

TO MINISTERS.

I take this method of calling your attention to the celebrated Body and Lung Brace, which I have sold for the last eighteen years, that I may make it a benefit to my paper by making it a far greater benefit to you.

I will briefly give you my reasons for recommending this invaluable article to you. More than eighteen years ago, I was thoroughly broken down in voice from excessive preaching; I could speak but a little while without getting hoarse; my throat was generally sore, and easily irritated, and its tone became heavy and husky; soon a hacking cough set in, that increased, until at the close of a long meeting, my voice failed entirely, under the effects of a chronic laryngitis that soon superinduced bronchitis, which seriously threatened my life. I was now compelled to desist from preaching, and, if possible, overcome those difficulties, and recover the lost treasure,—the voice, that to a minister is more valuable than gold or jewels, or be silent forever. I applied to the most eminent physicians, and was but little helped; save the excision of an elongated ovula, they could do nothing but advise rest; and this I was compelled to take. What caused and continued that constant irritation and hacking cough, they could neither explain nor prevent. Providence threw the remedy in my way. My wife was suffering from prolapsus uteri, and the professor of the theory and practice of medicine in the University of Nashville was her physician, and he prescribed for her this identical Brace, which speedily relieved her. She complained of a dragging down and no language could better express my feelings, and especially after preaching. It occurred to me if it was good for one case of dragging down, why not for another. Without consulting any one, I purchased one large enough for myself and put it on, the first time doubtless it was ever worn by a man for such a reason, and the result was, the irritation of my throat soon quieted, and the hacking ere long ceased, and the voice commenced building up, until I could articulate, which I had not done for twelve months, and very soon I commenced to preach again. That Brace I wore nearly ten years without communicating its wonderful advantages to any one, because I thought I was using an article that was invented for the use of females. Privately to a few special friends who were suffering as I suffered, I explained the use of the Brace, and through me they obtained it, and were relieved as I was. I made known the power of the Brace to restore, strengthen and preserve the voice in public speakers, and then commenced offering it as a premium to ministers for subscribers.

The cause of hoarseness, sore throat, laryngitis, and finally bronchitis in ministers, and all these symptoms of "dragging down," gonorrhea, exhaustion after speaking, and weakness of the back and loins, and piles, is the slight relaxation of the abdominal muscles, which allows the bowels to sink, known by marked hollows over the tips of the hips. Now all know that the functions of the stomach are connected with those of the throat and affect the vocal organs, and when the stomach sinks a straining is brought to bear upon the throat, and speaking or talking will irritate it and produce hoarseness, and if continued, sore throat, and all the train of evils that ministers are wont to complain of, and which has carried hundreds to their graves, and which yearly are laying aside as useless hundreds of others. The prolapsus of the abdominal muscles is the cause of the feeling of "gonorrhea" and exhaustion and "blue Mondays" that most ministers know so well, as it is of *hemorrhoids* and *piles*. Now, after a personal experience of nearly twenty years, and the added expe-

rience of more than one thousand ministers upon whom I have fitted the Brace with invariable success, I am prepared to testify of its real merits. Without it, I am satisfied I should have been laid aside from public speaking eighteen years ago. By using it, I have fully recovered a lost voice, and am blessed with one of uncommon power and endurance. Without it, two or three sermons exhaust and give me a sense of fatigue, and leave me feeling like a "lucky voice"; with it, I can speak hours a day without exhaustion or hoarseness. I now use it only when speaking, and thus preserve my voice and and physical energies. I do not believe that any one would ever be afflicted with *hemorrhoids*, or *piles*, or weakness of the back or loins, should he wear it ordinarily loose, and only tight when speaking or putting forth unusual efforts. It is a preserver of a good voice and of a sound physical condition. It should be worn by every minister to carry the energy and vigor of his youth far into old age.

Labor in protracted meetings is what prostrates and uses up so many ministers in voice and strength, and lays the foundation of premature decay.

This invaluable article I am prepared to place within the reach of every Baptist minister of the South, and when he has worn it one month, or through one meeting, he will evermore be grateful to me.

One thousand ministers and brethren and sisters bear united testimony to the fact that this Brace is a scientific *Shoulder and Lung Brace*; that it supports the back, abdomen, stomach, lungs; prevents hoarseness, piles, gonorrhea, consumption; increases the breathing capacity; gives strength to the body; increases the vital power; expands and enlarges the lungs; renders breathing free and easy; relieves chronic constiveness; it is used by singers, lawyers, laborers, and is a specific for all cases of *prolapsus of the bowels* in males or *womb* in females. It relieves when all other means fail; it will last a lifetime; it benefits in every case. Whoever does not, every minister and old man should use one.

I offer my Improved Brace to any one as a premium for 10 new subscribers to The Baptist at \$2.70 including postage and \$1 for every subscriber you fail to get. Let the fact be known to your members that you need a Brace, and by this means you can secure one and they will readily help you to secure it in this way. Secure as many as you can and send one dollar for every one of the 10 you lack, and you can secure it. If you will sell 10 Braces at the regular price, I will give you a Brace as a premium. In one of these ways you can secure a Brace; and when you have experienced its benefits gold would not induce you to preach without it. Get my Improved Brace. No other party in this city or the South sells my Improved Brace unless he can show a written commission from me.

Let All Take Notice.

This is to certify that the undersigned is the only manufacturer of the Banning Body and Lung Brace, and that those manufactured for J. R. Graves, L. D., are made different, and are more durable, and an improvement over the recent style now in market. We sell to no other party South of the Ohio River. E. C. DANFORTH, Office of Man'g Co., Conn., May 1, 1876.

I publish the above that all may see that if they want the Brace that I advertise for the voice and all cases of *prolapsus*, and consequent weakness, AND THE BEST ONE MADE, they must send their orders to me, or to some one who has my written commission.

RECENT TESTIMONIALS.

We call attention to the voluntary testimonials given in favor of the Brace, showing that it really does all that is claimed for it. These are real living witnesses, who can be addressed if any one doubts.

Let Suffering Females Read This.

Dr. Graves:—About the 10th of last August I purchased from you a Banning Lung and Body Brace for my afflicted wife. My wife had been afflicted for more than a year with *prolapsed uteri*, female weakness, which had troubled her since the birth of our first and only babe. I tried skillful physicians; they differed as to what her disease was, but all agreed that it was some derangement of the reproductive organs. They tried various

remedies, but all to no purpose. She hadn't sat up a day for more than a year when I obtained the Brace. I would now express my gratitude to you for the Brace, and especially for your generous offer of it to weakly females; for it has restored to health her whom I had almost given up as an invalid for life. She began to improve immediately after putting it on; and she felt restored and strengthened. The lassitude, weariness, and dragging down sensation were removed, and in a very short time was able to sit up all day, and could walk about with a great deal more ease than usual. In a short time she began attending her household affairs, has improved steadily, and is now in the enjoyment of her wonted health. Words cannot express my gratitude for such a blessing. May God bless you, dear sir.

C. H. KELLEY.

Alvarado, Texas, Nov. 26, 1876.

Dr. J. R. Graves:—I received the Brace for my wife. She has worn it fifty days, and has found it to be of great benefit to her. She has suffered for the last six years with prolapsus uteri and leucorrhoea. The Brace alone is restoring her. R. P. PHILLIPS, JR., Skipperville, Ala.

Dr. J. R. Graves: Having suffered for a time with a *dragged back*, I procured one of Banning's Body Braces, after wearing it for two months can safely say that I am greatly benefited. Would recommend it to all persons suffering from like affections. Yours with respect,

Mrs. J. A. E. V.

Suffering very much from "dyspepsia" and general debility—the result of protracted illness, I obtained and have been wearing Banning's Body and Lung Brace, and am satisfied there is no superior equal to it. I feel confident others similarly affected would be greatly benefited by its use. Wilson, Miss. EUDORA COLE

BANNING'S BRACE.—It is one of the greatest of physical blessings to a public speaker or singer. The testimony of many ministers as to its great benefits would surprise those who know nothing of it. S. H. VORSE, Editor Christian Repository.

Essex Five Office, Nashville, Tenn., December 21, 1876.

Rev. J. R. Graves: Dear Sir: I used the Brace sent by your self during my late canvass of the State. It was of very great service to me, and I feel very well satisfied that if I had commenced its use a week earlier that my voice would not have been affected at all. The first time I used it I addressed a large crowd of people in the open air, and I found that my voice was very much strengthened, and at the close of a two hour's speech I was free from my usual feeling of weariness and exhaustion. Very Respectfully, JAS. D. PORTER.

DEAR BRO. GRAVES:—Ten made me a present, some three years ago, of one of Banning's Lung and Body Braces. I accepted and kept it for three years, and then wearing it, rather than such things under the head of "humbuggery." Recently, the heavy and aching pains of the Centennial, quite broke down for the first time. I concluded to try the Brace, and I do not hesitate to testify to its invaluable worth of this Brace. I can endure at least three times the amount of labor that I did before without fatigue. My voice was improved at EVERY STEP OF INCREASED EFFORT, and my physical strength has been most efficiently renewed. I would not take ten times the price of my Brace now and be compelled to dispense with it. I most cordially recommend this Brace to those who may, physically or otherwise need it. E. A. LOTTON, Pastor Third Baptist Church, St. Louis.

The Testimony of a Physician.

Rev. J. R. Graves, Memphis, Tenn.

Dear Sir: All the Braces which I have ordered give the greatest satisfaction. For all kinds of womb diseases, weak lungs, and lumbago they are invaluable. W. C. LAURENCE, M.D., Crawfordville, Miss., Jan. 2, 1877.

The Brace for Horseback Riding.

Dear Bro. Graves: I have now had the Brace three months, having received it about the first of November. As I have been able to preach but once since I received it, on account of previously broken down health I can say nothing for it yet as a help to a preacher (I hope to test it soon), but I have traveled across the State of Mississippi on horseback since winter set in and though in a very feeble health, I made the trip with comparatively no physical fatigue. I believe

it would have been utterly impossible to have stood it without the Brace. It is, undoubtedly the very thing for those who have to travel much on horseback. Let all who have it to do get a Brace as soon as possible.

Caden, Miss., January 3, 1877.

Testimony of a Laboring Man.

I received my Brace about the tenth of February, and I find great help from it. I find I can now work much better, and all day long. Mine is an old complaint. Twenty-five years ago hurt my back. This is what I can say after a of only sixty days. If I continue to wear it, it will not be long before I am sound. T. H. DAKIN.

I have given the Brace a fair trial. I find it all that is claimed for it. I would not take \$100 for the right to use it. I hope that all my ministering brethren will procure one. J. A. REYNOLDS, Fulton, Miss., 1874.

