

J. R. Graves, J. S. Mahaffey, G. W. Granberry.

Baptist Book House,

227 Second St. Memphis, Tenn.

This house has been organized for the purpose of supplying the denomination with the best of all the standard Baptist publications and theological literature published anywhere, and at publishers' prices.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

OLD LANDMARKS—WHAT IS IT? By J. R. Graves. In this work the author discusses and "sets up," by Scriptural and historical authority, all the old landmarks of the apostolic and primitive churches, and demonstrates what has been the general practice of the churches of Christ in all centuries. Every reader of the Standard should have a copy of this important and interesting work. Price, \$1.00.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION. By R. T. Taylor. The author sets forth in a very forcible manner the great importance of Christian union, how it might be brought about without any sacrifice of conscience, and that the want of it is the fault of the Baptist. Price in cloth, \$1.00.

THE SYMBOLIC RITE OF BAPTISM.

The Symbolic Rite of Baptism: Its History and Significance. By W. C. Duncan. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE. By Ed. J. Baker. In cloth, 111 pages, 50 cts.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE CHURCH—ITS POLITY AND ORGANIZATION.

THE CHURCH—ITS POLITY AND ORGANIZATION. By Rev. H. Harvey, D.D. This book, on a very important subject, gives the results of Dr. Harvey's studies of many years, and the substance of his lectures to the Theological Seminary at Hamilton, N.Y. It is a brief and pointed statement of the subject from a Baptist standpoint. It will be found especially useful to ministers and laymen. Price, \$1.00.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. The very book to circulate among your Methodist friends. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION. By R. T. Taylor. The author sets forth in a very forcible manner the great importance of Christian union, how it might be brought about without any sacrifice of conscience, and that the want of it is the fault of the Baptist. Price in cloth, \$1.00.

THE SYMBOLIC RITE OF BAPTISM.

The Symbolic Rite of Baptism: Its History and Significance. By W. C. Duncan. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE. By Ed. J. Baker. In cloth, 111 pages, 50 cts.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE CHURCH—ITS POLITY AND ORGANIZATION.

THE CHURCH—ITS POLITY AND ORGANIZATION. By Rev. H. Harvey, D.D. This book, on a very important subject, gives the results of Dr. Harvey's studies of many years, and the substance of his lectures to the Theological Seminary at Hamilton, N.Y. It is a brief and pointed statement of the subject from a Baptist standpoint. It will be found especially useful to ministers and laymen. Price, \$1.00.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. The very book to circulate among your Methodist friends. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION. By R. T. Taylor. The author sets forth in a very forcible manner the great importance of Christian union, how it might be brought about without any sacrifice of conscience, and that the want of it is the fault of the Baptist. Price in cloth, \$1.00.

THE SYMBOLIC RITE OF BAPTISM.

The Symbolic Rite of Baptism: Its History and Significance. By W. C. Duncan. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE. By Ed. J. Baker. In cloth, 111 pages, 50 cts.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE CHURCH—ITS POLITY AND ORGANIZATION.

THE CHURCH—ITS POLITY AND ORGANIZATION. By Rev. H. Harvey, D.D. This book, on a very important subject, gives the results of Dr. Harvey's studies of many years, and the substance of his lectures to the Theological Seminary at Hamilton, N.Y. It is a brief and pointed statement of the subject from a Baptist standpoint. It will be found especially useful to ministers and laymen. Price, \$1.00.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. The very book to circulate among your Methodist friends. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION.

THE POWER OF CHRISTIAN UNION. By R. T. Taylor. The author sets forth in a very forcible manner the great importance of Christian union, how it might be brought about without any sacrifice of conscience, and that the want of it is the fault of the Baptist. Price in cloth, \$1.00.

THE SYMBOLIC RITE OF BAPTISM.

The Symbolic Rite of Baptism: Its History and Significance. By W. C. Duncan. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE.

QUESTIONS OF THE AGE. By Ed. J. Baker. In cloth, 111 pages, 50 cts.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION.

BAPTIST FACTS VS. MISREPRESENTATION. By J. R. Graves. In cloth, 16 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. I. is a history of the Baptists in America. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. I. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH.

THE HISTORY OF THE BAPTIST CHURCH. By G. H. Orchard. Vol. II. is a history of the Baptists in England. Both chronologically arranged. Vol. II. 120 pages, 50 cts.

THE BAPTIST.

Jan. 2, 1885, 18
No. 1, 4th Avenue, N.Y.

Stand ye in the ways, and see and ask for the old paths, which are the good ways, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls.—Jeremiah.

Entered at the Post Office of Memphis, Tenn., as Second Class Matter.

Old Series—Vol. XXXVI.

MEMPHIS, TENN., MAY 8, 1880.

New Series—Vol. XII. No. 47.

Our Pulpit.

BAPTISM IS THE PROFESSION, ON THE PART OF THE SUBJECT, OF "THE FAITH" OF THE GOSPEL.

A SERMON BY REV. J. R. GRAVES, LL.D., EDITOR OF "THE BAPTIST," MEMPHIS, TENN.

(From the Central Baptist.)

"Unto (the) what, then, were ye baptized?" Acts xix. 3.

CHRISTIAN baptism is not the celebration of a religious rite by modes indifferent, but a specific act, to be administered by a specific body to persons professing specific qualifications, for the profession of specific truths. When one of these properties is wanting, the transaction is "null and void," since, unless the ordinances are observed as Christ commanded, they are not kept, but perverted, and being upon the parties not the commendation but condemnation of the Master.

The Divine Instructor of the rite selected but one word to indicate the act he designed to be observed, and that word, *baptizo*, which never had but one meaning when referring to persons, viz.: "To dip in or under water," and therefore immersion in water was the act he expressly forbade any other act to be performed in his name for Christian baptism.

It is admitted by all that a Scriptural church is the only organization he authorized to administer this rite; and it is a notable fact that all the most eminent Federalist scholars of both continents freely admit that there is neither precept nor example in the Scriptures for the administration of baptism to any other than to those who give credible evidence of repentance toward God and faith in our Lord Jesus Christ, while some, whose scholarship cannot be doubted, frankly admit that the baptism of unbelieving children is not only not justified by the Scriptures, but utterly opposed to the genius of the gospel and the fundamental principles of the New Testament. It only remains to ascertain what are the specific truths or facts Christian baptism was instituted to express, and this is what I propose to do in this discourse.

That baptism was instituted by Christ for some essential purpose all denominations admit. That it is for the profession of something on the part of the subject is not only set forth by all Catholic and Protestant creeds, but must be the conviction of every reader of the Scriptures. The question of Paul to the twelve disciples at Ephesus, which I have chosen to discuss, clearly supposes this.

When Paul heard from these disciples that they had not so much as heard of the existence of the third person in the Trinity, he asked with evident astonishment, "Unto what, then, were ye immersed?" He was understood by them to ask what faith they could have professed by their baptism; and they answered: "Into John's baptism," i.e., the faith preached by John the Baptist. They did not say they had been baptized by John, and their answer implies that they had not; for they could not have heard John preach or been baptized by him without having heard of, and

experienced, professedly at least, the convicting and regenerating influences of the Holy Spirit. John baptized only those who gave him satisfactory evidence of repentance towards God and faith in Christ soon to appear, and no one could exercise these graces without the influences of the Holy Spirit. They had doubtless been instructed and immersed by Apollos, a disciple of John, who was preaching in these parts, and he knew nothing but the baptism of John, and had no authority to baptize. Three of the four essential properties of Christian baptism were wanting in their case:—

1. The proper authority, since no one but John was authorized to administer his baptism, and he certainly authorized none of his disciples to baptize.

2. They were evidently unregenerate, and therefore disqualified to receive Christian baptism.

3. They did not profess the proper faith in their baptism.

Paul therefore rightly instructed and baptized them.

We learn from this Scripture,—

1. That persons may be immersed and yet not have received the Christian immersion.

2. That they may be immersed by an administrator who had himself been immersed, and yet not obeyed Christ in the act.

3. That persons may have been immersed and satisfied with their baptism, and yet not have received Christian immersion, or baptism, and—

4. That unless professing the proper qualifications and professing the proper faith, an immersion by even a proper authority is null and void.

