

# THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST.

Stand ye in the ways, and see and ask for the old paths, which are the good ways, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls —Isaiah 30:21.

Entered at the Post Office of Memphis, Tenn., as Second Class Matter.

Old Series—Vol. XXXIX.

MEMPHIS, TENN., OCTOBER 4, 1884.

New Series—Vol. XVII. No. 18

## Our Pulpit.

### MORMONISM.

REV. W. H. STRICKLAND ON THE UTAH EVIL—THE GREAT CURSE OF THE COUNTRY—HOW IT CAN BE REMOVED—THE LEWIS COUNTY AFFAIR.

FROM NASHVILLE AMERICAN AUGUST 23, 1884.

THE Edgefield Baptist church, at Edgefield and Fifth streets, Nashville, last night, was filled to overflowing, the occasion being a sermon on the Mormon question, by the Rev. William H. Strickland. Long before the services commenced the aisles were lined with chairs, which were immediately filled. In addition to this every place where there was room to stand had its occupant and the audience evidently longed for the time when the preaching of the sermon would commence.

The following is a full synopsis of the sermon, which will be read with interest by all:

"Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother and shall cleave unto his wife, and they shall be one flesh."—Gen. ii: 24.

The recent murder of five men in Lewis county, Tenn., and the ventilation of the matter in the prints, justifies the discussion of the marital relation at this time. Occurrences of similar character were noted down in Georgia and North Carolina some time ago.

The law-abiding citizens charge upon these Mormon missionaries, so-called, that under the guise of religion they were attempting to seduce their wives and daughters from the paths of virtue.

A meeting was held; these enemies to the family and the home were ordered to leave the county. They refused, and were put out of existence by an excited and indignant community.

I give no opinion as the right or wrong in the case. The tragedy is greatly to be deplored.

Let us now dismiss the marriage relation, for Mormonism is an abuse of this Divine and holy institution.

The true idea of marriage is the union of one man and one woman for life.

1. The Creator at the beginning made one man and gave him one wife. Had He wished him to have a plurality of wives He could easily have made him 3, or 30, or 300. Here the Creator teaches monogamy by this act.

2. The birth rate of males and females is kept about even in all ages and countries. God regulates this. But there is very little difference in the birth rate in the United States, as any census will show.

If this be true, and one man obtains a plurality of wives, then his neighbor, less fortunate, will not be able to get any wife at all—an awful misfortune.

3. Polygamy is unfavorable to the multiplication of humanity. One of the earliest of God's commands is, "Be fruitful and multiply and replenish the earth." A plurality of wives, for obvious reasons, defeats this precept.

4. God forbids polygamy by direct, positive precept. "Therefore, shall a man leave his father and his mother and shall cleave unto his wife, and they shall be one flesh." Gen. ii. 24.

As Dr. Angus says, "This passage, as quoted by our Lord in Matt. xix., 4, 6, forbids both divorce and polygamy."

In issuing the organic law of His kingdom, in His sermon on the Mount, our Lord strikes a blow at both polygamy and divorce.

These words, as you perceive, were uttered in

reply to a question touching divorce. "He that made them at the beginning made them a male and a female and said that on this account, because they were so made, a man shall leave his father and his mother and cleave to his wife and they shall become one flesh." In St. Mark 10:7, these words occur again with the following as a protest: "What therefore God hath joined together, let no man put asunder."

This command is constantly violated by State Legislatures and courts, when husband and wife are declared free of the marital obligations.

I have given you the scriptural idea of marriage. The life-long union of one man and one woman. "Until death us do part." "As long as you both shall live." We all hear these words at the marriage altar.

These words, uttered so solemnly, mean all that the language can convey.

God's laws know no other separation than the one referred to above.

This is the original American idea of marriage. It is the corner stone of our republic.

The columns of our nation's greatness from ocean to ocean, from the Gulf to the Arctic Sea, rest, today, upon our homes. If our homes are maintained, the Union is safe.

The early prosperity of the colonies and their ability to establish and sustain free institutions resulted in no small degree from their fidelity to God's primeval institution.

If our homes are to be polluted, if the marriage altar loses its sanctity, then our great republic will totter and fall.

We are menaced by four dangers to the home and marriage altar.

1. The French theory of marriage. A matter of convenience, not solemnly binding. A vow easily adjusted and easily thrown off. Incompatibility of temper, dislike of each other, unkind treatment: various things, trivial in their nature, are esteemed just cause for divorce. This is practiced in France to fearful extent. Results—low standard of virtue among women. While the French have the most favorable conditions of climate, civilization, government, agriculture and commerce for the increase of population, their numbers remain stationary from decade to decade.

Our society is being leavened by these ideas.

In one of the Northeastern States one divorce for every 14 marriage.

In Northwestern States divorces are obtained with the greatest ease.