Great Reduction.

Owing to the excessive hard times in the North, reduced prices for labor, and scarcity of money in the South, I have been enabled to make arrangements with the manufacturer of the celebrated Body, Back and Lung Brace, to furnish 1000 at the low price of \$10.00 to all, upon condition that within 60 days after using the Brace the wearer furnish a certificate stating the weakness or ailment, and the measure of relief that has been experienced, otherwise the usual price will be charged, \$15.00,—\$12.50 to ministers. For the single or double hernia Brace in all cases \$12.50. The price in the office in New York is \$20.00 and \$25.00.

Knowing as I do the inestimable value of the Brace to every public speaker, and singer and to every minister most especially, I take this way to make this rare offer of a Brace for \$10.00 known to you. I know from my own experience, and from the testimony of hundreds, that it is the very mechanical help you need, and which will not only relieve you from present suffering, but prolong your labors for years. Hundreds of ministers well high, or altogether laid by, not able to undergo one fourth of their usual riding or speaking, have been by its use restored to a full use of all their powers and returned to full labor with ease to themselves.

I call your attention to the fact because the protracted meetings are at hand, and if you are perfectly sound, you need help to keep so. With the Brace you can perform twice your usual labor without fatigue and never injure your voice, never suffer from dyspepsia, constipation, the piles, or hernia. However sound you may you need a Brace to keep so.

How to Measure for the Brace Truss.

Take snugly the number of inches around the hips, over the linen, about two inches below the tips of the side bones, and about two inches above the pelvic or front cross bone.

Directions for Putting on the Brace Truss for Hernia.

Open the truss and fetch it around the body, shoving the hip-bones close down to the tip of the haunch bones, then lie down, draw up the feet, carefully return the rupture, and place the oblong truss balls, with the lower end close to and above the cross bone, and the outer convex side of it very close to the small, hard ligament outside, which can be found and felt by the finger. Then, with one hand, draw up the bowels well, whilst with the other you hold the ball from rising. This causes the bowels to lie above and on top of the truss ball, (and not behind it, as in other trusses), thus forming a "dead lock," and making it impossible for the bowel to escape.

Notes.—All sizes over 40 inches, having to be expressly made, are \$25.00 extra. Front Pad and Spring duplicated for \$3.00. Hernia pads (separately) for single or double rupture \$5.00. Sent by mail, post-paid.

DIRECTIONS FOR MEASURING.

Take a tape, if you have not a regular measuring tape, and measure two inches BELOW the tips of the hips around the abdomen, and send the measure to inches. The Braces are all marked in even numbers, and can be enlarged two inches.

J. R. GRAVES.

THE BAPTIST.

Stand ye in the ways, and see and ask for the old paths, which are the good ways, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls.—Jeremiah.

Old Series—Vol. XXXV.

MEMPHIS, TENN., FEBRUARY 9, 1878.

New Series—Vol. XI. No. 11.

Our Pulpit.

JUSTIFICATION.

BY J. B. LINK.

(Continued from last week.)

THERE are several erroneous and somewhat varying theories of justification, but all crystallizing about one central thought; that is, that the gospel is remedial only in the sense of being helpful, and not a fundamentally independent and complete system of pardon, life and justification in itself. They contemplate man as being sick and needing medicine, but not dead and needing life. These theories hold a wide range. In the estimation of some, man is only a little indisposed, and needs exercise, for which a good example is all that is necessary; and so on through all the stages to nearly utter helplessness, demanding efficient remedies. Arminians find man in the lowest stage of life, but just able to help himself to the remedy. True, however, to the instincts of the system of which this idea forms a phase, the act of taking the remedy is itself an important and efficacious element in the restoration. To drop the figure, they make the act of faith, in part, the ground of justification. This gives to faith a meritorious value, which some passages of Scripture, such as where it is said, "Abraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness," seem to favor. We pointed out the error of this particular view in considering the relation of faith to justification, and need not repeat. When this principle of supplementing the work of Christ by anything that may be supposed to be meritorious in the sinner is admitted, a whole class of similar works of merit readily come in; and so repentance, self-denial, prayer, obedience, charities, observance of the ordinances, etc., come in to share the glory of securing to sinners all the blessings the gospel is supposed to bring. Under the shadow of such theology, the Bible is interpreted as if it read: "The Lord, our righteousness, in part;" "we are complete in him in part;" "we are saved by grace in part."

That we are "justified by faith" without the "deeds of law" is admitted; but yet it is said, the exercise of faith being a divine requirement, to believe is to obey a divine law, and therefore a deed of law; and hence the statement of the apostle that "a man is not justified by the deeds of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ," is treated as not quite accurate.

So these theories, in their most plausible as well as their grosser phases, simply set aside the plain teaching of the New Testament, and are no part of the truth for which we have been looking. Such theorists seem to come under the description of Paul, Rom. x. 3, 4: "They, being ignorant of God's righteousness, and going about to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted themselves unto the righteousness of God; for Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth." The fundamentally defective principle in all such theories is, that they make the discharge of a present duty, which can have no extraneous merit whatever, a substitute, an offset or covering, for the sins and deficiencies of the past; whereas, Christ's life and righteousness, his death and sufferings as an offer for all, afford our only ground of pardon and acceptance with God.

We have seen that obedience to law is made the only ground of justification in the courts of earth

or heaven. There is but the one fact to be established, that is, that the law has been kept and honored. Whether this has been done by us in person or by our substitute, the Lord our righteousness, the justification is all the same, and the ground of it is the same, only the persons rendering the obedience are entirely different. We need not pervert the use of language, and say, with Calvin, that justification means one thing in the epistles of Paul, and another in the epistle of James. It is the same forensic term in both, and signifies precisely the same thing; that is, a declaration that the claims of the law requiring obedience have been fully met and answered. James contrasts faith and works as evidences of that condition of heart which accompanies salvation, and declares that faith without works is dead, and that a living faith manifests itself by works; and not only so, faith is made perfect by works; that is, faith has an active side,—is not merely an exercise of the mind, but a moving, working power, without the development of which it is imperfect. He carries this fact forward another step, and shows that obedience developed out of faith is not a matter of indifference, but becomes, in turn, a ground of justification, in evidence of which the case of Abraham is cited. The obedience growing out of faith,—the observance of the things required under our new relations of sons and servants, must become a ground of justification as certainly as it is possible to do or not to do the things God requires of us.

This leads us to consider especially—

THE CHRISTIAN'S JUSTIFICATION.

When Abraham "believed in the Lord," whose day he "rejoiced to see and was glad," the Lord "counted it to him for righteousness;" and he was a sinner saved by grace, without any deed of law or observance of ritual. Long years after this, when he was required to offer Isaac, his son, in sacrifice, he promptly obeyed the divine command; and having done what God required of him to do, he was "justified by works." Therefore we "see, then, how that by works a man is justified, and not by faith only." (James ii. 21.) If we are commanded to be baptized, and we obey that command, we are justified, because we have done what Christ required us to do; and if we refuse to obey, or substitute something else, we sin, and our conduct is condemnable and condemned in the sight of God. So of the Lord's supper, and every command Christ has given us. Our works are both an evidence to the world of our faith, and a ground of our justification before God as his servants, when we do the things he requires us to do. Justification and condemnation relate to our whole conduct. Not only are we justified when we believe on Christ on account of his righteousness, but all after conduct is a subject of divine inspection; and we are approved, acquitted or justified in the right, or condemned or disapproved in the wrong. Even our words as well as our works are to be approved or disapproved; for says the Savior: "By thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned." (Matt. xii. 37.) As sinners, we are pardoned, justified and saved by grace, through faith in Christ; as Christians and servants of God, our works and our words will be justified or condemned as they accord or not with the divine requirements. Dr. George Junkin, a standard writer upon this subject, says: "The Scriptures nowhere proffer heaven to the indolent and careless and sinful, but only to the holy and attentive and diligent. . . . They teach us that 'by works

a man is justified, and not by faith only.' (James ii. 24.) A dead faith,—a faith that is unconnected with vital action in the production of good works, is utterly vain, and the soul is dead that has it. Works are indispensable to justification. There ought to be, there can be, no dispute about this matter. All readers of the Bible must know that God's children are required to be holy, as he is holy; and 'without holiness no man shall see the Lord.' 'He that feareth God and worketh righteousness is accepted of him.' (Just. p. 325-26.)

In the passage just quoted, Dr. Junkin could not, as others have done, go back of his own clear and well-established definition. He found, therefore, no shadow of difficulty in the language of Paul and James. They both use a common word in its common acceptation. But when he says "works are indispensable to justification," he certainly overlooks a great fact; that is, that the justification of the sinner by faith in Jesus Christ is prior to all good works, properly so called, and as properly defined by himself. He probably meant that works are indispensable to the justification of the regenerate man or Christian as such. He rightly and clearly holds that God justifies those in their obedience who obey him. About this matter "there can be no dispute."

III. Of good works.

We understand by good works those acts of obedience to God and of good will to men which are developed out of faith in God, through our Lord Jesus Christ. They are the outgoings of the faith that works by love in accordance with the will of God: "For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them." (Eph. ii. 10.)

What relation do the good works required of us sustain to faith, to salvation, to justification, to God's glory, and to our final reward?

1. We have already seen that good works are the offspring of the faith that works by love, and get their character from it as good.

2. Our salvation is not made dependent on good works, but on our relation to Christ by faith. But this in no respect relieves us from obligation to a holy life of active service. If possible, the obligation is rather heightened. The law that man should love God supremely and his neighbor as himself has not been repealed. Love is still the fulfilling of the law. Christ has redeemed us, not from the law obligations that grow out of our relations to God and to man, but from the curse and condemnation of that law. Sin is not made an impossible thing under the new economy; only the law that says "the soul that sinneth shall die" finds its substitute in the law, "If any man sin, we have an advocate with the Father." Under the law, or former dispensation, the life of man, or his union with God, was made to depend on his observance of the law. The doors of the law were to live,—to be justified. Under the gospel economy, our life does not depend on keeping the law, but it is hid with Christ in God. The observance of the law does not form our bond of life or union with God; but our relation to Jesus Christ by faith is that bond of union and our life. The sting of death is sin; and sin is the strength or power which the law holds over men, and by which it works their death. Christ gives us the victory over this effect of the law; and this victory we have through faith in him. Our obligations are not changed; but the ground of life is transferred from the law to Jesus Christ, who is the source of life. The transgression of the law is

still sin, and always will be; but its power as the sting of death has been taken away from the believer in Jesus. In addition to the law of love, which belongs to the very nature of our being, we are under positive law to Christ. He has redeemed to himself a peculiar people. Their peculiarity is made to consist in their zeal for good works, though their salvation is not made dependent on them.