This example is positive instruction to the church.

Now that Christian baptism has been and still is regarded as the act on the part of the church baptizing, whether true or false, is proven by the fact that from the third century onwards the "catechumens"—those under course of instruction for the church, and then the question was invariably asked, "Wilt thou be baptized into this faith?" i.e., do you desire to profess that you receive to hold and rest your salvation upon it? Only upon the candidate answering "I will," was baptism administered.

Even when the apostle Luke converted the rite of baptism to a "sacrament" and "seal" of salvation, and administered it to unconscious infants to secure their salvation, sponsors and godfathers and mothers were invented to answer for the speechless babe.

The Episcopalians retain this custom, and of every infant sprinkled by them this question is asked: "Dost thou believe all the articles of the Christian faith as contained in the apostles' creed?" The sponsor answers, (for the infant), "I do."

"Wilt thou be baptized in this faith?" Sponsor for

before the blood, thus belonging to an undoubted, unchangeable state to pass by, as the sacrament of salvation. Surely no church at Christ can endorse this pernicious doctrine, by naming those immersed by Catholics or Campbellites as Scripturally baptized, without herself rejecting the faith of the gospel.

That the Protestant Episcopal church baptizes into the same faith as its parent church, the Catholic, her own standards attest and her scholars freely admit.

Every one ever yet confirmed as a member of that body at his confirmation was required to give the answer to this question:—

Ques. "Who gave you this name?"

Ans. "My sponsors in baptism, wherein I was made a member of Christ, a child of God and an inheritor of the kingdom of heaven."

All adults, as well as infants, who receive the baptism of this church are properly baptized into that state of pardon, regeneration and salvation. Malville, the distinguished preacher of that church, says: "I do not see how I can be commonly honest and yet deny that every baptized person is on that account regenerate."

Many come to us from the Methodist denomination, and let us ask from them the answer to the question: "Into what faith do they baptize?" Mr. Fowler, who asserted that he was the father and founder of the whole system, says: "It is certain that our church supposes that all who are baptized in their infancy are at the same time born again." "If infants are guilty of original sin, they cannot be saved in the ordinary way unless they be washed away by baptism." Into what do Methodist baptize adults? "By baptism we, who are by nature the children of wrath, are made the children of God. . . In all ages the outward baptism is a means of the inward. . . By water, then, as a means—the water of baptism—we are regenerated or born again."—*Wesley's Works*, vol. vi. sec. 4.

The last Methodist Conference that met in Memphis, Tenn., in an official report, condemned the growing practice of baptizing persons upon a profession of regeneration, as an evil. I quote a paragraph: "Baptism, too, has been unnecessarily deferred, not only in case of children, but sometimes postponed to an indefinite period in the case of adults. The practice of requiring a public profession of regeneration before baptism, has been perverted, and the people encouraged to expect the divine blessing without the aid of the means. (i. e., baptism). We call attention to these evils, that we may seek diligently to remove them."—*Circular from the Western Methodist*.

It is a fact the truth of which every one can easily satisfy himself about by examining the Methodist ritual for the baptism of adults. That no regenerate person can be baptized according to its teachings. Every adult, without exception, is required to profess himself an unregenerate, undisciplined sinner, and that he comes to baptism to obtain remission of sins and regeneration of heart—he is baptized in the hope of obtaining these. You clearly see and you have decided that immersion into such a faith by Baptist churches would be worse than null, since the subject would profess a false and pernicious faith, and such baptisms, if they could be so called, would only introduce professedly unregenerate adults into the church.

By referring to the "Shorter Catechism" we have a concise statement of the faith into which the Presbyterian church baptizes:—

Ques. "What is a sacrament?"

Ans. "A sacrament is a holy ordinance instituted by Christ, wherein (i. e., in the sealing of which) by sensible signs, Christ and the benefits of the New Covenant are represented, sealed and applied to believers."

It is evident that the Covenant of Grace is worthless to any one, unless sealed and applied to him. Therefore unless the sacrament is received, none of the benefits of Christ's death can be enjoyed by any one. This is clear. Now what ordinances are sacraments, sealed or by seal through which alone the benefits of Christ's death are enjoyed?

Ans. "The sacraments of the New Testament are baptism and the Lord's supper."

Ques. "What is baptism?"

Ans. "Baptism is a sacrament of the New Testament wherein Christ hath ordained the washing with water in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, to be a sign and seal of the engrafting into himself, of remission of sins by his blood, and regeneration by his Spirit, of adoption, and resurrection unto everlasting life."

If any one doubts that the design held and taught by Presbyterians differs from that held and taught by the Catholics, let him hear how the most distinguished exponents of Presbyterianism, professors in their Theological Seminaries, explain it:—

Dr. Hodge, of Princeton, says: "We are baptized in order that we may be united to Christ and be made partakers of his benefits. This baptism unto repentance is a baptism that the remission of sins may be obtained."

Dr. Nevil, the scarcely less distinguished professor of theology in the Missouri Seminary, held and taught, "that the church (Presbyterian) makes us Christians by the sacrament of holy baptism, which she always held to be of supernatural force for that very purpose." (See *Prichard*, p. 124).

We now inquire, Into what do Baptist churches baptize?

Our historical ancestors, A. D. 1120, four hundred years before a Protestant sect existed or Luther and Calvin had been born, taught this concerning the above doctrine of remission and regeneration by baptism, in a little work defining Anti-Christ, viz:—

"A third work of anti-Christ consists in this, that he attributes the regeneration of the Holy Ghost unto the mere external act—baptizing infants into the faith, teaching that thereby regeneration must be had, on which principle he bestows orders, and indeed grounds all his Christianity, which is contrary to the word of the Holy Scriptures."

Can it be that there is a Baptist church in this age, that will condemn the decision of the martyr Baptists of the 12th century and approve and endorse the baptismal acts of anti-Christ by recalcitrating them as valid?

From the fourteen Articles of Faith put forth by them, I copy the seventh.

Art. 7. We believe in the ordinance of baptism. The water is the visible, external, which represents to us that which by virtue of God's invisible operation, is within us, viz: the renovation of our minds, and the mortification of our members through faith of Jesus Christ: and by this ordinance we are received into the holy congregation of God's people, previously professing and declaring our faith and change of life."

This design we hold and profess to-day, and to develop more clearly the work or righteousness of Jesus Christ upon which our faith takes hold and rests, I point you to the baptism of Christ himself. He is our great exemplar, as well as teacher, and he not only determined the act by his example, but he expressly declared into the belief and profession of what we should be immersed.

He declared that his own baptism was in some sense "to fulfill all righteousness," and we may say our baptism is to do the same thing in the same sense.

He came to earth to work out a perfect righteousness for his people, to satisfy the infinite claim of Divine justice. This he evidently could not literally accomplish by being baptized, else he might have ascended from the water in a chariot of glory to the right hand of the Father. But if he did fulfill the "all-righteousness" he came to earth to accomplish, he must have done it *apart* from baptism. He set before their eyes, in a vivid figure, the *three great acts* by which he did fulfill the "all-righteousness" the law required in order that those for whom he appeared might be set free from the Divine law and their redemption eternally secured.

1. The law required the death of the transgressor, and Christ must therefore sink under the stroke of death for them.

2. The power of the grave must be vanquished, and he must needs be buried—go down into its rocky citadel and rob death of his sting. Spoil the powers of darkness and make a show of them openly, and light up the dark valley of the shadow of death with the hope of glory.

3. He must needs rise for their justification. By these acts, prefigured in his baptism, Christ prefigured his crucifixion, burial and resurrection. He was crucified before their eyes. In another place he alludes to his coming crucifixion as a baptism. "I have a baptism," etc. "How am I straitened until it be accomplished." (Luke xii. 50).

Paul also declares that three acts constitute the whole gospel by which we are saved, if we rightly apprehend and believe. 1. How that Christ died for our sins according to the Scripture. 2. That he was buried. 3. That he rose again the third day. (1 Cor. xv. 1-15). Christ, then, in a lively figure set before the eyes of all, his sacrificial work—the gospel of our salvation, and he has made it the positive duty of every disciple to do the same thing, to be baptized into the faith of what Christ has done for him, and the profession that he has in his heart accepted of Christ and relies solely upon his "all-righteousness" for his salvation.

