press in America for the correctness of our declaration, depicted by the "Methodist" that Baptists are not Protestants. Never having symbolized with the Papacy, they are neither their baptisms nor ordinances in Rome as all the Protestant sects do.

The "Christian Index," the oldest Baptist paper in the South, if not in America, answers thus: "Are Baptists Protestants?"

If by Protestants those are meant who have, by practice and dissent, protested against the abominations and presumptions of the Roman See, ever since it began to oppose and exalt itself above all that is called God, then Baptists are Protestants. Or, if by Protestants are meant those who, independent of "Councils," "Confessions," "Articles," "Catechisms," or doctrines of men, take the BIBLE for their rule of faith and practice, eschewing sects, Episcopacies and Hierarchies, then are Baptists Protestants. But if by Protestants are designated those who have separated from the See of Rome, then by no means are Baptists Protestants. And strictly speaking they only are Protestants who, by name and sect, are the descendants of those who, during the Great Reformation, seceded from the despotic and tyrannical and arbitrary edicts of Catholicism, as manifested most particularly at Spire in 1529. The Baptists trace their lineage back to the apostolic age outside of the Roman Papacy, not even classing themselves among the so-called "Reformers" who have separated from the See of Rome, but by no means are Baptists Protestants as they are called.

But, then, we are struck with amazement at the wonderful extent of his knowledge; that he should know the character, acquirements, and standing of every individual in the denomination, from Canada to Mexico, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific, for he charges the "denomination," emphasizing the word by quotation marks, without admitting a solitary exception.

Out of the "thousands of notorious drunkards" touched for by Dr. S. D. D. as being in the denomination, especially in the South we do not ask for one thousand, nor one hundred, nor even for fifty, but we demand of him to specify by name and locality, two or three notorious drunkards retained in the Church, or stand convicted before the world, as guilty of

"bearing the burden which is on." In conclusion I would suggest to Dr. S. that, although according to his own book he is "better than other people," yet he might profitably take lessons from another book—somehow antiquated and unfashionable, indeed, but containing wholesome advice, such as the following: "In lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves." "Speak evil of no man." "He that speaketh evil of his brother, and judgeth his brother, speaketh evil of the law, and judgeth the law; but if thou judge the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge. And with what judgment wilt thou judge, it shall be judged, and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

KATOTRON

ARE BAPTISTS PROTESTANTS? To the "Methodist." We commend these responses from the Baptist Press. We have appealed to the Baptist Press in America for the correctness of our declaration, depicted by the "Methodist" that Baptists are not Protestants. Never having symbolized with the Papacy, they are neither their baptisms nor ordinances in Rome as all the Protestant sects do.

The "Christian Index," the oldest Baptist paper in the South, if not in America, answers thus: "Are Baptists Protestants?"

If by Protestants those are meant who have, by practice and dissent, protested against the abominations and presumptions of the Roman See, ever since it began to oppose and exalt itself above all that is called God, then Baptists are Protestants. Or, if by Protestants are meant those who, independent of "Councils," "Confessions," "Articles," "Catechisms," or doctrines of men, take the BIBLE for their rule of faith and practice, eschewing sects, Episcopacies and Hierarchies, then are Baptists Protestants. But if by Protestants are designated those who have separated from the See of Rome, then by no means are Baptists Protestants. And strictly speaking they only are Protestants who, by name and sect, are the descendants of those who, during the Great Reformation, seceded from the despotic and tyrannical and arbitrary edicts of Catholicism, as manifested most particularly at Spire in 1529. The Baptists trace their lineage back to the apostolic age outside of the Roman Papacy, not even classing themselves among the so-called "Reformers" who have separated from the See of Rome, but by no means are Baptists Protestants as they are called.

But, then, we are struck with amazement at the wonderful extent of his knowledge; that he should know the character, acquirements, and standing of every individual in the denomination, from Canada to Mexico, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific, for he charges the "denomination," emphasizing the word by quotation marks, without admitting a solitary exception.