3. As the sinner's justification declares that the law, which it was man's duty to obey, has been kept and honored, it follows, that, if as Christians we are under obligations to any duty or service, our justification as Christians, in view of the divine requirement, depends on the discharge of that duty or service. As the subjects of Christ,—as citizens of his kingdom,—as soldiers of the cross,—as servants of God,—as stewards in the household of faith,—as neighbors and men in the different relations of life, we have obligations to meet; and, for the manner in which we meet them, we must render an account before and unto him who is our King, Law giver and Judge. To talk of subjects without laws of allegiance, or soldiers without orders, or servants and stewards without laws of labor, and of any of these without penalties or rewards, would be to annul all these relationships in one breath. A law without penalties is only advice without consequences. The New Testament does not present an economy of mere advice, but a king and judge and laws, with rewards and penalties. We are not under law,—that is, the law by which sin wears in itself the sting of death,—but we are under the law of grace,—the law of liberty, which contemplates obedience to God in Christ. And now, so far as the Christian renders obedience, he stands justified in it before the law and its author. So soon as a man is created in Christ, he is created unto good works. His new life begins there. Every step should be along the pathway of duty, and is the subject of the divine inspection and approval or disapproval. This is too evident to be disputed.

The Lutheran theory of good works in relation to justification, improved in plausibility by Calvin, has been most commonly adopted by theologians from the days of the Reformation to the present time. It is that "a man is justified before God by faith only," and that "good works are only the evidences or manifestations of faith;" that the justification by works spoken of by James is only "a declaration of righteousness before men." In modern phraseology it is said, a man is justified by faith only in the sight of God; and man is justified by works in the sight of men only. This is a striking illustration of the ease with which men may deceive themselves by the use of words. It is God who justifies men by faith; is it man who justifies men by works? What man or men were present and justified Abraham by works when he offered Isaac upon the altar? or who would have justified him from a human standpoint had he been there? It is to divine and not human laws we are called to answer. It is to God and not to men we are to render our account. God is to be our judge and not men. It is God that justifieth and not man. He may do this before men and angels,—before the assembled universe or without a witness present. If a man is justified by faith, God does it: if a man is justified by works, God does this also. We are quite as clearly justified in the sight of men by faith as by works, and quite as evidently justified in the sight of God by works as by faith, when we do the things God requires us to do.

The fallacy that has so constantly deceived men upon this subject since first suggested by Calvin lies in the form of expression. A man upon trial is said to be justified before the judge, the law and the people; but the man is really and authoritatively justified by the judge only. It may be done in the presence of the people; and they may acquit or condemn, it matters not. And again, if the judge condemns, what matters it though the people acquit him? Justification and condemnation belong to the judge. His decision alone has force and value. When did God place men on the judgment seat to decide upon the good and bad works of his servants? The very thought is preposterous in the extreme, and has only been suggested as a possible way by which a false

theory may appear to be justified and not condemned by the Scriptures. But what is most strange about it is, that, for three hundred years, good works—which are made to occupy so large and important a place in the Christian system, and are so emphatically insisted upon in the Scriptures—should have been made to occupy a place so subordinate that only men are to take any cognizance of them; and that, too, when a great and very important part of our good works are to be concealed from the eyes of men altogether. Justified before men! It is well to force their assent to our justification; but what concerns the Christian infinitely more is his acceptance with God, and justification before him. He is our law-giver and not man. Christ and not man is our judge.

(To be continued.)

Historical Department.

Under this head we shall publish the best historical matter that we can gather from all sources, which we deem reliable. Our people should be better informed as to their own history, and little by little, in this way, we hope to do it. We request valuable contributions from all.

Standard Baptist Histories.
 Orchard's History of Ancient Baptists \$1.50
 Orchard's History of English Baptists 1.25
 Ray's Baptist Succession 1.00
 Origin and Historical Succession of Baptists .50

PERRIN'S HISTORY OF THE WALDENSES UNRELIABLE.

BY ELI. W. A. JARRELL, CLERGY, H. L.

Inasmuch as we find, in the publications of the different Pedobaptist Publication Houses, Jones's History impeached, and baby sprinkling "proved" to have been practice by the ancient Waldenses, all on the authority of Perrin, I propose in this article to examine the character of Perrin's work.

1. Perrin's History of the Waldenses, was published at Geneva, A. D. 1618.

2. Perrin was not of Vaudois origin.

3. Perrin had many Waldensian documents at his command. Much pains were taken to gather them, and he had the co-operation of the Waldensian pastors.

4. Perrin's work was so unsatisfactory that it did not pass examination, and another person was appointed to do what Perrin should have done.

Before me lies "Israel of the Alps," by Alexis Muston, D.D., a rare and costly work. Dr. Muston is a Pedobaptist, and the "Israel of the Alps" is one of the most valuable and reliable histories of the Waldenses written. He says of Perrin's work: "At the Synod of Orpieres held in 1608, Perrin demanded money to pay the expenses of his researches. He presented the manuscript of his work in 1609 at the Synod of St. Paul troist-Chateaux. The style of this work was retouched at Geneva, by M. Trenchin. The Synod of Tanneis, 1614, resolved that a copy should be sent to each pastor of Dauphiny. But it does not appear that this work was found to answer the expectations which had been formed of it; for the Synod of Vitre in 1617 resolved that the work of Perrin should be submitted to the examination of the pastors and professors of Geneva. The result of this examination was not more favorable to it; for the Synod of Charenton, in 1623, resolved that the composition of a new history of the Vaudois Abegols should be undertaken. M. Tillot, of Sedan, was charged with the undertaking. The provinces of Dauphiny were exhorted to send him all the documents which they could collect; but death prevented him from carrying the design into effect. Besides the sum which Perrin demanded from the Synod of Orpieres he received 800 livres from that of Privas, held in 1612; and moreover, he sold his manuscript to the publisher.

Never, perhaps, was the price of a historic work less merited. If Perrin had simply published the documents which had been sent to him his work would have been of great value, for these documents came direct from the best sources. Not only did Perrin fail to make a proper use of his rich materials, but he has been accused of employing them unfaithfully.

As an author, Perrin's abilities are very doubtful; but his work is rendered precious by the original fragments of ancient Vaudois treatises at

the end of the volume.—Israel of the Alps, Vol. 2 pp. 388, 390.

Hon. Algernon Herbert accuses Perrin of forgery, and H. S. Maitland, D.D., editor of the *British Magazine* in 1830, says of Herbert's accusation: "The author of the foregoing paper has (it is believed very justly) spoken of Perrin, as perhaps the prince author of these deceptions and forgeries." After speaking of the money spent, time, etc., in preparing Perrin's work, Herbert says: "It is very ridiculous to see such a mountain or rather a chain of mountains, laboring for a dozen years to bring forth such a mouse as Perrin's little work." Dr. Todd, of Trinity College, says of Perrin's use of a manuscript of some rather Romish Waldensian: "Perrin omits all mention of the number seven, as the number of sacraments recognized in this treatise, and has otherwise taken such liberties with the tract as to very much alter its original character." I have taken the testimonies just quoted from Herbert, Maitland and Todd, from pages 116, 123, 33, of Todd's "The Books of the Vaudois," which is before me. Todd also says: "Perrin has taken the liberty of altering the disposition of the commandments, so as to bring it into conformity with the catechism," p. 36.

The document thus altered is not a document of the genuine Waldenses; yet Perrin altered it.

Thus, we see the character of the man who is the Diana of Pedobaptism among the Waldenses. It is said, "The mills of the gods grind slowly, but surely." So we after a time see that the great Pedobaptist Diana for the impeachment of Jones is a base forger, utterly unreliable, Pedobaptist themselves, being witness. The Pedobaptist Publishing Houses, in quoting Perrin to impeach Jones are thus committed to a base fraud. Will they recall their work impeaching Jones on the authority of such a forger? I venture the assertion they will not, but will continue to publish the same falsifications of the record of "them who kept truth so pure of old," in the face of the fact that their only authority for so doing is an undoubted forger!! Let Baptists expose them to the extent they continue it.

In this article I have not mentioned the very valuable service of Eld. S. H. Ford, editor of *The Christian Repository*, in proving beyond all controversy that Perrin forged baby baptism into Waldensian history. He has done a lasting and invaluable service to the church in doing that.

I suggest to the Baptist press that it take especial pains to publish the character of Perrin, as we find his forgeries even in Sunday-school libraries of Pedobaptist Societies.

THE WAY TO WORK FOREVER.

Will not some brother or sister give a good sum to endow the chair of Moral Philosophy and Logic in our University? There are some in the State who have money, but no near relations to inherit it. There are some too who are old or infirmed and cannot, therefore, hold it much longer. If such will put their means where it will be a blessing, by promoting intelligence and culture, they will in this way work after death through all time. To such persons I will say, you may safely invest your money where it will not waste but by its interest power perpetually create the means of doing good. Then why not set it to work during your life, while you have power to direct and control it? You know God gave it to you for use and that you have used it for your own advantage and comfort. Now, why not show your gratitude to him by putting it to work for his glory and the good of mankind? It has served you, now let it honor him.

In your life time invest it in some good interest bearing bonds or some safe stocks, so that the constantly accruing interest may from year to year be applied to the support of the Professorship of Philosophy and Logic. Many in the North and some in the South have so invested their money that may after they die work on to honor and glorify the Master. While they could they so directed their means as in their judgment it would most honor him who had blessed them so abundantly in life. A lady some five months ago gave \$13,000 to relieve a church that the cause of Christ might not be hindered. Some have re-

cently been investing their money for the benefit of Schools and Colleges. Some give on certain conditions; such as, the naming the chair for themselves or for some friend. Will not some one in this State invest his money or give it to be invested for the endowment of the chair of Philosophy and Logic upon the condition that the chair be named for himself or some valued friend? A friend who has devoted his life to the maintenance of the gospel of Christ; one who has sacrificed worldly honor and affluence, yea the very comforts of life for the cause of truth, such are often entitled to more than passing notice, their names should be fondly remembered and their works should be kept before the world, in some enduring form as an example.

I simply suggest these conditions and leave the matter to your taste and judgment. The point with me is that you set your money—I should have said the Lord's money—to work for him now that it cannot serve you much longer. Will you not consider well what Christ has done for you? Did he not give himself for you? Will you not, then, make some substantial return to him? Can you not, will you not, do something with your money while it is under your control and direction for the honor and glory of Christ, and the good of your State?