And is it too much for Christ to require of those whom he died to save, to represent these great acts of his redemptive work, and profess their own personal faith in, and conscious salvation by them as they enter his church on earth? The soul redeemed by his precious blood will rejoice to do it, despite the sneers of an ungodly world. He sees in it a sublimity and a grandeur beyond a mere mortal's comprehension! He glories in the privilege of setting before the eyes of the world his "all-righteousness" of its Savior, of setting forth the crucifixion and thus preaching the gospel of Christ to all beholders.

This, be assured, is the baptism into which Christ commanded his church to baptize, and by the institution of this he forbade us to observe or to recognize any other.

CONCLUSIONS FROM THESE POSITIONS.

1. If Christian baptism was designed to commemorate the "all-righteousness" of Christ and in which we are to profess before the world our personal faith and conscious participation in it, then is baptism in no sense a "sacrament" or means of securing the grace of remission of sins or regeneration of heart—and to baptize infants, or to secure for them these graces, is to pervert the ordinances and to corrupt Christianity.

2. We see that baptism cannot be "the law of pardon," nor the act that unites the soul to Christ. Faith, and faith alone, independent of all overt acts, unites to Christ and secures our justification before God. "Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus" (Rom. iii. 24). Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without deeds of law. To faith, as the medium of salvation, nothing can be added without destroying the whole scheme of salvation.

"Christ has become of none effect unto you, whosoever of you are (or seek to be) justified by law, (the law of pardon or any other law) ye are fallen from grace." (Gal. v. 4.)

The "all-righteousness" of Christ is the only efficient and faith the only instrumental cause of our salvation. Baptism, nor any rite or ordinance is a means in order to this end.

"For Christ is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth. For Moses described the righteousness which is of the law, that the man which doeth these things shall live by them. But the righteousness which is of faith speaketh on this wise, say not in thine heart, who shall ascend into heaven? (that is to bring Christ down from above.) Or, who shall descend into the deep? (that is to bring Christ up again from the dead.) But what saith it? The word is nigh thee, even in thy mouth and in thy heart: that is the word of faith, which we preach; That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised him from the dead, thou shalt be saved. For with the heart man believeth unto righteousness; and with the mouth confession is made unto salvation. For the Scripture saith, whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed." (Rom. x. 4-11.)

3. Nor is it by baptism that we become the children of God.

"For we are all children of God by faith in Christ Jesus." (Gal. iii. 26.)

4. Nor is baptism the seal of the covenant of grace. "In whom (Christ) also after that ye believed ye were sealed by that Holy Spirit of promise." (Eph. i. 13.)

5. We also see, that baptism does nothing towards cleansing the soul from the guilt or securing the remission of sin.

The blood of Christ alone does this. "The blood of Jesus Christ his Son cleanseth us from all sin." (1 Jno. i. 7.) To say that something must be added to his blood is to say that his blood alone is not sufficient, which is to profane it. While false religions direct the sinner to water for his cleansing, the Holy Spirit by all the types and the evangelists and apostles in all their writings point us to the blood of Christ alone, by which we have peace, and by which our consciences are purified from dead works, and by which we have access unto God.

6. Nor are we anywhere in God's word taught that we come to this blood of Christ through water, but by faith alone. "Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past." (Rom. iii. 25.)

7. Finally, we learn from the symbolism of baptism and by all the Scriptures which indicate the order, that in the plan of salvation the blood of Christ precedes the water of baptism. This is the grand and distinguishing test of genuine Christianity. It teaches the sinner to come to the water through blood—to the church through Christ and not through water to blood or through the church to Christ.

This is the vital saving doctrine that distinguishes us as Baptists from other denominations. We put blood, in every case, before water. We do not teach that baptism is essential to salvation, but that salvation is essential to baptism.

To those who have never been baptized into the faith of the gospel—have never professed a correct faith, or professed no faith: Let me, in all affection and earnestness, beg and entreat you to seriously and perseveringly think on these things. Will you not, as you love your own souls, and as you hope you love Christ, carefully examine the New Testament and learn for yourselves what Christ requires of you as the act and the profession for baptism? Do not fail to do it—do not refuse to do it, and still hope to be saved. There is a saving merit in the act of baptism; not that it is "a sacrament of grace and salvation," for it is not; but because an aversion to know and do the will of Christ should be an all-overcoming proof to you that your heart is not right in the sight of God. Can you hope to be saved while you openly condemn the authority of Christ and reject the council of God against your own soul? Your neglect of Christian baptism will not, on its own account, condemn you; but it certainly will be indicative of the state of your heart. Your persistent disobedience is certainly evidence against you. Christ says: "If a man loves me he will keep my commandments."

Your inexcusable neglect and disobedience declare you not the friend of Christ. It may be that you are willing to wear the name but unwilling to bear the cross of Christ. Can you then be his disciple? "And he that taketh not his cross, and followeth after me is not worthy of me." Does not this cross of Christ try you and find you wanting? Do fleshly ties and love of kindred prevent you? Christ has said, if any one—father or mother, brother or sister, husband or wife, more than me, he is not worthy of me. Is it the reproach of the world and shame to be associated with the people of God because poor and moving in a hamlet circle of society?

Christ has said, he that is ashamed of me and of my word—and equally true of his people—before men, of him will I be ashamed before my father and his holy angels. Again, "except a man deny himself, and take up his cross and follow me, he cannot be my disciple."

"Ashamed of Jesus, that dear friend
On whom my hopes of heaven depend!
No, when I think, be this my shame—
That I no more revere his name."

EMBASSADOR.

THE discussion of this subject, I believe, has grown out of the position taken by the editor of THE BAPTIST, that the apostles were ambassadors of Christ. I understood him to use the term in a special sense, that the apostles were special ambassadors sent forth by the Savior to perform a certain work. Breth. Searcy and Fawcett seem disposed to use the word in its broadest signification. I prefer to use either of the terms commissioner or apostle, giving preference to the former. Whatever term is employed it is necessary to draw a very marked line of distinction between the dual official characters of the apostles. The apostles acted as ambassadors in a twofold capacity. They acted as ambassadors (or ministers) of Christ to the unbelieving world in offering terms of reconciliation between Christ and sinners in his name. I term this their general ambassadorship. Again they were special commissioners of our Lord, authorized to reduce to practice his teaching in reference to the requirements and purposes of his kingdom—that kingdom prophesied of by Daniel as being set up by the God of heaven, which we understand to be composed of the churches of Christ; therefore whatever pertains to their polity and purpose does so to the purpose and polity of the whole kingdom. The special mission of the apostles was declared by the Savior when he said, "I give unto you the keys of the kingdom. Whosoever ye shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven, and whosoever ye shall loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven." That is, whatever the apostles established in the churches (or the kingdom) became the equivalent of the fiat, decree or promulgation of the King of heaven. That the apostles might perform the special work assigned them according to the desire of the Lord they were placed under the immediate direction of the Holy Spirit.

The apostles were ambassadors of Christ in a spiritual sense, to offer salvation, or reconciliation, from God to sinners. (1) They were also ambassadors of Christ in an ecclesiastical sense, to establish the polity and practice of the churches, or the kingdom (2) Do brethren Searcy and Fawcett claim to be ambassadors of Christ equally with the apostles in the latter sense? If so then the Catholic theory of apostolic succession is true, and ministers have the authority to make or annul laws for the kingdom. I dare say those who do claim so much. If those brethren could be induced to recognize the authority of the apostles of the apostolic office they would at once renounce their theory as a pernicious error. They are treading on dangerous ground, along with W. E. Paxton. If no such theory had ever existed there never would have been any Catholic hierarchies. When ministers claim they have authority to perform the most insignificant act independent of direct church authority, or sanction, the foundation is laid for ministerial supremacy.

Jacksonville, Ark. T. H. GRANBERRY.