It destroys homes, tears asunder parent and child, cuts down the "root-tree" and digs up the roots. It is destructive to the best ordered society.

2. Morganite marriages, so common in Germany which are sometimes called "left-handed marriages," in which the man is of superior rank to the woman he marries, she waiving all claims for herself and children to social recognition and property. The marriage of Charles II, of England serves as an illustration of the case in point.

3. Incorrect ideas of what must accompany marriage.

A false notion, growing more and more prevalent, is that a marriage is not desirable unless it secures to the bride an expensive establishment and a prominent position in society. No more hurtful idea than this could be propagated, and practically does more to decrease the number of marriages than any other one cause. How differently our fathers and mothers viewed it when they joined hands and hearts. It is an end to be desired, for the welfare of society and the commonwealth of

the State, that our young men and young women should marry early in life.

An illustration of this fact that occurs to me just now is the case of a young man who, many years ago, came out from Connecticut to Georgia. He was so poor he had to make the journey on foot, but was honest, industrious and altogether trustworthy. He soon found employment, made himself indispensable to his employers, and when he became a middle-aged man had secured a competence and retired from business. His sons, with false ideas of how life should be begun, felt that they must set up with a fine house, horses, and servants when they begin, instead of waiting to enjoy these things after they have earned them. Of course they set up their establishments on their father's money. The old gentleman, sighed sadly one day and said, "My boys seem to think that they must begin where I left off. I am afraid they will leave off where I had to begin." I know all these men personally. They are old and gray and poor.

4. Polygamy in Utah is the fourth danger. If it was confined to Utah it were not so bad, but it has come to Tennessee, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina. It proposes to revive in the United States the Oriental harem—to give to the man as many wives as he wants.

One of its cardinal doctrines is that eminent saints like Joe Smith and Brigham Young become gods in Heaven. The order in which these gods stand in Heaven is Brigham Young, Joe Smith, Adam Jesus, Jehovah and Elohim. All these gods had many wives while on earth, and rule over their descendants in Heaven; and that a man's rank in Heaven depends somewhat upon the number of wives and children he had here.

It is said that the practice of polygamy and sustaining harems in Arabia, Egypt, and Turkey is the greatest barrier to the suppression of the slave trade. There are 80,000,000 of wretched, enslaved women in these harems.

Let Mormonism prevail and we sap the very foundation of society and wipe out the Christian home.

The queen of our Christian home is the wife and mother.

The Mormon idea takes her crown and casts it into the dirt and enslaves her and makes her but the tool and convenience of man. "Is thy servant a dog that he should do this?" I ask in the words of the scripture.

One of the glories of Christianity is the honor and esteem placed upon woman. The speaker gave several illustrations to what Christianity had done for woman. Mormonism degrades her to the lowest position and retires her from society forever, and it does it in the name of religion.

Lust and brutality propose to compass their ends under the sanction of the name of Our Lord Jesus Christ.

Joe Smith, when establishing this abomination, professed to have revelations from heaven, directing him to appropriate other men's wives and make them his own.

Now, these Mormon elders, emissaries of their rotten Gomorrah at Salt Lake City, were doing these things in Lewis county. This the citizens charge upon them, and they have not disproved it. Enemies to virtue; subverters of homes, sowing dragon's teeth; low, cunning, sneaking, slimy serpents, obtaining admission to homes as professed missionaries, and then perpetrating the greatest crime known to humanity—not shooting down, wives and daughters, but seducing them into

shame and everlasting disgrace.

Fathers, husbands, brothers, rose up and ordered them to leave the country. They refused. Five of them won't do peaceable, virtuous homes any more harm.

We are not a court nor jury, but was it right for these people to kill those emissaries? No. It is always dangerous for man to take the law into their own hands. It tends to anarchy. It is subversive of all law. But the delays of the law are so many. Justice moves so slowly. The verdict of a jury is so hard to obtain, and so uncertain when had, so many bad men escape justice that at times men become so outraged that they rise up in their righteous indignation and execute justice for themselves. For some crimes a strong rope or the deadly bullet relieves the tedious, uncertain processes of criminal courts. But it is claimed they were missionaries teaching their religion. No; I do not excuse these Lewis county men.

The protection of the law is granted to every man in the exercise of his religious duties. While this is true, and a man may erect an idol temple and worship in it every day, yet this must not prompt to enter our families and systematically undertake and attempt to destroy our homes and debauch our wives and daughters. But I charge the United States government, and the Chief Executive of this nation with the greater sin.

Mormonism is half a century old. For fifty years this ulcer has sent forth its foul odors to defile the atmosphere of our Christian homes. Eight or ten Presidents have winked at it. Our Congress is too cowardly to administer heroic treatment and amputate it. It is a national disgrace. It is a crying sin, sending up its wail to heaven. In the name of thousands of enslaved women in Utah, in the name of purity and holiness; in the name of our Christian homes; in the name of the Bible and the God of the Bible, I arraign our United States government and lay this sin at their door. Those outraged men in Lewis county did what you would have done, what any of us would have done under similar circumstances.