Reader if you do not belong to the class addressed, give your paper to some one who does, and accompany the gift with an earnest prayer.

GEO. W. GRIFFIN,
S. W. Baptist University.

ORDINATION.

Bro. Graves:—The church at Spring Hill, at her regular meeting in April, 1877, called a Presbytery, for the purpose of ordaining to the gospel ministry our beloved brother, James C. Combs, consisting of the following brethren, to wit: Elds. W. L. Shack, A. J. Seale, Thomas Gorley, C. W. Smith, C. G. Blount, W. F. Davis and J. T. Pitts.

Bro. W. L. Shack was elected moderator, W. F. Davis clerk. By mutual arrangement, the examination of the candidate was conducted by Bro. Gorley. Then the Ordination Sermon by Bro. A. J. Seale, from 2 Timothy xi. 13. The Ordination prayer by Bro. J. T. Pitts, and charge and presentation of the Bible, Bro. W. L. Shack.

I will also state, that Bro. J. C. Harris, was ordained to the deaconship in Spring Hill church, at her last regular meeting, on the third Sabbath in December, 1877. There was a glorious revival of religion here last fall, of which you have had notice.

I have been reading your paper for some time, and have gained much valuable information from your Seven Dispensations, the Parables, Sermons, etc. May God long spare you to the churches is the prayer of your brother in Christ.

RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED BY THE BAPTIST CHURCH OF DANVILLE, ARK.

Whereas, The pastoral labors of Eld. Clark, with this church, have terminated by expiration of agreement, and he having accepted a call to serve in a larger field of labor. Therefore be it Resolved, That in Eld. W. A. Clark, who has served our church the past year, we have had an earnest, zealous, and faithful pastor; one largely imbued with the spirit of his Master; kind and affectionate in his intercourse with our membership; courteous and acceptable to our congregation, and a pastor who has done much to strengthen and build up our church and to establish the cause of Christ in our city—a pastor with whom we part regretfully.

Resolved, That in his new field of labor, that of State Missionary or Evangelist, under the patronage of the Home Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, we pray that his earnest, faithful labors in the cause of truth may be increased and his usefulness extended in promoting the cause of Christ's Kingdom in our State.

Resolved, That for Eld. Clark and his worthy companion, our beloved sister, we shall ever cherish the kindest regards and best wishes for

their temporal and spiritual welfare. We commend them to the kind consideration of all with whom their lot may be cast as every way worthy of confidence and esteem. We pray for their happiness and prosperity in this life, and eternal joys at the right hand of our Father in the courts of everlasting bliss.

Resolved, That these resolutions be spread upon our church records and a copy be furnished our city papers with a request to publish. Also request the *Western Baptist*, at Little Rock, and *The Baptist*, at Memphis, to copy.

Done in church conference, on Sunday morning, January 13th, 1878, and signed by order of the church.

H. C. CUNNINGHAM, Moderator,
ROBERT VAZLEY, Church Clerk.

A GOOD WORK.

Bro. Graves:—As there is no one that attempts to give you any news from this part of the country I offer this. I am preaching to one church that I helped organize three years ago, last August on six members, it now numbers sixty. We had a glorious revival here last year: sixteen or seventeen additions. I also preach to a church twelve miles from the river, organized in October, three years ago on four members; it now has thirty-five. Also to a church near Guthrieville of about thirty-five members that is in a prosperous condition. I also had an appointment in a section of country known as Bragdoctia, the fifth Sunday in September, but owing to sickness in my family, I could not go, and I got Bros. A. L. Boon and Jas. Weeks, identified to go and fill the appointment. A revival at once commenced, the result was twenty-four or twenty-five professed faith in the precious Savior. I went to their help on Saturday before the first Sunday in October, and on Sunday morning I preached a sermon on "Our Distinctive Principles," after which we proceeded to organize the brethren and sisters into a Baptist church. Twenty were in the organization, and on Monday morning we met at the water, where the writer proceeded to bury ten with Christ in baptism and, one from the Methodists. To God be all the glory.

Little Rock, Mo. W. W. ELLIS.

MINISTERS' AND DEACONS' MEETING.

The Minister's and Deacons' meeting of the third district of the Mississippi Baptist Association, met with Mount Nebo Baptist church, December 28, 29, 30, 1877.

Eld. C. W. McClellan preached the introductory sermon. Text, John ix. 4. Adjourned forty-five minutes.

AFTERNOON.

Met, singing, and prayer by Eld. Jesse Herring. ENROLLED NAMES OF CHURCHES AND DELEGATES:

1. Mount Pisgah,—Elds. C. W. McClellan, W. B. Carter, S. R. C. Adams.
 2. Hopewell,—Elds. J. Dag, J. Herring and Deacon D. J. Orr.
 3. Bethel,—Eld. J. D. McClanahan.
 4. Mount Olivet,—Eld. J. W. Simpson.
 5. Mount Nebo,—Deacons R. R. Gibson and J. B. Gibson.
 6. Friendship,—Deacon James Simpson.
- Elected the former Moderator, Eld. W. B. Carter, and the former Clerk, Eld. John M. Simpson.

Adopted the former order of business. The Moderator appointed the Deacons of Mount Nebo and Deacon D. J. Orr, Committee on Devotion.

QUESTION 1.—John x. 12. 1. Who was the hireling? 2. Who was caught? 3. And who do they represent?

Discussed by Eld. C. W. McClellan, Eld. Dag, and others.

ANSWER 1.—A man employed to care for them.

2. Sheep.

3. Literal hireling, literal sheep, and whole parable has reference to Christ's care for his people.

Partial report of Devotional Committee: Eld. John M. Simpson, preach to-night. Adjourned to Saturday morning nine o'clock.

Saturday morning 10 o'clock, singing and prayer by the Moderator.

Minutes of yesterday read and approved. QUESTION 2.—1 Cor. xv. 28. 1. Els what shall they do which are baptized for the dead if the dead rise not at all? 2. Why are they then baptized for the dead?

Discussed by Adams, McClanahan, Carter and others.

ANSWER 1.—They will evidently perish. 2. To show their death to sin and faith in the resurrection.

QUESTION 3.—Matt. xxii. 12. 1. "Friend how cometh thou in hither, not having a wedding garment?"

ANSWER 1.—It represents a man at the judgment clothed in his own righteousness.

QUESTION 4.—What was the cause of election by grace?

ANSWER.—The love of God for the children of men.

QUESTION 5.—Why do not church members wash one another's feet?

Discussed by James Simpson, Elds. W. B. Carter, and John M. Simpson.

ANSWER.—They do not believe it to be obligatory upon them. (Divislon).

Further report of the Devotional Committee: Eld. S. R. C. Adams, Sunday at 10 o'clock, Eld. Dag at 12 o'clock.

Next meeting to be held with New Friendship church, Friday before the fifth Sunday in March, Eld. W. B. Carter to preach the introductory, Eld. John M. Simpson alternate.

The brethren preached on Sunday to a small congregation (it being a rainy day) with force and instruction, with marked attention by the quiet congregation.

The parting hand was given while a hymn was sung and prayer by Deacon James Simpson.

W. B. CARTER, Moderator,
JOHN M. SIMPSON, Clerk.
Morgan county, Ala.

OBITUARIES.

Obituaries and Resolutions of Churches, if old subscribers send lines gratis; all over and of all non-subscribers, 15 cents per line of eight words. Cash must accompany the manuscript for it to receive attention.

Died near Memphis, Tenn., December the 29th, 1877, Mrs. Maria B. Parrott, wife of Eld. A. G. Parrott. The deceased was a daughter of Joshua and Nancy P. Smith. She was born in Sumner county, Tenn., February the 1st, 1835. She was married August the 9th, 1874. The most of her life was spent in teaching, to which work she was much devoted; and many who received instruction from her will mourn her death. She was also a great lover of the Sabbath-school, in which she was a faithful worker when her health admitted of it. She was devoted a Christian, a tender, gentle and loving wife, an affectionate mother, a warm and ardent friend. With a well-cultivated mind and gentle manners, she never failed to make friends wherever she went. But her work on earth is done; and we await only a short time to meet again on the other shore.

A. G. P.

The following resolutions, expressing the feelings and sentiments of Galliee church in regard to the death of Eld. S. Buffkin, her late pastor, were adopted by the church in conference on the fifth Lord's day in December, 1877:—

Whereas, Our heavenly Father, in his providence, has seen fit to call for and remove from us our beloved pastor, Bro. S. Buffkin, who died at his residence in Franklin county December the 20th, 1877; and whereas, the relation existing between our late pastor and his flock here was that of love, confidence and feelings most tender and reciprocal; and whereas, he had ministered unto us in things pertaining unto our spiritual good so faithfully for the past eleven years: therefore—

Resolved, that, while we realize, in sorrow and affliction, that we will never more in this life hear his kind and brotherly admonitions, his often, earnest entreaties to follow our Savior, and live for eternity, that we will never again, in this existence, hear his familiar voice in singing the sweet songs of Zion, we rejoice to believe that we may meet again in that home of the soul in "the sweet by and by," where we who heed the teach-

ings that our departed pastor has so often set before us,—may again unite with him in singing those sweet songs that comforted him so much while here with us.

Resolved that we commend to one another, and to our brethren elsewhere, the example of devotion to Christ's cause so constantly set forth by our dear brother.

Resolved, that, while we humbly bow to the Divine Will, we earnestly pray that the Lord of the harvest may raise up more laborers to fill the vacancies in his ministry caused by the absence of those who have been called to pass over the Jordan of death.

Resolved, that, as a feeble token of our sympathy with the family of our deceased pastor, we transmit to them a copy of these resolutions; and, that we may make known to our brethren elsewhere our appreciation of our late pastor, we send a copy to THE BAPTIST of Memphis and to the Mississippi Baptist Record for publication.

Resolved that we pray that the blessings of God may rest in a special manner upon his bereaved and afflicted family.

S. T. VAN NORMAN,

T. H. HOVE, Clerk. Moderator pro tem.

Died at the residence of her son, (Robt. Mullens) near Rutherford, Tenn., Mrs. F. E. Mullens, Jan. 21st, 1878, aged sixty-six years. She embraced religion in her maiden days. She never grew weary in the service of God. Though she was often tried by the crosses of life, she bore all with patience, and in answer to her repeated prayers, she fell asleep some three hours before she crossed over the chilly river. Thus closed the life of one of God's most devoted children. R. A. C.

"M'S FLAW" AGAIN.