REMARKS.—Our Bro. Granberry wants to know if Bro. Fawcett and himself believe that ordinary ministers of the gospel have the right to establish the polity and practice of the church or the kingdom. We are somewhat astonished that our brother should ask such a question with our article before him—surely he did not read it. We said of ambassador "there is a sense in which it is not applicable to ministers of the gospel." We then showed wherein as follows: "As a minister of the highest rank employed by a government to represent and manage its interests at the court or seat of government of some other power—the office of the ordinary minister of the gospel does not in every particular fill this definition. He is not so far as the representative of God the divine sovereign to manage his business or the interests of his kingdom within the realm of the Prince of Darkness. This is peculiarly the business of the church of Christ. With this before his eyes, how could our brother get the idea that we taught that ministers of the gospel have the right to 'establish the polity and practice of the church?'"

2. "Do Breth. Searcy and Fawcett claim to be ambassadors of Christ equally with the apostles in the latter sense?" Of course not, as what we have written abundantly shows.

3. "If those brethren could be induced to recognize the duality (or dual nature) of the apostles office, they would at once renounce their theory as a pernicious error."

Most certainly we recognize the dual character of the apostles, and this is one of our main points. Hence, we said, "Paul in the use of this term (ambassador) put himself in the category with ordinary ministers, as Peter did when he said, 'the elders which are among you I exhort, who am also an elder.'" Though Peter was an apostle, yet he was an elder. He did not mean however by this to say that apostle and elder always mean the same thing.

No one can be more opposed to ministers assuming unauthorised power than we are. But we are not afraid to use a term as applicable to ministers of the gospel that the Holy Spirit so uses. If Titus was an ambassador, we see no reason why other ministers of the gospel are not. If Bro. Granberry, or any other brother, will show that "we" in the expression, "and we are ambassadors for Christ," refers exclusively to apostles as such, then we will yield the point. If they cannot, it would be frank in them to yield it. Bro. Fawcett can speak for himself.

DR. GRAVES'S SERMON.

[We copy the sermon furnished by our Christ to the Central Baptist by special request of that paper. We deem it one of his best on the design of baptism. If not the best ever published. We may be partial. The following from the pen of Dr. T. H. of California, confirms our opinion.]

TO THE CENTRAL:—Before me lies the sermon of Dr. J. B. Graves on "Baptism, the profession, on the part of the subject, of the faith" of the gospel"—to which I beg to call special attention and with your permission, to append a few notes:—

1. The sermon is a good one, and merits from all the most careful and thorough study. I thank Dr. Graves and the editors of the Central, for its publication.

2. The teaching is for the most part, as I believe, sound and correct.

3. There can be no manner of doubt, as it seems to me, that the principle sought to be elaborated, set forth and enforced, is, indeed, the true one—viz: that the faith, into which any one is immersed, determines the whole question of the validity of said immersion. This right and all else can hardly fail to be right. With the specific faith or "truths" in hand it is hardly possible to go astray. The "specification"—the specific—be straight-forward and correct. They all stand or fall together. There is no middle ground. It must be all the one thing or the other. The specific faith cannot lead us wrong. And thus, as I once before and under other circumstances said, is putting the emphasis just where it belongs. It is emphasizing just what God has emphasized. The one faith is everything. Apart from it, it is impossible to please God. Superinduced into the man, and all must be right. For only those who have it, can teach it—and only those to whom it has been taught and into whom it has been wrought and inwrought can by any possible means or measures render it the obedience it demands. No other things being equal, can there be any mistaking the nature and character of that obedience.

4. This was the fundamental defect in the status of the twelve at Ephesus. They had been immersed, not into the faith of Jesus Christ—but into what had now become another faith. Johannism embodied only the prophetic truths concerning the Lord Jesus. It knew nothing of the historical facts concerning Jesus—nor the one great doctrine, truth or teaching to be derived and drawn from these facts. And now that these facts were in existence any system of faith that assumed to omit them—or from which they were absent because unknown, was in just so much faulty and defective. And to hold on to it, when the fuller faith had come whether from design or ignorance, was to make another faith of it, and nullify it as a sin-covering. Immersion into, no matter by whom, when nor where, was null and void of no consequence. And this was the precise condition of these twelve disciples at Ephesus, and even of Apollos himself up to the time

then Aquila and Priscilla, having heard him, and desiring that he know only "the immersion of John"—by which is meant "the faith that John preached"—they took him to them and expounded to him the way of God more perfectly. That is to say, they filled up the deficiency, in what had hitherto been the true faith. Had Aquila and Priscilla remained as they were, they must have gone to perdition. For they had not been immersed into the full, the rounded out, and what is now become the faith of Christ. And into this they must be immersed, if immersed at all, at all.

If it be true—and it certainly is—that the object of immersion is immersion into and possession of the faith of his teachers, or as Dr. Graves says, "the faith of the denomination baptizing us," how imperative that the faith of that teacher or people be the faith of Jesus Christ, and not some other faith. The one immersion of the Bible has to do, kind reader, with the question of our and my relation to the one faith, and not with our relation to any other system whatsoever. We must be immersed if immersed at all—and immersed we must be, provided only we are possessed of the specific qualifications, with a specific immersion, into a specific system, body of truth, creed, and for a specific purpose. All this stands out with great clearness in the sermon of Dr. Graves.

From all this we are more and more impressed with the idea that the true field of theological warfare and controversy is the different and conflicting systems of faith. Every man who believes himself possessed of the faith of Jesus Christ, should strive and strive to sympathize with, affiliation for and partnership in all and every other system of faith whatsoever. As between different faiths there is an "irrepressible conflict" that never can or should down. Error and truth can never lie down together in the same cradle as though they were twin sisters of the same breast; for such they are not. The one great point then is settled in, *What is the faith of Jesus Christ?* and determined, and its opposite must be the faith of antichrist. There is the faith of Jesus Christ—and there is the faith of antichrist—and each has its end and purpose to serve. Look well, and see which is which.—S. A. Taft, in *Central Baptist*, Santa Rosa, Cal., March 24, 1880.

OUR TEXAS LETTER.

PROF. C. A. MANNING writes to say that the signs of prosperity with our church are good. "I feel that the clouds are breaking away, and that sunlight is coming."

The financial condition of our church is good. Its spiritual condition, we are sorry to say, is not by any means as good as we desire to see. We hope that there will be a revival with us this season is over.

Since the first of last December we have finished a directory room of our church, with the exception of a few names that are yet to be made. The meeting rooms by the baptistry are not finished yet, the pastor's study is also unfinished, but we hope to have this work done this year.

We generally pay as we go; we owe but little, and are able to pay that little. We rather think our church is stronger financially than any other church in town. It is able to live well, if it will only make the proper use of its means.

Our church has also furnished itself with a new organ, and a set of very elegant chandeliers. Our greatest need now is soul prosperity. This we can have if we will ask "the giver of every good and perfect gift" for it. We ask the prayers of the brethren in general that we may have a spiritual refreshing.

We have a very good Sabbath-school, also a weekly prayer-meeting, which has generally been well attended.

The ladies of the church have formed an "Aid Society," which is doing a good work. It has been at work only about three months, and has made enough to pay for the painting of the inside of the church.

The "American Bible Union" donated to the church a large pocket Bible, for which we are very thankful.

We expect to do our part in contributing to the foreign mission work this year. Our church has been passing through great trials for several years, but we trust that it is now hopefully approaching brighter days. God grant that they may soon come, and we believe they will.

A. J. HILL.

Crockett, Texas, April 28, 1880.

THE PEACE OF GOD.

BY ISAAC WILLIAMS.

THE child leans on its parent's breast,
Leaves there its ear, and is at rest,
The bird sits singing by its nest,
And tells aloud
His trust in God, and so is blest
'Tis every cloud.
He has no store, he sows no seed,
Yet sings aloud, and dares not heed
My flowing streams or grassy mead
As sings to shame
Men, who forget in fear of need,
A Father's name.
The heart that trusts forever sings,
And feels as light as it had wings,
A wall of peace within it springs,
Come good or ill,
What's 'er to-day, to-morrow brings,
It is his will!

A WORD FROM WASHINGTON TERRITORY.