"Let an emissary of hell,  
With smooth dissimulation skilled to grace  
A devil's purpose with an angel's face."

come into our homes to destroy the chastity of our wives and daughters and sisters, and but few of us could appeal to the law to protect us. We'd likely do as others have done. This does not make it right. But unless the government interferes, there will be more of it in the future.

What can we do? What improvement is there to us in the consideration of this subject?

1. As citizens, let us set our faces like flint against divorce. As commonly granted by our courts they are violative of the law of God, and demoralizing to society. In South Carolina there never has been a divorce upon the statute books, and my residence among the citizens of that State enables me to say that, in point of purity and moral worth, the society of that State will compare favorably with that of the other Southern States.

2. As churches, let us not fellowship divorced persons among us, after they have married a second time. Scripturally, they are outside the Christian church. Hard as this may appear to our sentiments and sympathies, yet we, as churches, are not to let our loyalty to God and our judgments be overwhelmed by our sympathies. We cannot afford to purchase peace in our churches at the expense of purity. Unpleasant as discipline is, yet our obligation to God and his word demand that we shall not allow such persons to hold fellowship with us. "First be pure, then peaceable," is an Apostolic injunction. The Legislatures of States, public opinion and vitiated morals cannot release us from our obligations to carry out the laws enacted by the King of Zion, and the New Testament law knows nothing of divorce, concubinage or polygamy.

What is the use of repining? It is better to laugh, and be gay. To-morrow the sun may be shining although it is cloudy to-day. So to-morrow may bring cheer to us though to-day be sad.

#### "A TRAIN OF THOUGHT."

BY BOSTON W. SMITH.

I was in the Union Depot of an Eastern city. Fortunately, my travelling companions were several of the leading men of our denomination; among them, Doctor —, editor of one of our standard papers, another good man and the noblest Hebrew of them all. The all-night ride had given us an appetite for our morning meal; we entered a room where we were informed we should have "twenty minutes for refreshments;" we want something more substantial than minutes; and accordingly order our steak, rolls and coffee; being very hungry, we made no note of time; we tarried long at our coffee on account of its high temperature, otherwise we had no grounds for complaint; my companions retired; I was alone with my coffee. Emerging from the refreshment room a minute later I looked, and there upon the rear platform of a train just moving out of the depot, were my illustrious companions in travel. I immediately increased my speed and violated one of my traveling rules by boarding the moving train. Almost out of breath, I was greeted by one of our denominational leaders: "Well, brother Smith, you almost missed it." We had gone about a quarter of a mile down the yard when the train stopped, and we learned that the side-track was its destination.

Now, dear reader, you readily see that I reasoned on this wise as I beheld that outgoing train: "There's Doctor and the rest of those great men—that's my train," and immediately "followed my illustrious predecessors." I surely thought I was on the right train when I saw such leading men on board, and said to myself: "They are worthy to be followed."

When the train stopped, we all very meekly but in somewhat of a hurry made our way back to the depot, where stood our train plainly placarded. We then took the right train, and were soon whirling along very tired, but men who had resolved to look before we again leaped upon an outgoing train. Whenever this little episode has been recalled to my memory, the ludicrous side has always presented itself.

Now let me show you through several cars composing my "train of thoughts."

In the first coach are the second-class passengers who believe in traveling as cheaply as possible; among them are men and women who patronize what are called undenominational publishing houses because their publications seem on the surface to be few cents cheaper; then too, Baptist literature would hurt the feelings of some good people of other denominations who live in their neighborhood. It seems strange that so many good men and women take this second class coach, and just so long as they do will be sure of numerous fellow passengers, who with them are "on the wrong train." They think they are on the right train because some of their leading members are seen on the rear platform.

In the second coach are the representatives of a certain church where I recently worshipped. There was present a large congregation; among the worshippers were at least two hundred of the church-members. The music, responsive reading, prayers and sermon had all been apparently much enjoyed by the noble two hundred. The pastor extended a most cordial invitation for all to remain to the Bible-study service. The benediction was pronounced; all but about twenty-five of the church members retired. I asked myself, "Why is this?" A reason flashed upon me at once. There was deacon O., Mrs. C., Mrs. H., and others known as leaders in the congregation; they had boarded the outgoing train; the others reasoning that men and women of such intelligence and prominence must surely be on the right train, hastened to follow them. When their coach is side-tracked, will they come back and take the right train?

In the hours of this body are some of the pioneer preachers of South Arkansas, but they are old and feeble now, and very soon their labors will close. In this association there is great need for two or three earnest, active preachers, to supply the places made vacant by the death of Bro. Moran and by the removal of Bro. Grinnell, Searcy and others. I am sure that just now a good man could be well sustained in this field. Any such a man wishing to change his field, may correspond with me in regard to this work.