The point at issue between "M." and myself is, one well worthy of consideration. If I understand the subject of controversy it is this: Should we pray for moral societies or not? The passage of Scripture, 2 John i. 9-10, to which "M." clings with such tenacity, certainly does not afford his theory any support whatever. The one leading thought designed to be taught in this passage of Scripture, as it seems to me, is that we are not to give our support and sympathy to those "who confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh." It is more than probable that in this Scripture as well as in the first epistle iv. 2-3, that John referred to a class of teachers among the Jews called Gnostics, a tenet in whose teachings was that Christ had not come in the flesh. The fountain of their system was therefore corrupt, and from the nature of things, all that they taught concerning man's redemption must be corrupt. We are "not to receive such into our houses," nor bid them "God speed." If this is not thought designed to be taught in the passage of Scripture referred to then our judgment is seriously at fault. It is therefore illogical, in our mind at least, to distort this Scripture so as to make it support the negative of this question. Yet this is what "M." has done. "Whosoever transgresseth and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ hath not God." "M." separated those words from the context to which they inseparably belong and cause them to apparently subserve his purpose by giving to them a general interpretation, instead of letting them teach one specific thing as they were designed to do,—i. e., if a man denies the incarnation of Christ, he is not a Christian. The above Scripture applies well to those who deny that Christ has come in the flesh;—but not to those who are members of moral societies as "M." would have you believe. To apply it indiscriminately to the members of all moral societies would prove more perhaps than "M." wants it to prove.

As all of these societies (except Masonry) have come into existence since the gospel was given, no rules therefore are found which were intended to regulate our prayers with reference to such bodies. The matter must be settled therefore upon the general principles of our religion, and it certainly is according to the spirit of our religion to pray for these societies, rather than to withhold our prayers from them. We need not pray that their existence be perpetuated, but if they must exist, let us pray that God may bless their existence to the promotion of his glory. These

societies with all their intellect and money are a power either for good or for evil, and as it were they are thrust upon us, and what better can we do by them than to ask God to utilize them to his glory, and to the good of men? We must do this or abandon them to the work of the Devil. I have expressed my conscientious views upon this subject, and I think that this article will close my part of the controversy.

QUERY FROM CALIFORNIA.

BY J. T. PRIOR.

IN Gal. iii. 13-14, we read: "Christ redeemed us from the curse of the law, having become a curse for us, because it is written: 'Cursed is every one that is hanged to a tree;' that unto the Gentiles the blessing of Abraham might come in Christ Jesus, that we might receive the promise of the Spirit through the faith." Do you understand that Paul limits the meaning of the pronoun "us" and the term "the law" and the entire sentence, so as to refer only to himself and a few Jewish Christians belonging to the churches of Galatia? There are those among us who teach that the law is not applicable to Gentiles in any sense whatever—that we are not under it as a pedagogue, but the Jews only—and that in regard to the Sinaitic Covenant there is no distinction, as between moral and ceremonial law: hence they conclude that the whole law was abrogated and that mankind are now under the natural law of which Paul speaks in Rom. ii. 14; that is, the sin and condemnation of the Gentile world are the results of the violation of a specific command on the part of Adam our federal head, and are not therefore referable to the law of Sinai. It seems to me that this theory destroys the law, which Christ came not to do, but rather to fulfill it. The Sinaitic Covenant is typical of the Covenant of Redemption. It therefore presents to us figuratively what were to be the true features of the Gospel Dispensation. The law in its special application to the nation of Israel prefigures the use of the law universally under the "better covenant with better promises." Paul says, "For I through law died to law." "I had not known sin except through law;" or as he elsewhere expresses the same idea, "When the commandment came sin revivified and I died." The law makes sin appear more and more sinful. "Now we know that whatever the law says it says to those under the law; that every mouth may be stopped, and all the world may become guilty before God." "Moreover the law came in also, that the trespass might abound." "Do we then make void law through faith? Far be it! Yea, we establish law." Paul seems to use the terms "law" and "the law" in certain connections as equivalent. I offer the following explanation: The Scriptures teach that we may view the law as possessing both an external and a spiritual character as applicable to the Jews in their civil, judicial and religious matters; but as applicable to all mankind under the Gospel Dispensation it is to be viewed only in its spiritual character. We sometimes speak of the distinction between the letter and the spirit of the law. Now, in regard to this text which says that the Gentiles were "a law unto themselves," as uttered by Paul it is true; but I find fault with the inference drawn from it, which stops short of the whole truth. We must interpret this text by comparing it with other passages of Scripture. The Bible is its own and best interpreter. In Galatians, third chapter, the apostle's argument was intended to represent the relation of the dispensations of the Old and the New Covenant. In verses twenty-two and twenty-three, by the use of the expressions, "But the Scriptures shut up all under sin," and "Before faith came, we were guarded under the law," he states equivalent results, thus making the terms "sin" and "law" at least co-relatives. Hence, what holds true of the Mosaic law in a certain sense, that is, in regard to its requirement of a holy nature, a holy life and the full payment of the infinite penalty on the part of the creature, holds true likewise of the natural law of the Gentile world. This exactness of the law of Moses must be referred to this general law of humanity, on the principle that all sin is the violation of law. "But yet death

reigned from Adam to Moses, even over those who sinned not after the likeness of Adam's transgressions, who is a type of him who was to come."

The first great commandment which requires us to love God supremely at all times is but an epitome of whole law. On it hangs all the law and prophets. This command applies to all men. But no one either Jew or Gentile ever fulfilled this law. Christ has done so for us. Hence, "Love is the fulfilling of the law," that is, when we receive Christ by faith we take him as our substitute, who destroys not, but keeps the law in our stead. If the law is a pedagogue to bring Jews only to Christ, I am deprived of one of the most powerful appeals to the unconverted. This loss more than counterbalances the seeming advantage we gain in the discussion of the Sabbath question with the Seventh-day Adventists by assuming that the law is in no sense binding upon Gentiles. In Matt. xxiii. 23, we learn that "the faith" is included as one of "the weightier matters of the law." In Gal. iii. 12, that "the law is not of faith." Is there an antithesis of the law to faith? If so, in what does it consist? The Adventists teach that there are two distinct laws contained in the Pentateuch—The Moral Law and the Ceremonial or Typical Law. How shall we steer between these two views? Do we really gain anything by denying the distinction between the letter and the spirit of the law?

I have read with much profit, your Seven Disquisitions. This serial is full of rich seed-thoughts for the rising ministry. When completed it should be published in book form. Our recent protracted meeting in Woodland resulted in nine additions to the church. Three by letter and six by experience and baptism. Woodland, Cal.

DEAR BRO. GRAVES:—The Lord is blessing my prayerful labors in this county as missionary. Since I commenced in October, eighty-eight have been enlisted for Jesus, besides the revival of older members. Near seventy by baptism. The last meeting was with the Mount Pleasant church, Eld. Jas. Turner pastor. He is about seventy, has been pastor near twenty-six years—near the end of his race. The meeting continued three weeks. Thirty-one added, twenty-seven by baptism. I baptized two who had been received on alien immersion years ago. I have never had any more sense than to take God's word at just what it says, and if I have a thus saith the Lord, all the Baalties, and other lies cannot frighten into receiving false teachings. May the Lord strengthen the hand of his servants against the adversary and give a complete victory. Yours in the work. J. R. M. BESSON.

Chillicothe, Mo., Jan. 29, 1878.

ANADARKO, I. T.

The Lord hath done great things for me, whereof I am glad. My firstborn child and only daughter, Annie, aged ten years, has made, this day, an intelligent and open profession of religion. God be praised for his goodness. God is blessing my work here among the Indian children of the mission school, where I preached to-day, and where many tears were shed. God hath taken two children from me here, but hath given me another forever. Blessed be his name: he doeth all things well. God will bless this event to the good of others: I look for it. A. J. HOLT.

Anadarko, I. T., January 12, 1878.

BRO. GRAVES:—I have entered upon my work in Mississippi. The out-look is encouraging. I find considerable destitution in this part of Mississippi among the Baptists. I will give half of my time to the church at Longtown. In taking charge of this church I become successor to the lamented Lusk. Though his pastorate here was a brief one, yet he did a great and good work. Have also been called by the church at Hornum for half of my time. I am very deeply interested in your discussion with Mr. Burruss. One of the leading members of Longtown church is considerably tainted with Universalism. God bless you. G. W. CATTALAN.

Longtown, Miss., Feb. 2, 1878.

OUR LANGUAGE.

BY G. W. JOHNSON.

The basis of English structure is Anglo-Saxon. But, half of its vocabulary is from foreign sources, yet assimilated to its own genius. This is a wonderful phenomenon: English with half of its body imported, has maintained the integrity of its structure, at least, if we view Saxon as its former life.

It has rebelled against the authority of formal grammar that still rules the polished sister languages, and its spirit has evolved new conditions of speech—elastic—various—more nervous and direct, for all the purposes of the greatest modern thought.

English is eminently a monosyllabic language, as is manifest in its best literature. In Butler's Analogy—chapter—of future life,—of three hundred and eighty-three consecutive words, two hundred and seventy-five are one syllabled. In a few opening lines of Bunyan's Pilgrim, of one hundred and nine consecutive words, eighty-eight are one syllabled. We select five and a half lines from Macbeth of fifty-two words of which fifty are one syllabled. In Wordsworth, thirty-two lines, one hundred and fifty-eight are monosyllabic out of one hundred and eighty-six words. In Byron's Adieu, out of eighty-eight consecutive words, seventy-four are monosyllabic; in his Sonnet on the death of John Keble, seventy-nine are one syllabled. In the opening of Paradise Lost, out of one hundred and twenty-two consecutive words, ninety-one are monosyllabic. In an Ode of Barfield, out of one hundred and one words, ninety-one are monosyllabic. In a song of Ben Jonson, out of eighty words, seventy are monosyllabic. In Bacon's celebrated article on "Studies," out of three hundred and thirty-two consecutive words, two hundred and forty-two are monosyllabic. In Dryden—"Hind and Panther," out of one hundred and thirty-seven words, one hundred and five are monosyllabic. In a page of Addison we counted one hundred and one monosyllabic words out of one hundred and forty-seven. In De foe, in "The Young Thief," one hundred and eighty-one out of two hundred and ten. In Young's Night Thoughts—"Time," in thirteen lines of one hundred and six words, eighty-eight are monosyllabic. Out of the nine hundred and fifty-five words in Gray's Elegy, seven hundred and eleven are monosyllabic, and of the remaining two hundred and forty-four words, two hundred and three are dissyllabic with monosyllabic roots. These examples could be continued to a wearying length,—showing this peculiar character of our language in its greatest literature, as Scott, Thackeray, Dickens, Burke and Webster, Spurgeon and our new orator, Cook. Those lofty flights of eloquence and poetry in English that have most moved the world, even beyond the boundaries of our language, are marked with this monosyllabic feature. And we have noted that every real orator of the pulpit and bar, when aglow with the fire of their own burning thoughts—use this briefest manner of utterance. Should not our young men cultivate the purity, simplicity, strength and beauty of our monosyllabic language?