PBO. A. M. RUSSELL writes us cheering intelligence from Washington Territory, where he is now laboring. He is building up a sound Baptist church in Dayton. He has the hearty opposition of the liberal half and half Baptists that are there, but he speaks of the kindness of true brethren:—

"So kind are they that we scarcely realize the cost of living. In consequence I am more given to my work than at any former period, and I see and realize more clearly the many advantages of a consecrated membership and ministry."

"In one thing infidelity and all the issues are agreed, i. e., in their opposition to Baptists. Our line of defense then, must be equal to all the opposition these combinations can bring. May our God make us equal to the emergency."

Will Bro. R. write a full letter for THE BAPTIST? His many friends in Arkansas and Tennessee will be delighted to hear from him and his new field.

IN MEMORIAM.

MBS. SARAH YOUNG, daughter of James B. Young, was born in Madison county, Ala., July 9, 1811, and died near Sardis, Miss., April 15, 1880.

She united with the Baptist church at Chisholm, upon a confession of faith and baptism, in 1841, under the ministry of Eld. George Tucker. She was married to Bro. C. B. Young December 9, 1848, when she became the step-mother of five children, for whom she cared, and whom she loved with all the tender affection of a mother indeed. In cheerful and effective co-operation with her husband, she contributed largely to the formation of their intellectual and religious characters, and was permitted to see them all consistent members of the Baptist church—two having passed upward into the light before her.

She lived happily with her husband—a faithful and effective minister of Christ—for thirty-one years; during which time, she was his worthy helpmate. Deeply concerned for the prosperity of the Redeemer's cause, alive with anxiety for the success of her husband, Sister Young remained through all the changing circumstances of his eventful life, a pastor's prudent counselor, hearty sympathizer, and affectionate wife.

Her sufferings were protracted and painful, but were borne with true Christian fortitude. She died in full assurance of hope; leaving a stricken husband, a sorrowing sister, three weeping step-children, and many saddened friends to mourn her loss. But she has left them the legacy of a memory fragrant with the perfume of good deeds, and they sorrow not as those who have no hope.

Sardis, Miss. J. K. P.
Baptist Record please copy.

Whom mocketh the poor reproacheth his Maker, and he that is glad at calamities shall not go unpunished. (Prov. xvii. 5.)

The Baptist.

THOU HAST GIVEN A BANNER TO THEM THAT FEAR THEE THAT IT MAY BE DISPLAYED BECAUSE OF THE TRUTH.—Ps.

J. R. GRAVES, Editor and Proprietor
J. W. GRANT, Arkansas Editor, Annover, Ark.
J. W. GRANT, Book-keeper and Order Clerk,
Business Office: 227 Second street, Memphis, Tenn.

Terms, \$2.50 per annum, in advance. Send money by Postoffice Order, Registered Letter, Express or Draft, at our risk; otherwise at the sender's. If answer is desired by mail, send stamp or postal card.

Distinguishing Principles of Baptists.

1. As Baptists, we are to stand for the supreme authority of the word of God as the only and sufficient rule of faith and practice. The Bible, and the Bible only, as opposed to all human tradition in matters both of faith and practice, we must claim as being a distinguishing doctrine of our denomination—a doctrine for which we are called earnestly to contend.

2. As Baptists, we are to stand for the ordinances of Christ as he enjoined them upon his followers, the same in number, in mode, in order, and in symbolic meaning, unchanged and unchangeable till he come.

3. As Baptists, we are to stand for a spiritual and regenerated church, and that none shall be received into Christ's church, or be welcomed to its ordinances, without confessing a personal faith in Christ, and giving credible evidence of piety.

Distinguishing Policy of Historical Baptists.

The non-recognition of human societies as Scriptural churches by affiliation, ministerial or ecclesiastical, or any alliance or co-operation that is susceptible of being apparently or logically construed by our members or theirs, for the world into a recognition of ecclesiastical or ministerial equality with Baptist churches.

INTER-COMMUNION BETWEEN BAPTISTS.
"Because there is one loaf, we, the many [members of the one church at Corinth] are one body [church], for we all partake of the one loaf."—1 Cor. x. 17. *Trans. Esp. Diaglott.*
"Now I praise you, brethren, that you remember me in all things, and keep the ordinances as I delivered them unto you."—1 Cor. xi. 2.

THAT the Supper is a commemorative ordinance, instituted by Christ, to be observed by and in each local church, until he comes again, every Baptist will admit. This implies that each participant must, at least, be a member of some Scriptural church, which also implies that he must have been Scripturally baptized—immersed.

Now the question I wish more particularly to discuss in this chapter is,—
Can a local church Scripturally or consistently extend the invitation to participate beyond her own membership and discipline?

I well know that but few brethren can follow me in this discussion with unprejudiced minds, such is the power of denominational precedent over us all. I shall, without doubt, be confronted, at the very threshold with the traditions of fathers and the almost immemorial "usages" of the denomination. But it weighs not a feather's weight with me; though it can be proved that some Baptists, since the days of Paul, and that the very churches he planted and instructed, have practiced inter-communion, the question is, "What were the instructions he gave?" These must constitute the "Old Landmarks" to guide us in the observance of this ordinance, and not "denominational usage" or the mistakes and errors of our fathers, if our ancestors did, indeed, err from the "old paths." The writer can easily remember when Baptist Associations were wont to close their sessions by celebrating the Lord's supper, and this they did for years; but was it right because our fathers did it? Who will advocate this practice to-day, or what Association on this continent will presume to administer the Supper? And yet what a clamor would have been raised about the ears of the man who, in those days, had lifted his voice in condemnation of it! Fifty years ago our fathers were wont to advise the churches to send their licentiates to the Association to receive ordination, and it was wont to select a presbytery, and between them, ordain the ministers. But who will advocate so unscriptural a procedure now? Twenty-five or thirty years ago, the overwhelming majority of our churches in the South would endorse Campbellite and alien immersions as valid; but there is not an Association in the South, let the question be fairly laid before it, that would endorse them to-day. And why? Because the attention of the churches has been called to a serious consideration of the question by discussions, pro

and con, and Scriptural truth and consistency have triumphed.

Now, touching the Lord's supper, Baptists have not departed from "the form of sound words" in formulating their belief. They universally hold that it is a local church ordinance, i. e., an ordinance to be observed in, and by a local church, but they have generally fallen into a "slipshod" way of observing it, quite as unscriptural as either of the bad "usages" I have mentioned above.

They now generally observe it, not as a strictly local church ordinance, i. e., confined to the members of the singular church celebrating the rite, but as a denominational observance, as belonging to the kingdom rather than to each local organization of the kingdom. Many and great evils, and gross inconsistencies, damaging to our denominational influence and growth, have sprung out of this practice, which it is my object to point out; encouraged, as my faith is by the past, I believe that in a few years our churches will, as a body, return to the "old paths," in this, as they were in other matters, and walk in them and find rest from the opposition which they have justly brought down upon their own heads.

ARGUMENTS FROM OUR CHURCH CONSTITUTION.

A church, by its constitution, is strictly an independent body. It absolutely controls its own acts, and can, in no sense, control those of any other church. Its prerogatives, like its responsibilities, terminate with itself, and its authority is limited, as to the objects over which it is exercised, to its own membership, and it has not a church privilege it can extend to those outside its pale. If, then, the Supper was committed to each local church, its observance was limited to the membership of each church, and it can rightly be observed, only by the united membership of such churches, and not by them, in common with the membership of other churches. No church can extend its privileges, any more than its discipline, beyond its organization.

I never heard an intelligent Baptist claim that members of other Baptist churches have a right to participate in the Supper, when spread in any Baptist church. And why? Because they know it is a local church ordinance, like voting in the administration of the government or such church.

If Christ did not institute it to be observed by local churches as such, but for the denomination—i. e., the churches, and their members generally, wherever they may chance to be—then each member in good standing, would have a right to go uninvited to the Supper, wherever spread, and the local church would have no right to prevent him; but in that case, the individual churches could not be made responsible for any "leaven" that might be introduced into the feast, nor would it be in the power of any local church to obey the apostolic injunction, "purge out the old leaven, that ye may be a new lump, as ye are unleavened. Therefore let us keep the feast [observe the supper], not with old leaven, neither with the leaven of malice and wickedness," etc. But what Christ did not authorize in the observance of the supper, he certainly forbade, and if he did command its observance by each local church as such, he forbade its being converted into a denominational or a social ordinance, i. e., observed by a particular church in common with parts of as many churches as may chance to be present. It certainly is either the one thing or the other—limited or unlimited. In this respect, Baptists who cannot feel the force of the argument from the specifications of one thing prohibiting another, cannot blame Pedobaptists for not seeing that, when Christ specified believers only in the communion, he forbade the baptism of unbelievers, bells and babies.