Out of the "thousands of notorious drunkards" touched for by Dr. S. D. D. as being in the denomination, especially in the South we do not ask for one thousand, nor one hundred, nor even for fifty, but we demand of him to specify by name and locality, two or three notorious drunkards retained in the Church, or stand convicted before the world, as guilty of

"bearing the burden which is on." In conclusion I would suggest to Dr. S. that, although according to his own book he is "better than other people," yet he might profitably take lessons from another book—somehow antiquated and unfashionable, indeed, but containing wholesome advice, such as the following: "In lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves." "Speak evil of no man." "He that speaketh evil of his brother, and judgeth his brother, speaketh evil of the law, and judgeth the law; but if thou judge the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge. And with what judgment wilt thou judge, it shall be judged, and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

KATOTRON

ARE BAPTISTS PROTESTANTS? To the "Methodist." We commend these responses from the Baptist Press. We have appealed to the Baptist Press in America for the correctness of our declaration, depicted by the "Methodist" that Baptists are not Protestants. Never having symbolized with the Papacy, they are neither their baptisms nor ordinances in Rome as all the Protestant sects do.

SECULAR DEPARTMENT

Departure of Passenger Trains. Nashville and Chattanooga, 3:30 A. M., 5:00 P. M.

Arrivals of Passenger Trains. Nashville and Chattanooga, 10:00 A. M., 1:00 P. M.

What David in his haste said of all men, we may now, without any fear of endangering our reputation for calm dispassionate judgment, affirm of the authors of telegraph news, that they are unwar.

There is not only no abatement of the war fever in the North, but if their talk talks about anything, it is even on the increase. The gentle spirits of Greeley and the abolitionists generally, seem wonderfully perturbed by the conduct of the Baltimoreans in refusing to allow Northern mercenaries to pass through their city in the presentation of their harmless mission to assassinate Southerners!

The President of the United States—elected according to the forms of the Constitution, but upon principles which are not those of the Constitution—wantonly inaugurated an internecine war between the people of the slave and non-slaveholding States.

The war thus inaugurated is likely to assume an even more desperate character, if not equal to the struggle of the revolutionary war, than the struggle of the revolutionary war, if not equal to the struggle of the revolutionary war.

Having referred to the division of sentiment in the North, we call the attention of our readers to the ominous scenes now enacting in our own State of Tennessee.

When the people of the State shall formally declare their connection with the remaining States of the Union, it will be a matter of the highest expediency—I might almost say, of the highest political necessity—that we shall at once, as a nation, or as soon thereafter as we may, consent to a common union with the remaining States of the Union.

It cannot be overlooked that, in assuming an attitude of this character—forced upon us by the marked exigencies of the times—we are, in effect, declaring our connection with the remaining States of the Union.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

With all the Southern States out of it, is not likely to recognize it! With regard to the Government, he said, "I must run the machine as he found it." And in reference to passing troops through Baltimore or Maryland, he said, "Now, sir, if you won't hit me, I won't hit you."

At the delegations were leaving, Mr. Lincoln said to one of the young men, "I'll tell you a story. You have heard of the Irishman who, when a fellow was cutting his throat with a blunt razor, he took the razor and cut his own throat."

Four years ago the Republican party made its first attempt to destroy the Constitution and inaugurate the South by the election of a President of the United States and seizing the reins of Government.

The President of the United States—elected according to the forms of the Constitution, but upon principles which are not those of the Constitution—wantonly inaugurated an internecine war between the people of the slave and non-slaveholding States.

Having referred to the division of sentiment in the North, we call the attention of our readers to the ominous scenes now enacting in our own State of Tennessee.

When the people of the State shall formally declare their connection with the remaining States of the Union, it will be a matter of the highest expediency—I might almost say, of the highest political necessity—that we shall at once, as a nation, or as soon thereafter as we may, consent to a common union with the remaining States of the Union.

It cannot be overlooked that, in assuming an attitude of this character—forced upon us by the marked exigencies of the times—we are, in effect, declaring our connection with the remaining States of the Union.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

TO THE CHILDREN OF BAPTIST BIBLE SCHOOLS IN THE SOUTH. Resulting from the great advantage early Sabbath School instruction has been to me, and especially the practice of committing portions of the New Testament weekly, and reciting them, to my teacher.

At Warsaw, the people are again in revolt; over thirty thousand troops are now in that city, in various positions between the people and the soldiers, more than a hundred lives were lost, and indications are unmistakable of a determination on the part of the troops to repress their agitation.

THE HYPOCRITICAL CONSERVATIVES. Four years ago the Republican party made its first attempt to destroy the Constitution and inaugurate the South by the election of a President of the United States and seizing the reins of Government.

The President of the United States—elected according to the forms of the Constitution, but upon principles which are not those of the Constitution—wantonly inaugurated an internecine war between the people of the slave and non-slaveholding States.

Having referred to the division of sentiment in the North, we call the attention of our readers to the ominous scenes now enacting in our own State of Tennessee.