Brownsville, Tenn., Feb. 4, 1878.

THE MARK OF THE BEAST.

I did not know until recently that any person agreed with me in my opinion that papal baptism is identical with the mark of the beast. A few years ago, being threatened with death for baptizing a Catholic lady, I preached a sermon in which I vindicated the above idea; and in my closing remarks said: Dear brother, whoever you are, if you have received the mark of the beast in your forehead, go down into the baptismal stream and wash it away. Then judge of my emotion when, a few months ago I heard a converted Catholic give expression to the same view in nearly the same language. He was a little Irishman, good looking, and pleasant in his deportment; possessed of a large stock of knowledge and not by any means deficient in that native wit for which his people are proverbial; and withal as spry as a rat and sharp as a briar. And, while ordinarily he possessed a becoming

reserve, it was no more trouble for him to talk in the pulpit than for water to run in an unobstructed channel. At the time alluded to he was delivering a lively and pointed lecture on "The subjects of communion?" and in his remarks said: "Will you say that we refuse to commune with members of Peder societies because we do not love them? That is not the reason: there is another obstacle in the way which love, however intense, is unable to surmount—they have not complied with the God appointed pre-requisites. I am one of five brothers, all of whom received the papal baptism in infancy,—do I not love them? Yes, with all ardor of a brother's love. But I cannot commune with them. Why? Because they have never received Christian baptism. But you may ask: Was you not sprinkled in infancy as well as they? I was, but I went down into the James River and washed away the mark of the beast."

T. J. ASHBURN.

West Union, West Virginia, Feb. 1, 1878.

TO THE READERS OF THE BAPTIST.

Whereas, the church at Selma has been unavoidably involved in debt, as can be seen from Bro. Griffin's account of its condition, and, unless they receive assistance from abroad, they will lose their house, and thus the Baptist cause be lost at that place, I feel that the Baptist cause there is our cause; yes, that it is the Master's cause, and that we, as his children, should lend a helping hand in this matter. Now I will make this proposition, that I will be one of five hundred that will pay one dollar each to help save that house to the Master's cause, and forward the same to Bro. Griffin by the first of March next. Brethren, let us not treat this matter with indifference, and thus allow the cause to be lost at such an important point as Selma. None of us will miss a dollar; but our dollars thus thrown together will relieve those brethren who are now burdened down with a debt which they are not able to pay. They doubtless will enroll our names as the benefactors in saving one of the neatest houses in the State. White Oak, Ark.

J. R. GREEN.

TO THE SISTERS WHO READ THE BAPTIST.

I will be one of five hundred ladies to give fifty cents each to save the church-house at Selma, and forward the same to Bro. Griffin by the first of March. Come, dear sisters, as the friends of Jesus let us rally to the relief of his suffering cause, and show that we are a power in the great vineyard of the Master. Let us, if possible, outstrip our brethren in this matter. Bro. Griffin will report our names through THE BAPTIST, and let all see who have assisted in this noble cause. White Oak, Ark.

ELLA GREEN.

J. R. Green \$1.00, Ella Green fifty cents. Now, will not each brother and sister in Southern Arkansas add their one dollar or fifty cents to this, and help Bro. Griffin save the church? We have contributed fifteen dollars in cash in proof of our individual interest in it. Send money to Bro. Griffin, and he will report it to the paper.—EDITOR.

OUR YOUNG MINISTERS AT JACKSON.

The plan of our Education Board for meeting the expenses of our young ministers at the University is most admirable. Certainly the man whose heart is in the work of the Lord ought to regard it as a wonderful privilege to attend upon the instructions of a wise and competent faculty upon such terms. I am glad the Board, in their wisdom, have fallen upon a plan by which no young minister will need to compromise his self-respect while securing the incalculable blessings of a thorough university training. He does not go as a pensioner or recipient of charity, but simply as a beneficiary, obliged to refund the full cost of his board as soon as he is able to do so after his leaving the University. But another blessed result of this plan is, that the young men who are thus enabled to do the first character of work for the Master become, at the same time, so many agents for extending the fund—already blessed to their own equipment—for the work of Heaven. It is humbly hoped that this plan will, in the course of a few years, secure a fund amply sufficient for the good work proposed. But, to meet

present demands, it is humbly suggested that the Education Board secure and send forth some good man to traverse our Heaven-favored field and solicit money for this permanent industrial fund. W. T. BENNETT.

Johnson's Grove, Tenn., February 1, 1878.

THE NECESSITY OF CONVERSION.

Why is it necessary that men should be converted in order to become fit subjects for the kingdom of the Great Divine? In the first place, it is necessary in order that they may be elevated to the proper dignity of rational creatures. To be an alien to God is to oppose and reject him and his laws. Alienation from God brings shame and dishonor on all who are comprised in it. Man is in a state of pollution and degradation as long as he is in a state of condemnation in the sight of God,—as long as he remains unconverted. A man must, in order to attain true dignity and glory, turn from his evil ways, and turn to God, who can save him, and none dare to molest or make him afraid.

In the second place, it is necessary in order that he may become qualified for church-membership. It must be remembered that church privileges are not to be superficially regarded or esteemed; and they are not, and cannot be, appreciated or enjoyed by those who are living in the gulf of bitterness and bonds of iniquity,—by those who are unconverted.

In the third place, it is necessary in order to his admittance into heaven. If the declaration as recorded by Matt. xviii. 3 refers to the kingdom of glory, this point is amply settled; and if it does not, there are other portions of Scripture which teach men the necessity of being converted in order to enter the heavenly mansion. It may be clearly apprehended, therefore, that conversion is as important as the attainment of celestial splendor. Who, then, can tell how important it is to share this glory? Conversion is as important as the salvation of the soul. Who can tell how important it is for the soul to be saved? "Except ye be converted and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven." Is not conversion necessary? H. R. MORAN.

Star City, Ark., February 5, 1878.

LETTER FROM BRAZIL.

BRO. GRAVES:—Since the mail has been coming by Europe THE BAPTIST does not come regularly; but you have made it such a good paper a few numbers pay very well.

We are having more than usual interest in our meetings. I have recently baptized seven very interesting young converts; and there are others waiting to come before the church.

I have been trying to arrange my business so that I could return to the United States with my motherless children; but my friends and the church protest so strongly, I find many ties that are very hard to sever. We now have two ordained ministers in the church; and I believe either would make a good and useful pastor.

Yours in Christ, praying that he may long spare your very useful life. RICHARD RATCLIFF.

Santa Barbara, Brazil, Christmas-eve, 1877.

A KIND WORD FROM A NEW SUBSCRIBER.

BRO. GRAVES:—I see in your paper kind words from old friends. I want to say a word as a new friend. I am sorry to say to you that I was very much opposed to you and your excellent paper—as many are to-day—until my good brother, J. H. R. Carden, urged me to take it, and gave me one dollar on my subscription, last February. My eyes have been opened so that I can say of a truth, it was all prejudice. I pray the good Lord to forgive me, and to open the eyes of thousands that are to-day prejudiced as I was. I regard your pulpit and the 7 Disquisitions worth twice what the paper costs. I could write you many pages, but I forbear. May the good Lord spare you long to earnestly contend for the faith once delivered to the saints. NEW SUBSCRIBER.

MARRIED.

In Wilkinson county, Miss., at the residence of the bride, on January the 22d, 1878, by Eld. W. H. Robert, Emory M. O'Quinn of Evergreen, La., and Miss Lizzie Jones.

The Baptist.

"THOU HAST GIVEN A BANNER TO THEM THAT FEAR THEE, THAT IT MAY BE DISPLAYED BY ALL THE PEOPLE OF THE EARTH."—ISA.

J. R. GRAVES, Editor and Proprietor
JAN. B. KILPATRICK, Book-keeper and Order Clerk
Business Office: 227 Second street, Memphis, Tenn.

TERMS, \$2.70 per annum, in advance. Send money by Postoffice Order, Registered Letter, Express or Draft, at our risk; otherwise at the sender's. If answer is desired by mail, send stamp or postal card.

Distinguishing Principles of Baptists.

1. As Baptists, we are to stand for the supreme authority of the word of God as the only and sufficient rule of faith and practice. The Bible, and the Bible only, as opposed to all human tradition in matters both of faith and practice, we must claim as being a distinguishing doctrine of our denomination—a doctrine for which we are called earnestly to contend.

2. As Baptists, we are to stand for the ordinances of Christ as he enjoined them upon his followers, the same in manner, in mode, in order, and in symbolic meaning, unchanged and unchangeable till he come.

3. As Baptists, we are to stand for a spiritual and regenerated church, and that none shall be received into Christ's church, or be welcomed to a distinguishing doctrine of our denomination—a doctrine for which we are called earnestly to contend.

Distinguishing Policy of Historical Baptists.

The non-recognition of human societies as scriptural churches by affiliation, ministerial or ecclesiastical, or any alliance or co-operation that is susceptible of being apparently or logically construed by our members or their friends as a recognition of ecclesiastical or ministerial equality with Baptist churches.

DISCUSSIONS.

THE most important discussions now taking place in the religious world are not those occurring between Baptists and Catholics, or Baptists and Pedobaptists, or Baptists and Campbellites, or Baptists and Universalists, but those between Baptists themselves. Far mightier results to Baptists and to the world will come from the latter than the former,—results that must determine whether the denomination called Baptist have so much as the moral right to exist. The denomination is divided into two parties upon the questions: "What constitutes a Scriptural, and therefore Christian church?" and "What are its duties and prerogatives?"

One part of the denomination recognized as the radically strict, and called Landmarkers, conscientiously hold that no organization is entitled or should be called or treated as a Christian church which does not strictly conform in constitution to the apostolic churches, which they regard as the patterns of Christ's churches in all ages. They hold that no one but an officer of one of these churches has the Scriptural right to preach, baptize or administer the Supper, or perform any official act, and to receive the administrations of the officers of such bodies as do not conform to the apostolic pattern is both inconsistent and wrong.

The other party, called the liberals or affiliationists, hold and teach that Papal and Protestant organizations, though not Scriptural churches, yet it is neither inconsistent or wrong to invite their ministers to preach for Baptist churches, act as presbyters in the ordination of our ministers, hold meetings with and baptize for us,—only they may not administer the Supper to or partake of it with our churches. This party is opposed to the disciplining of our ministers and members should they commune with alien organizations, or to interpose a barrier to the members of such bodies communing with us.