Again, when a person, having accepted Christ as his Savior, and seeks, as he should, the privileges of his church, he unites with a local church and not with the denomination generally, and receives and enjoys church privileges in that church alone. He can vote on all questions of ecclesiastical polity in that particular church, and in no other. He can participate in the Supper in that church and no other, since he is under the watch and care of that church and no other.

ARGUMENT SECOND.

To such local church is committed the sole guardianship of the ordinance it administers.

It is commanded to allow only members, possessing certain qualifications, to come to the feast. Any who may have fallen into heresies, or whose Christian conversation is not such as become Godliness—drunkards, fornicators, covetous, revellers—i. e., dancers, extortioners, etc., with such it is not to eat.

The church of Corinth was not merely permitted, but peremptorily commanded, to prohibit the table to every one it did not know—so far as it had the ability to learn—was free from leaven: "Purge out the old leaven, that ye [the church celebrating] may be a new lump." Therefore let us keep the feast, not with the old leaven," etc.

Each church, then, is the guardian of the feast. It cannot allocate the responsibility; it must see that no disqualified person comes to the table; it must, then, have absolute control of the Supper; but, if it is its duty to invite the members of all Baptist churches present, regardless of their known character, then it has no power to discharge this duty. It would evidently have no control over this ordinance; would be robbed of one of its most important prerogatives as a church. But, if it is not its duty to invite any but its own members, then it ought not to do it, and, if the act robs it of the power to obey the laws of its Head, and preserve the purity of this sacred ordinance, then it may know the practice is wrong, and fraught with evil.

I conclude with this argument in logical form:

1. Any practice that puts it out of the power of the church to discharge a positive command of Christ must be sinful, and forbidden by Christ.

2. The practice of inviting all members of Baptist churches present, to observe the Lord's supper, does put it out of the power of that church to discharge the positive duty enjoined.—1 Cor. 5.

3. Therefore, the practice of inviting all members of Baptist churches present to observe the Lord's supper, is sinful and forbidden by Christ.—Q. E. D.

A FEW AUTHORITIES.

Dr. Richard Fuller says:—

"It is a common mistake to suppose that the Word of God to sentimentalism and popularity, it is that appears to follow with immediately; that it is an individual duty, and must precede membership; and that as the Father was a local for each church only, so the Supper is a family feast, for the members of that particular church in which the table is spread. This is so plain to our minds, hearts, consciences, that there is never any discussion about it."

Prof. W. W. Gardner, Bethel College, Kentucky, in his able work on "Church Communion," says:—

"The same is equally true of communion at the Lord's table, which is a church act, and the appointed token, not of the Christian, nor of a denomination, but of church-fellowship existing between communicants at the same table. Hence, it follows that a member of one Baptist church has no more right, as a right, to claim communion in another Baptist church than he has to claim the right of voting; for both are equally church acts and church privileges. The Lord's supper being a church ordinance, as all admit, and every church being required to exercise discipline over all its communicants, it necessarily follows that no church can Scripturally extend its communion beyond the limits of its discipline. And this, in fact, settles the question of church communion, and restricts the Lord's supper to the members of each particular church as such." pp. 15, 16.

How astonished the reader should be when the same author says, in this same book, that all Baptists present may be invited, as a matter of courtesy!

Dr. Hiscob says:—

"Strictly speaking, the privileges of a church are co-extensive with the authority of the church; and the right of commanding, therefore, is limited to those over whom the church exercises the right of discipline. Consequently the right to commune in any church is confined to the members of that particular church, and if the members of other churches are at any time invited, it is a mere matter of courtesy extended to them."—Deceit, p. 7.

If the right to commune is confined to the members of the particular church communing, who has confined it? Was it not the Divine Founder of the feast? Dr. H. will say so. Who, then, has the right to extend it beyond the limits of the church, as a mere matter of courtesy, or for any other purpose? This is the question. Is it not manifestly "adding to the things written in the book?"

Dr. Curtis, author of an able work on "Communion and Progress of Baptist Principles," says:—

"Then, then, it is clear, [i. e., from 1 Cor. x. 17] that the Lord's supper is given in charge to those visible churches of Christ in the midst of which he has promised to walk and dwell. (Rev. ii. 1.) To each of these it belongs to celebrate it as one family. [Then certainly not as parts of different families or bodies.] The members of that particular church are to be tarried for, and is to be a symbol of their relations, as members, to each other. In all ordinary cases, it should be partaken of by each Christian in the particular church of which he is a member."—Progress of Baptist Principles, p. 307.

It is only from the Scriptures we learn how an ordinance is to be ordinarily observed. From what book can Dr. Curtis, or any one else, learn how they are to be extraordinarily observed? The one specified form of their observance is the only form we may observe. Neither Christ nor his apostles gave an exceptional case, and who may presume to say that a church may deviate in the remotest degree whatever from the exact command of the Master? It is this deviation that has raised such a storm of prejudice and odium against us, and cost us so many thousands of conscientious members annually. Our practice is manifestly inconsistent and unscriptural.

But the most conclusive argument in support of observing the Supper by one church only, is the symbolism of the ordinance, which no writer on communion has ever attempted to develop. Paul says, "Because there is one [i. e., but one] loaf, [and there never should be but one loaf], we, the many, [members of the one church at Corinth], are one [and but one] body," by which "arm he meant that one church at Corinth. If the many had consisted of members of several different churches, Paul could not have said, 'We are members of one body' or the self-same church, and no Baptist pastor can say it after he has invited the members of a number of different churches to his Supper. This we will develop at length in another chapter.

P. S.—The remainder of the discussion on the symbolism of the Supper, as well as the direct teachings of the Scriptures condemning inter-communion, and a chapter on the gross inconsistencies and the positive evils of the practice, will be found in "Old Landmarkism—What is It?" which is for sale by the little colporteur of the Baptist Book House.

"BUT FEW ARE CHOSEN."

THIS is the way that a northern editor looks upon the way the anniversaries of the North are run. We commend it to the President of the Southern Baptist Convention. I will be a misfortune if this shall ever be truly said of it:—

"It is our pride and boast that in our Baptist Zion are many able men—men of thought as well as men of action; and these, rather in large numbers at our anniversaries—an unusual number of them were at Saratoga. And yet whenever reads the reports, and notes the names that appear on committees, etc., will fail to get any adequate idea of the number present. It will be seen that the same names appear again and again, and that in each society report. They are on the Boards and on the Executive Committees, on the occasional Committees, and on for set speeches, and yet there are other men, in large numbers—good men, true men, modest men, unobtrusive men, consequently unrecognized men. Nor is this all: the same men are in the same, or similar prominence from year to year. The same names are put into the hat and drawn out in a little different order year after year. Whoever has attended our anniversary may know, to a moral certainty, who will carry on the business next year. Nothing but death can interfere with the arrangement. We could easily name brethren—good men to be sure—who are not forgetful that he who moves that a matter be 'referred to a committee' is by courtesy made chairman of said committee, and to whose lips the language of such a motion is very familiar. To be sure, there are our 'working' brethren—useful men. We are glad there are so many of them. Still, we do look forward for a time when our chairmen and our managing brethren will remember that there are other men in attendance upon our anniversary than those whose voices most frequently sound out the words, 'Mr. President!' When this idea gets possession of the minds of chairmen, and set speeches give place to deliberate action, then our anniversaries will come much nearer giving satisfaction to the entire body of Baptists."

*Such, in his Philosophy, refers to it.

SECULAR ITEMS.

It is considered almost certain that Russia and China will engage in war.

Dr. Sam'l. Choppin, a distinguished physician of New Orleans, died on the 4th inst., after a short illness.

The Earl of Conger has been appointed Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, and the appointment gives general satisfaction.