When the people of the State shall formally declare their connection with the remaining States of the Union, it will be a matter of the highest expediency—I might almost say, of the highest political necessity—that we shall at once, as a nation, or as soon thereafter as we may, consent to a common union with the remaining States of the Union.

It cannot be overlooked that, in assuming an attitude of this character—forced upon us by the marked exigencies of the times—we are, in effect, declaring our connection with the remaining States of the Union.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

Special Notices. The sudden changes of our climate are sources of Pulmonary, Bronchial, and Asthmatic affections, and especially of the latter, which is in the early stages of the disease, should be at once treated by Dr. J. C. Graves' "Cough and Croup" Syrup.

TO THE CHILDREN OF BAPTIST BIBLE SCHOOLS IN THE SOUTH. Resulting from the great advantage early Sabbath School instruction has been to me, and especially the practice of committing portions of the New Testament weekly, and reciting them, to my teacher.

At Warsaw, the people are again in revolt; over thirty thousand troops are now in that city, in various positions between the people and the soldiers, more than a hundred lives were lost, and indications are unmistakable of a determination on the part of the troops to repress their agitation.

THE HYPOCRITICAL CONSERVATIVES. Four years ago the Republican party made its first attempt to destroy the Constitution and inaugurate the South by the election of a President of the United States and seizing the reins of Government.

The President of the United States—elected according to the forms of the Constitution, but upon principles which are not those of the Constitution—wantonly inaugurated an internecine war between the people of the slave and non-slaveholding States.

Having referred to the division of sentiment in the North, we call the attention of our readers to the ominous scenes now enacting in our own State of Tennessee.

When the people of the State shall formally declare their connection with the remaining States of the Union, it will be a matter of the highest expediency—I might almost say, of the highest political necessity—that we shall at once, as a nation, or as soon thereafter as we may, consent to a common union with the remaining States of the Union.

It cannot be overlooked that, in assuming an attitude of this character—forced upon us by the marked exigencies of the times—we are, in effect, declaring our connection with the remaining States of the Union.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

It is deemed it proper to remark in this connection, that the constitution of the Confederate States, which it retains all that is valuable of the Constitution of the United States, and that it is an improvement in many essential points upon that instrument.

INDUCEMENTS FOR IMMEDIATE SUBSCRIPTIONS. To any subscriber for one year, from the 1st of January, 1862, paying Two Dollars, the BAPTIST will be sent GRATUITOUSLY, from the time of sending his subscription up to the 31st of June next.

PREMIUMS. The following premiums will be allowed to those who are subscribers to these who obtain subscribers. They are proposed to subscribers for one year.

GOOD UNTIL THE 1ST OF JULY, 1862. There are more or less Baptists at every Post-Office, in every county, who could be induced to take the Baptist by a little solicitation on the part of a friend, or even if his brother would offer to transmit for them the subscription price to the office of publication.

REVIEW OF MONTHLY. The Southern Baptist Review, Christian Examiner, and Christian Expositor, all published by the Southern Baptist Convention, are published monthly.

PREMIUMS GOOD FOR ONE YEAR. Wall and Robinson's Histories, Vol. 1. For each of a little less.

SEWING MACHINES FOR PREMIUMS. For Fifty Annual Subscribers at \$2—One of Howe's Fine Sewing Machines, warranted to be a fine article and to give satisfaction.

WISH TO BORROW \$10,000 AT 25 PER CENT. To any subscriber who will loan me the sum of \$10,000, I will send him, by mail, as a premium, either of the following works:

PERMIUMS. Sunday School & Juvenile Library Books. A ready means to parents of providing handsome presents for their children and to Sunday-school teachers of procuring presents for their scholars.

WANTS HIS FRIENDS AND THE PUBLIC GENERALLY. B. B. WOOD, PROPRIETOR OF THE FURST HOUSE, Nashville, Kentucky.

YOUTH'S MAGAZINE, A BAPTIST MONTHLY. Monthly Terms only \$1.00 per Annum. Published by GRAVES, MARKS & CO., Nashville, Tenn.

BANK NOTE LIST. Bank of Tennessee, \$5.00. Bank of the South, \$5.00. Bank of the West, \$5.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT. Kentucky Flour, \$1.00. Ohio Flour, \$1.00. Indiana Flour, \$1.00.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

LETTERS FOR THE PRINTERS. If there are any letters for the printers, they should be sent to the printer.