A discussion between the *Mississippi Baptist Record* and the *Religious Herald* of one part of this question has recently closed, and the press is now commenting upon it, while the other aspect of it is under discussion in the Pastor's Meetings, Louisville, Ky., and continued in the columns of the *Western Recorder*.

Drs. Jeter in Virginia, and Burrows in Kentucky, are the recognized leaders of the liberal Baptists of the South. We give this week the views of Bro. J. M. Pendleton, our former associate editor, touching the *Record-Herald* discussion, and subsequently the views of the *Recorder* upon the Louisville discussion.

The issue is vital to the Baptists for this reason: If Papal, Protestant and Campbellite organizations are Scriptural churches, and this can be the only reason why their ordinances should be received or officers recognized, then Baptist organizations are

not churches, and have no moral right to exist and distract the world with their heresies.

PENDLETON ON THE RECORD-HERALD DISCUSSION.

The Landmark discussion is one of the most singular to be found in the annals of controversy. On one side are to be found all Roman Catholics, all Lutherans, all Episcopalians, all Presbyterians, all Methodists, and all Landmark Baptists. On the other side are to be found all anti-Landmark Baptists, and, so far as I know, nobody else. That is to say, all the former believe that baptism and church membership so rightfully precede the preaching of the gospel, that men unbaptized and without church membership ought not to be recognized as preachers of the gospel. All the latter, the anti-Landmark Baptists, think that men unbaptized and of course without church membership may be recognized as preachers of the gospel. They confine the recognition, however, to what they call preachers of "evangelical denominations."

As to baptism and church membership Baptists and Pedobaptists are of course the poles apart. The sprinkling of unconscious infants we regard as unlike baptism as it well can be. The point I make is this, that Pedobaptists, Romanists and Protestants regard what they call baptism and church membership as prior to the preaching of the gospel. In this sense they stand on the same ground with Landmark Baptists. When therefore Pedobaptists approve affiliation with Baptist ministers, whatever else may be said, this is to be said, that they approve, on the part of Baptist ministers, a practiced surrender of a principle held by Pedobaptists. This is too plain to dwell upon.

The question discussed by Bro. Gambrell is this: "Ought Baptists to affiliate with ministers of Pedobaptist churches by an exchange of pulpits?" Dr. Jeter, not satisfied with this statement of the question, has changed it thus: "Is it right and expedient for Baptists to exchange pulpits with Pedobaptists?"

In reading Dr. Jeter's first article I was impressed with this view, and in reading it now the impression is deepened, that if his understanding of what is involved in the exchange of pulpits is correct, then the Landmark question will soon cease to be a practical one. I mean that if the matter is explained to Pedobaptists as Dr. Jeter regards it, the days of pulpit affiliation are numbered. I quote from Dr. Jeter's first article as follows: "Pedobaptist ministers invited to our pulpits never for a moment suppose that we deem them regularly qualified ministers of the gospel." I think Dr. Jeter labors under a mistake in assuming that Pedobaptist ministers "never for a moment suppose," etc. It is not necessary to enlarge on this point. I only say that whenever the time comes in which Pedobaptist ministers understand that Baptists do not "deem them regularly qualified ministers of the gospel," no respectable man among them will favor pulpit exchanges. Thus the object of Landmark Baptists will be virtually accomplished as soon as Dr. Jeter's view is understood by all whom it concerns. In his second article Dr. Jeter expresses himself thus: "To be consistent, Landmarkers should remove from their libraries all Pedobaptist works; from their center tables, all papers and tracts of Pedobaptist origin; and from their hymn-books, all the charming hymns of Watts, Doddridge, Cowper, Newton," etc.

The fault may be mine, but I do not see the force which Dr. Jeter no doubt attributes to the foregoing. He admires the Declaration of Independence written by Jefferson, but he does not remove the Declaration from his library because Jefferson was an infidel. Why should I remove Dr. Channing's works from my library because he was a Unitarian? But there is a question which derives its force from his personal application to Dr. Jeter. It is this: Why does he not remove those "charming hymns" from his library, when it is "Watts, Doddridge, Cowper, Newton," etc., were alive he would not commune at the Lord's table with one of them? If I should repudiate the hymns of these men because I do not invite Pedobaptists to preach, should not Dr. Jeter repudiate them because he does not invite Pedobaptists to commune? If he is not as inconsistent as I, the reason escapes me. I think we are both consistent on these two points. In his fifth article Dr. Jeter says: "Our question, then, stripped of its appendages, is this: Is it right for Pedobaptists to give religious instruction?" I am not aware that Dr. Jeter has ever written an editorial of any length on the Landmark question without using the words "give religious instruction." It always amuses me to see these words employed as equivalent to preaching the gospel. They are not equivalent, but the use of them is no doubt supposed to render the task of an "affiliationist" somewhat easier. Who ever said that it is wrong for Pedobaptists to give religious instruction? I am not aware that anybody has said so. It is the business of Sunday-school teachers "to give religious instruction," but it does not follow that it is their business to preach. (I use the term preach in its ordinary sense.) It is the duty of young converts, before they are baptized, "to

give religious instruction" in the sense of telling their associates how they can be saved; but would Dr. Jeter set them to preaching? I suppose not. I refer to this thing of giving "religious instruction" because Dr. Jeter by italicizing the foregoing question, "stripped," as he says "of its appendages," shows that he attaches great importance to it. He calls it "our question;" but it is not the Landmark question. To make this as clear as the light of day I quote again from Dr. Jeter. He says: "The duty of giving religious instruction is enforced on every pious Pedobaptist by the law of love. It is written: 'Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself.'" This is true enough, but what then? Is every pious Pedobaptist to preach in Baptist pulpits? Dr. Jeter will not say this. That is, I undertake to say for him that he will not insist that every pious Pedobaptist, man, woman or child, should preach. But this is not all. We have in the quotation just made the words, "Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself," and this injunction, according to the teaching of Christ, comprehends the six commandments contained in the second table of the law. I need not say that these six commandments, embodied in Christ's injunction, are binding on all human race. Very well. Then the obligation recognized in the words, "Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself," makes it the duty of every pious Pedobaptist to give religious instruction, in the sense of preaching the gospel, of accountable age, to do the same thing. Shall we therefore consider as preachers all men and women whether good or bad, righteous or wicked? For the obligation to love their neighbors rests on them all. Surely it is plain that neither the duty of giving "religious instruction," nor the duty of universal love makes it right that every man and woman should be a preacher. Dr. Jeter of course does not so regard it, but the attempt to identify giving "religious instruction" with the preaching of the gospel is a very illogical thing.

To be continued.

THREE THINGS.

Three things to love: Courage, gentleness and affection.

Three things to admire: Intellect, dignity and gracefulness.

Three things to hate: Cruelty, arrogance and ingratitude.

Three things to delight in: Beauty, frankness and freedom.

Three things to wish for: Health, friends and a contented spirit.

Three things to like: Cordiality, good humor and cheerfulness.

Three things to avoid: Idleness, loquacity and flippant jesting.

Three things to cultivate: Good books, good friends and good humor.

Three things to contend for: Honor, country and friends.

Three things to govern: Temper, tongue and conduct.

Three things to think of: Life, death and eternity.

THE NEW YEAR'S GIFTS TO THE YOUNG MINISTERS AT JACKSON.

We gratefully acknowledge the following sums as New Year's Gifts for the young ministers at Jackson.

NAMES OF CONTRIBUTORS.

J. R. Graves \$1.00, Mrs. G. A. Graves 1.00, Miss Nora Graves 1.00, J. R. Graves, Jr., 1.00, Geo. S. Graves 1.00, Eld. E. F. H. Johnson, Greensboro, Miss., 3.00; Ivan M. Wise, Oak Ridge, La., 1.75; A. C. Martin, Terrell, Texas, 1.00; (through Eld. Asa Cox) J. D. Wilson, Paris, Tenn., (DeCoursey), 1.30; Sister Mattie Hearn, Paris, Tenn., 1.20; Miss Ann Murray, Memphis, \$1.00; Mrs. Antoinette Parks 1.00, Miss Minnie Parks 25c. Eld. E. M. Parks, Cold Water, Miss., 1.00, John Granberry, Homer, La., 1.00.

Are there not one hundred others in Cold Water Association, Mississippi, who will do what Bro. Parks and family have done? Four noble young ministers from Cold Water Association are at Jackson depending upon the friends of ministerial education for their sole support. Help us, brethren, to keep them at school until they are prepared to return and preach for your churches, and this will be your reward.

L. C. Butler, Petersburg, Tenn.—Send 25 cts. every month for the year, Bro. B., and it will be handsome.

FOR BRO. HOLY.

John Granberry, Homer, La., 1.00; J. M. Russell, Bulger's Mill, Ala., 1.00.

BREVITIES.

Eld. G. W. Reeves has been called to the pastorate of the church at Dardanelle, Ark.

The Murphy temperance movement has been inaugurated in Little Rock, Ark.

The ocean vessels now pass to and from New Orleans through the Jetties alone, as will be seen from the daily dispatches.

James B. Eads has received his second payment on the Jetties; and it is proposed that this great piece of engineering shall be called Eads's Eddies.

The right to preach carries with it, we think, the right to baptize. This is the Bible view of the case.—*Mississippi Baptist Record*.

Sen. S. D. Lee, a deacon in the Baptist church, has been elected to the Senate of the Legislature of Mississippi.

Dr. M. T. Sumner of Marion, Ala., is visiting Texas in the interest of the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary.

Eld. S. M. Provence of East Tennessee has been called to the pastoral care of the Brownsville church. He is a gentleman of fine abilities.

Bro. Jesse Baker, pastor at Mossy Creek, is meeting with great success, and is frequently troubling the baptismal waters.

Eld. T. J. Lane has been called to preach for the Morristown church, East Tennessee, one Sabbath in the month.

Rev. C. H. Spurgeon, the great London preacher, is in very ill health. He left home on the 10th ult., and will spend some time on the continent.

Dr. W. A. Nelson of the First church, Nashville, who has been seriously ill, we are pleased to note is recovering.

Dr. J. G. Binny of the Rangoon Theological Seminary, Burmah, died recently. He died at sea, and was buried in the Indian Ocean. He entered the Burman mission in 1831.

The church at Meridian, Miss., has called Eld. W. B. Crumpton of Alabama to serve it as pastor. The call was unanimous. It is thought he will accept.

Eld. O. C. Pope, late pastor of the Central church, Nashville, left last week for Houston, Texas. He becomes associate editor of the *Texas Baptist Herald*.