Hon. D. M. Kay, postmaster-general, has been appointed United States District Judge for the eastern district of Kansas.

Reports from sixteen counties in the State of Kansas report the wheat in good condition and a larger acreage than last year.

The new Roman Catholic cathedral at Indianapolis is to have an altar imported from Rome, and valued at \$5,000, set up within its walls.

Postmaster James, of New York, has been ordered to pay into court \$53,000 damages, claimed for using an unauthorized patent cancelling stamp.

The house committee on Indian affairs has recommended the passage of a law by Congress, transferring the Indian bureau from the Interior to the War department.

The largest sale of short-horn cattle ever known in England came off at Birmingham last month. It took two days to complete the sale, nearly four hundred animals being offered.

A census of the press has been appointed at St. Petersburg, who is considered an independent and a liberal. This is looked upon as showing the increasing influence of Gen. Melnikoff.

The *Star* and *Herald's* Panama special says President Guardia, of Costa Rica, will send a special envoy to Washington to inquire into the matter of establishing a coaling station at Golfo Dulce.

It is feared that the Atlanta, with its African citizens and over three hundred boys on board, is lost, although the Admiralty will entertain hopes that she may be dismasted and drifting in mid-ocean. She was a training ship of the British navy.

The bill of Porter and Curtis Grubb gave a bill in Congress to pay for the war and shall end during the revolutionary war. There is probably not a particle of trustworthy evidence in the case, yet they find no trouble in catching the ear of the average Congressman.

Immigration is becoming a very large fact. Thirty-five thousand emigrants came to us from Europe in the first three months of the year, against eleven thousand during the same period last year. It is estimated that the figures for the whole year may reach 400,000.

Collector Shannon, of San Francisco, Cal., has received orders from Washington to fit out the revenue cutter *Thomas Corwin* for the relief of the exploring yacht *Jeannette* and the ice-bound whalers in the Arctic. She was put on the dry-dock on the 4th, and will be ready for sea in about two weeks.

Gov. Wiltz, of Louisiana, has issued a proclamation establishing a rigid quarantine against all infected ports and against vessels from or touching at Havana, Vera Cruz and Rio Janeiro, all such vessels to be thoroughly disinfected and detained at the quarantine station at the discretion of the board of health.

Fear Blights.

The following remedy is given in the *Faral World* to experiment with:

"Make a wash of copperas, two to three gallons of water, put in a little quick or slacked lime to color a little. Wash the body and large limbs as high as convenient, about the first of June, in this locality every year. If the trees or limbs are already diseased, cut off the diseased part as far as the wood is discolored, and hang a cloth, moistened with the wash, on the limbs cut. This is the worst place for fear blight I ever saw, whole or-

chards having been destroyed. I have never lost a tree thus treated. I began with trees with tops half dead, and have brought them to perfect health again. I have used it for ten years, and never lost a tree thus served. I find an old worn broom convenient to apply the wash."

Persons of sedentary habits often suffer with kidney affections. Irritating medicines and overwork are fruitful causes of diseases of the kidneys. Sufferers from this weakening and dangerous disease would maintain the strength of the digestive organs and improve the quality of the blood by a judicious use of *Wm. W. Liver Regulator*, taken three times a day. This will restore the kidneys to their wonted health and vigor.

"I have been troubled with Liver Complaint, Kidney Disease and bad blood for a long time. I have used about ten bottles of *Wm. W. Liver Regulator*, and it has done me more good than all the medicines I ever took. I would not be without it. If you desire you can publish this. Truly, GEORGE H. PRATT, U. S. Deputy Collector, See Dist. Ct."

Crops in Connection With Corn.

Western farmers are about to planting beans and pumpkins on the same land with corn, because they rely entirely on horse implements to cultivate it. Eastern farmers, however, expect to realize about half as much from the beans and pumpkins raised among corn as from the corn itself. When corn is planted in hills four feet apart, it is plain that some other crop can be raised in the uncultivated land. If no crop can be produced with a view of harvesting it, one can be raised that will be valuable for feeding on the ground or for plowing under for a fertilizer.

A crop of flat turnips may generally be raised between the rows of corn by simply scattering the seed when the ground is worked the last time. Sheep that have been educated to it will cut them off on the spot where they grow. The roots may be pulled out and fed to them in the pasture or yard. For feeding to cattle after the frost has killed the grass in the pasture, the turnips are a valuable food.

Turnips are fed to milk cows immediately after milking, their flavor and odor will not appear in the milk drawn twelve hours afterward. Cattle and sheep that have a liberal supply of turnips late in the fall will be likely to go into winter quarters in good condition. If fall rye is sown among corn when it is cultivated the last time, it will generally obtain a good growth before the occurrence of frost. If there is little snow it will make good winter pastures. The green rye will afford a desirable change from dry corn fodder when both are eaten by stock in the same field. If the snow is deep during the winter the rye will be preserved till spring, when it may be eaten off by sheep, or plowed under for manure. The growth of rye among corn will serve to keep the land free from weeds, which often covers the ground after cultivation stops.

From the Rev Dr. Harvey, the well known Financial and Educational Secretary of the Howard University.

H. H. Warner & Co., Gentlemen: I take pleasure in stating that I have for two years past been acquainted with the remedy known as Warner's Safe Kidney and Liver Cure, and with its remarkable curative efficiency in obstinate and so-called incurable cases of Bright's Disease in this city. In some of these cases, which seemed to be in the last stages, and which had been given up by practitioners of both schools, the speedy change wrought by this remedy seemed but little less than miraculous. I am convinced that Dr. Bright's Disease is not an incurable disease, as is commonly supposed, but can be held for a moment in comparison with this. Respectfully yours, C. A. HAWLEY, D.D.

Collards for Stock.

While on the subject of green forage, we would again direct attention to the value of the common collard as a food for hogs, cattle and dogs. The collard is more nutritious than most green plants, and especially adapted to the production of milk and muscle. Be-

ing a bi-ennial, if sown in the spring it will afford forage throughout the following summer and winter, and until it goes to seed next spring. Hogs are especially fond of the leaves at all seasons, and some successful hog raisers claim that the regular use of them will prevent cholera.

What a Clergyman Says.

Rev. L. Pierce, of Sparta, Ga., father of Bishop Pierce, of the Methodist Episcopal church South, says: "I do most honestly and earnestly recommend this 'Oxygen Treatment' to all persons laboring under any suffering from cold, cough, etc., and for all signs of symptoms causing fear of Consumption." Send for our "Treatise on Compound Oxygen." It will be mailed free. Address Mrs. Markley & Faxon, 1112 Girard street, Philadelphia, Pa.

HOUSEHOLD.

CANNED CURRANTS.—Seven pounds ripe currants, one pound raisins, three pounds sugar. Cook raisins in a little water till tender, then add the rest. Boil and seal as usual.

BREAD CAKE.—Four cups dough, two cups sugar, one cup butter, one cup cream. Mix with the hands and add a little flour, also fruit and spices to suit the taste, and let it rise well before baking.

GRAHAM PUDDING.—To three pints of boiling water add one teaspoon of salt, and stir in Graham flour until you have a thin mush; have ready one well-beaten egg, stirred into one-third cup of cream; add this, boil a few minutes, and mold in saucers. Serve with sugar and cream.

ICING FOR CAKE.—The whites of six eggs, one-half pound of sugar; mix well together; then set the mixture on the fire and stir it all the time. As soon as it begins to simmer, take it off and beat well till thick. It neither crumbles nor breaks off when the cake is cut, as icing that is not cooked always does.

POTATO PUDDING.—One pound of potatoes boiled and well mashed, salted; one-quarter pound of butter, stirred in while warm; two ounces of sugar; rind of half a lemon, chopped fine, with the juice; two teaspoons of milk, and four eggs. Butter the tin, put in the mixture, and bake in a moderate oven half an hour.

BROWN FLOUR.—Spread upon a tin plate and place upon the stove or in a very hot oven and stir continually after it begins to color, until it is brown all through. Keep it all ways on hand for gravies. Do it at odd moments and keep it in a glass jar covered closely. Shake it every few days to prevent imping.