Col. J. B. Chrisman of Brookhaven, Miss., has been appointed Circuit Judge by Gov. Stone. Bro. Chrisman is a lawyer of eminent abilities, and is in every way worthy to fill this important office. No better man could have been selected.

In Ontario and Quebec the Baptists have been increasing rapidly. Since 1868 they have increased from sixteen to over twenty-five thousand communicants; and they have more than doubled their resources and means of usefulness.

Annie Preston writes from the home of Moody: "People in Northfield now-a-days have more to say about Mr. Moody's new residence, servants, visitors, games, music, dinners, toilets, horses, carriages, sheep and other stock than about his religion."

It is nonsense to talk about making a first-class religious weekly the size of the *Western Recorder* without an annual income of fifteen or sixteen thousand dollars.—*Western Recorder*. This means eight thousand subscribers; and the *Recorder* is right.

The Baptist churches in New York represent property to the value of one million four hundred and forty-six thousand five hundred dollars, against which there is an indebtedness of two hundred and twenty-three thousand three hundred dollars.

Rev. J. E. Dame, late of the Freewill Baptist denomination, has united with the First Baptist church at Haverhill, Mass. Mr. Dame is a graduate of Amherst College, and studied theology at New Hampton. He finds himself theologically and practically at home in the Baptist denomination.

A preacher who holds heretical views must give up his official position or be dealt with as the Discipline directs.—*Christian Advocate*. And that virtually commits Methodists to a close

pulpit.—*Western Recorder*. And it commits liberal Baptists to a close pulpit, but they will neither submit to law nor order.

The Baptists have succeeded in raising the one hundred thousand dollars needed for the endowment of Bluff College, Alton, Ill. The largest gift was from a lady,—six thousand dollars. Let the Baptists of Big Hatchie Association take heart, and complete the endowment of their chair this year, and their part of the great work will be done.

We assure our friends, one and all, that their favors in the way of new subscribers and renewals are highly appreciated. Let every friend of the paper work now; for this is the golden opportunity. We cannot, of course, publish the many kind words of approval and encouragement, as it would fill the paper to the exclusion of other matter; but they are none the less appreciated.

Sister Stillwell of Stone Mountain, the widow of our lamented friend and brother, Rev. J. M. Stillwell, will publish a volume of Bro. Stillwell's sermons, and will call upon the people for subscriptions to the book. We sincerely hope that her labor will prove successful, and that all will subscribe who are called upon by Mrs. Stillwell. Bro. Stillwell was a devoted, zealous and eloquent preacher; and his sermons in book form will constitute a valuable legacy to his brethren. We hope that a large edition will be disposed of.—*Index*.

IS IT IMPRACTICABLE?—A certain Methodist minister preached two sermons on the subject of baptism, and, among other reasons against immersion, he said it was impracticable in cold countries, and that Baptists have no mission stations north of sixty-five degrees latitude. That argument against immersion is as destitute of novelty as it is of weight. If Baptists are found as far north as sixty-five degrees, why should they not live and labor as far north as seventy-five? If they can practice baptism in sixty-five degrees north, what is there to hinder baptism ten degrees farther north? The fact is, Baptists are preaching the gospel and discipling men and women as far north as Tromsø, in a latitude of about seventy degrees.

Some one, we suspect Bro. Melyin, sends us a minute of the Kosciusko Association, Mississippi, October session. They are rather late getting out. There is much in little space. The reports are all short and to the point. There are twenty-five churches, reporting, in aggregate, one hundred and twenty-three baptisms for the year. There were forty-two more dismissed than received by letter, which jangles the Western fever. We see the name of J. T. Freeman on his list. The report on publications is a model one; and we give it, assuring the brethren that we appreciate the kind words recorded for us. What harm for every Association to do something like this? "We, your committee on documents, make the following report for your consideration: THE BAPTIST, edited by Eld. J. R. Graves of Memphis, Tenn., is so reputable as the leading expounder of the Baptist faith, and having such pre-eminence in worth, it needs no recommendation from us. Also the *Christian Repository*, published in St. Louis, Mo., is orthodox in the faith. As a Sunday-school paper, we think *Kind Words* has no superior. And we recommend the *Southern Baptist*, published at Meridian, Miss., as a good paper. As a State organ, we would recommend the *Baptist Record*, published at Clinton, Miss., and edited by Eld. J. B. Gambrell. It should be in the house of every Baptist in the State; and we earnestly request the churches to support it as an exponent of the Baptist doctrines. All of which we respectfully submit.

L. S. TERRY.
W. C. VEAZY.
R. B. FARRER.

ILLUSTRATIONS.

When I was in my first parish I had a class of young pupils whom I questioned about my sermons. Thus I learned what parts are best remembered, and I found that they were the illustrations. So I resolved never to shoot off an arrow without winging it.—GUTHRIE.

MESSENA.—Napoleon used to say of his great general, Messena, that there was in him a strange reserve of power; that he was not himself until

the tide of the fight began to turn against him; that then, somehow, "his powers of combination awoke, and he put on terror and victory as a robe." So we are to be sure, that, when the battle is the fiercest, our strength need not be out-matched: there shall be reserves of strength for us. Only it is not strength inherent: it is strength imparted. Christ is in us. Let us believe this. Let us put resolutely out of heart and life everything which obscures the consciousness of his indwelling. We shall surely know thus the meaning of spiritual strength. Even Paul's great words shall find a place, at least in some measure fitting, upon our feeble lips.

KNIGHT VILLARDELL.—"I can do all things through Christ which strengtheneth me," says the apostle.

In spiritual battles let us remember in whom our strength lies.

At Barcelona, in Spain, there is a large bronze statue of the brave knight Villardell. The legend runs that he killed a famous dragon; but when the deed was done, in the moment of his triumph, he forgot to give God praise, and would only shout, "Well done, good sword; well done, brave arm of Villardell." And then, at once, a drop of the dragon's poisonous blood fell upon his arm from the sword he brandished, and he died. So the dragon killed Villardell after all.

Exactly so with the man trusting in himself: he is never the victor, but the vanquished.

Infelicitissimus (most unhappy) is the inscription William Henry Herbert, in his will, ordered to be inscribed upon his tombstone. He was a man of literary tastes and habits; and his works are standard authority on sporting subjects, fishing, hunting, etc. His life was spent in the circle of fashionable men, who usually give tone to that section of society. Unhappy, indeed, must have been the man who would thus order the record of his griefs to be cut in enduring marble. The epitaph is not only a record, but a warning,—a solemn appeal from a hopeless grave. Men of the world, who drink to its dregs the cup of worldly pleasure, "be not deceived; God is not mocked; for whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he reap."

PRAYER-MEETING.

Our Prayer-Meeting opens on each Sunday afternoon at three o'clock, and it is proposed that every Christian who reads this will consecrate that hour to prayer for objects presented in this column.—E. H. B.

"There is a scene where spirits blend,
Where friend holds fellowship with friend;
Though sundered far by faith we meet
Around one common mercy-seat."

Many have yielded to go a mile with Satan who never intended to go with him twain; but when once on the way with him, have been inveigled further and further, until they know not how to leave him. Thus he leads poor creatures down into the depths of sin, by winding stairs, so that they see not the end of that to which they are going.—*Worthington*.

Try to live such a life, so full of events and relationships, that the two great things, the power of Christ and the value of your brethren's souls, shall be tangible and certain to you, not subjects of speculation and belief, but realities which you have seen and known; then sink the shell of personal experience, lest it should hamper the truth that you must utter, and let the truth go out as the shot goes, carrying the force of the gun with it, but leaving the gun behind.—*Rev. Phillips Brooks*.

We are only called upon to live by the moment. Christ does not bid us bear the burdens of tomorrow, or next week, or next year. Every day we are to come to him in simple obedience and faith, asking help to keep us and aid us through that day's work; and to-morrow, and to-morrow, and to-morrow, through years of long to-morrows, it will be but the same thing to do; leaving the future always in God's hands, sure that he can care for it better than we. Blessed trust, that can thus confidently say, "This hour is mine, with its present duty; the next is God's and when it comes, Christ's presence will come with it." This is the rest of faith whose heavenly calmness no storms disquiet.

New Series—Vol. XI. No. 12.

[Continued from last week]

5. But God chooses to glorify himself in our salvation and final reward according to the deeds done in the body. The promise of the life that now is, and of that which is to come, is held out as a motive to seek and serve God. So a reward is promised to every one "according as his work shall be." (Rev. xxii. 12.) This final reward stands related to our conduct in this life as consequence to cause. Every one shall receive according to the deeds done in the body, "whether good or bad." (3 Cor. v. 10.) "Whosoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap." (Gal. vi. 7.)

Many seem to think that the great effort should be made to lead men to Christ,—to save them from this life. This done, and the rest is of little importance. To be saved is a blessing unspeakably great; but the life we lead in Christ is none the less emphasized and magnified in the Scriptures. In fact, to emphasize the importance of salvation without emphasizing the importance of a holy life of labor for is to ignore or blot out the Master's words when he speaks of Christians as the light of the world, the salt of the earth and laborers in the vineyard. The grand aim of the Christian life to be attained in this world is the glory of God in the salvation of men. Men are saved to be turned about and made immediately the efficient instruments to save others. Soldiers are not enlisted to simply eat the king's rations and sleep under his tent, but to fight his battles and win his victories. But he rewards them none the less. While God's glory is the primary end, and to be kept constantly in view, the promise of reward is prominent.

False theories—a falsely formulated faith—must always beget a false practice. Sin, the abominable thing which God hates, is too lightly esteemed. Its fearful consequences, as presented in the Scriptures, are but dimly seen, and less appreciated. They reach to the lowest hell, they have sealed the highest heavens, and they will extend to the utmost infinitude of eternity itself. “The Lord has enjoined his precepts, that we should keep them strictly.” A very large part of the New Testament is occupied with admonition to a holy life, and exhortations, by parable, precept and direct commandment to good works; but go to any Protestant creed or articles of faith in Christendom, and you can scarcely find more than a brief reference to good works, if not a word of caveat in regard to them. Theologians spend whole chapters and lectures to show what worthless trash they are in the sight of Heaven; and some have gone so far as to set them down as an actual disadvantage. With such a perverted view can it be any wonder that the stream

DR. GRAVES:—About the 10th of last August I purchased from you a Banning Lung and Body Brace for my afflicted wife. My wife had been afflicted for more than a year with *prolapsed uteri*, female weakness, which had troubled her since the birth of our first and only babe. I tried skillful physicians; they differed as to what her disease was, but all agreed that it was some derangement of the reproductive organs. They tried various

In very feeble health, I made the trip with

I R GRAVES

I R GRAVES