APPLE JELLY.—Core and cut out all bad spots from the apples, then add a very little water and stew as for apple sauce; when done put into a bag and bang up to drain; when cool enough squeeze gently; be careful not to get the pulp through. To a pint of juice add a pound of sugar; boil twenty minutes and it is done.

We publish the announcements in our advertising columns for pay, and seldom trouble ourselves to inquire as to the quality or merits of the wares advertised, further than to assure ourselves that they are legitimate and respectable. But when an article is advertised in our midst, transforming the old to young, in appearance at least, we cannot pass it by unnoticed. We know of people who, years ago, were gray-headed, and who now wear dark and glossy hair. How is this? It is evidently not colored, for it has a perfectly natural appearance, instead of the harsh, dry, staring look of hair stained with extracts of silver or other common hair dyes. Not it has been restored to its youthful color, luster and vitality by the use of that wonder of wonders, Hall's Vegetable Medicine Hair Restorer. It has many imitators, but there is nothing like it. The test of years only increases its fame, while its imitations die and are forgotten. Boston Daily Globe.

When you see a bright baby pleased with itself and everybody else, be sure that Dr. Hall's Baby Syrup has been used. Only 25 cents a bottle.

What a Clergyman Says.

MISTAKES AND PREJUDICE OF RELIGIOUS AND TEMPERANCE JOURNAL.

Some good Religious and Temperance journals are making the mistake of declining to advertise a most valuable anti-oxidizing medicine, simply because it is called "Bitters," while the same journals are making a greater mistake by advertising some drunken whiskey, or nostrum, because it has some nice, fancy deceptive name. "EINE," "TINE," printed on its label, when the bottle is filled with destruction, the trouble is filled with destruction, the trouble is filled with destruction. If these good journals would take the trouble to ascertain how many overworked clergymen have had their last nerve-force, brain-waste and flagging energies restored by the use of Hop Bitters, enabling them to perform their arduous pastoral duties and preach the good sermons that they would have been totally unable to do but for this valuable medicine, and did these journals but know of the host of good Christian temperance women who rely on them for their family medicine, and how many invalids have been made happy and what glad tidings they would send to every neighborhood by publishing the merits of Hop Bitters, they would advertise them without money and without price. And did these journals but know how many have been and may be saved from forming intemperate habits by doctors prescribing Hop Bitters, instead of beer, where the use of hops are needed, (there being more actual hop in a barrel of beer, without any of the intoxicating or evil effects of beer,) they would lay aside their fear and prejudice against the word "bitters."

A few of the many witnesses from religious and Temperance sources are given below, who use, recommend and advertise Hop Bitters.

The President and Manager of Hop Bitters Mfg. Co., Rochester, N. Y., is a veteran Temperance advocate and worker of forty-eight years' service; every man in the Company is an active Temperance worker, and the Company spends thousands of dollars annually in Temperance and Christian work.

WHAT THE RELIGIOUS PRESS SAYS.

Chicago, Nov. 18, 1878. Hop Bitters Mfg. Co., Rochester, N. Y.: Gentlemen—We do not allow anything in the line of Bitters to enter our paper that contains alcohol, but we are satisfied that your Bitters are free from that ingredient. We feel responsible for the good or bad that may be done to the families of our subscribers that are affected by our advertisements. Therefore our disclaimers in your favor, and we trust that our very low rates will meet your approval and that we may hear from you. [The Living Church.]

Temperance clergymen, lawyers, ladies and doctors use Hop Bitters, as they do not intoxicate, but restore brain and nerve waste. [Temperance Times, Brockport, N. Y.]

NOT A BEVERAGE.

"They are not a beverage, but a medicine, with curative properties of the highest degree, containing no poisonous drugs. They do not tear down an already debilitated system, but build it up. One bottle contains more hops than a barrel of ordinary beer. Every druggist in Rochester sells them, and the physicians prescribe them." Rochester Evening Express on Hop Bitters.

We are not in the habit of making editorial mention of patent medicines, but in case of Hop Bitters, feel free to do so, because their merits deserve to be known. [New York Independent.]

Northern Christian Advocate, Syracuse, N. Y.

Examiner and Chronicle, N. Y.

Mixing Varieties of Cotton.

An idea prevails with some who are anxious to improve their seed, that it can best be done by mixing two good varieties, expecting thus to unite the good in each variety and form one harvesting the merits of both. We think this is an error, as we have no guarantee that we will not combine the demerits as well, or instead of the good points alone. It is better to commence with a variety, or one stalk, that comes nearest to our ideal and select from that. But we must not be satisfied with attaining the desired standard and cease to select. The standard can only be kept up by careful selections every year, as the tendency of cotton, like most cultivated plants, is to revert to its original type.

Timely Caution.

Genuine Hop Bitters are put up in square paneled, etched-colored bottles, with white label on one side printed in black letters, and green hop cluster, and on the other side yellow paper with red letters; and revenue stamp over the cork. This is the only form in which genuine Hop Bitters are put up, and the sole right to make, sell and use them is granted to the Hop Bitters Mfg. Co., of Rochester, N. Y., and Toronto, Ont., by patent, copyright and trade mark. All others put up in any other way or by any one else, claiming to be like it or pretending to contain hops, by whatever name they may be called, are bogus and unfit for use, and only put up to sell and cheat the people on the credit and popularity of Hop Bitters.

Caution.

Beware of salves, beware of plasters, beware of eye-waters, beware of hair-dyes, beware of washes for refining the skin, beware of toilet powders, and be careful in the use of scented soaps. Why? Salves make and keep the skin sore, plasters prevent wounds from healing, eye-waters do often more injury than good, most hair-dyes produce sore eyes, beautifying washes are often poisonous, ditto toilet powders, while scented soaps are usually too sharp by the free alkali they contain.

Alexandria Bay, N. Y., Jan. 2, 1880. Messrs H. H. Warner & Co., Gentlemen: I have been detesting the last four years for Rheumatism in the back, Sciatica and Kidney ailments, and no time free from pain until I commenced taking Warner's Safe Kidney and Liver Cure. Three bottles did the work. I want to keep it in the house to treat my friends with, instead of wine and liquor, as it will cure the diseases that they will produce. Very truly yours, CHARLES WALTON.

Hollenberg's Remedy.

H. G. Hollenberg, of the Great Southwestern Trade House desires to inform his friends and patrons in Mississippi, Alabama, Arkansas and West Tennessee, that his store is reopened with a fresh and splendid assortment of pianos and organs of the most renowned makers, such as Chickering and Steinway, Mason and Hamlin, Burdett, New England, and is ready to fill all orders in the music line with his customary promptness and satisfaction.

Premiums Extraordinary!

"The Baptist"

Demorest's Magazine

Editorial Notices.

TO CORRESPONDENTS.

that in settling, after elms are complete there will be no trouble. We register each worker in our premium book, and credit their accounts as reports are received. Always give your name, postoffice and State in your letter.

RIDGE'S FOOD FOR INFANTS AND INVALIDS

THE FAMOUS JEWELER.

Order Department.

THE LUNG AND BODY BRACE.

THE BRACE BY MAIL.

Testimony for the Body Brace.

Testimony of a Lawyer.

Premiums Extraordinary!

"The Baptist"

Demorest's Magazine

Editorial Notices.

TO CORRESPONDENTS.

A beautiful Silver-plated Napkin Ring

THE ECONOMIC COOK.

ONE OF SIR WALTER SCOTT'S NOVELS.

HOW TO BE YOUR OWN LAWYER.

Jos. Cook's Lectures.

BIOLOGY.

Transcendentalism.

ORTHODOXY.

THE GREAT CHURCH LIGHT

SUNDAY-SCHOOL BLACKBOARD.

THE GREAT CHURCH LIGHT

SUNDAY-SCHOOL BLACKBOARD.

THE GREAT CHURCH LIGHT

Bibles & Testaments

(Common Version.)

No. 11. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 12. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 13. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 14. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 15. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 16. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 17. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 18. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 19. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 20. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 21. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 22. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 23. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 24. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 25. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 26. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 27. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 28. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 29. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 30. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 31. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 32. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 33. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 34. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 35. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 36. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

No. 37. Quarto, size 10 1/2 inches, with