A. J. FAWCETT.  
Pine Bluff, Ark., September 21, 1884.

course I contended for the faith once delivered, etc. Immediately she defended her position by saying, "My pastor is one of the leading ministers of Chicago, and if baptism means nothing but immersion, he would not and could not maintain his position, for he is too good a man to be mistaken." "Yes," I said, another instance of one taking the wrong train because men who are leaders are on board. How many honest and well-meaning people have boarded the out-going train as it departs from God's own truth because eminent ministers and others before them made the same mistake of taking the train going off on a side-track?

But lest my "train" become too long, and consequently too slow, let me take you into the last coach.

This car is packed full of passengers, representing thousands in our churches. Here is found a superintendent and his teachers, he a good man; all love him and are willing to be fellow helpers. In his school are many bright, active and wide-awake boys and girls, soon to be the men and women of our churches. In response to the call of the superintendent and teacher, the people bring their money for the regular Sunday school. They are constantly admonished that it is not present in their contributions, some Sunday we must go without lesson helps and papers. The treasury of the school is never out of money, and collections are constantly being made to its own帐户. In short, it is a self-centered school. The leaders in the churches where these officers and teachers were educated (?) always did that way, and so it must be right. O switchman, side-track the passengers on this outgoing train departing from the open principles of benevolence, tell them to return to the grand principle laid down in God's Word, to the culture of cheerful givers. So many have taken this coach that constant appeals from our missionary organizations become painful necessity. So fruitless are these appeals that overdrawn treasures are urgently in need of funds, while millions of the Lord's money are in the possession of passengers who are on board this wrong train, because men of wealth before them have made the great mistake of taking God Many there be that follow them.

Oh ye travelers, who have taken the wrong train of failing to impart to pupils the knowledge of the great missionary work being done by our denomination, come back! Take the right train! Begin with 1884! Instruct the pupils in your Bibles studying service as to the wonderful work being done for the evangelization of the world; then shall there rise up a generation filled with a true missionary spirit; those who shall give willingly and as God prospers them, and who remember the words of the Lord Jesus, how he said, "It is more blessed to give than to receive."

#### THE FRIENDSHIP ASSOCIATION OF ARKANSAS.

THIS body met the 19th inst. with the church at Bethlehem, Lincoln county, near the home and burying ground of the lamented Eld. M. Y. Moran, whose works and memory still live in the bounds of this body.

In many respects this was a pleasant session. The regular routine of associational work was done with some degree of order and dispatch.

The report on Publications paid a strong and well deserved tribute of respect to the Old Banner. Many were the words of sorrow expressed on account of the sad affliction of its editor, as well as prayers for his recovery.

In the bounds of this body are some of the pioneer preachers of South Arkansas, but they are old and feeble now, and very soon their labors will close. In this association there is great need for two or three earnest, active preachers, to supply the places made vacant by the death of Bro. Moran and by the removal of Bro. Grinnell, Searcy and others. I am sure that just now a good man could be well sustained in this field. Any such a man wishing to change his field, may correspond with me in regard to this work.

A. J. FAWCETT.  
Pine Bluff, Ark., September 21, 1884.

up of the fountains of the great deep" was the deluge of the waters from their ocean beds, and that the waters that descended in rain for forty days, mingled with trees, were enough to cover every part of the earth. "Thou coveredst it with the deep, as with a garment." —Psalms cix, v. 6.

It may not all have been covered at once and the same time, but yet it was all and every part covered with water during the forty days. An instantaneous judge of every portion of the whole earth, it is not necessary to assume, in order to maintain the truth of the Mosaic account in Genesis, v. 6.

Is there not a tradition among the Far East Nations, that the morning of the creation, was a long period of spring-like temperature? And is it not a fact demonstrated by deep sea soundings of navigators, that there are depths in the ocean beds, greater exceeding the altitude of the highest mountains? I have recently seen the statement, that a depth of six miles had been reached by soundings between Asia and America, in the region of Behring Strait. That exceeds by a mile the highest peak of the Himalaya mountains, known to be the highest mountain peak on the globe. A mountain elevation at the north pole of 23 1/2 degrees above the horizon. About this there is no dispute I suppose among scientific men. [Supposing the editor's assumption to be true, how came the present elevation of the earth's axis at the north pole of 23 1/2 degrees above the horizon?]

This was one argument in favor of his first assumption. He admitted of course what is well known now to be a fact, that the axis of the earth, instead of moving in the plain of the horizon, moves with an elevation at the north pole of 23 1/2 degrees above the horizon. About this there is no dispute I suppose among scientific men. [Supposing the editor's assumption to be true, how came the present elevation of the earth's axis at the north pole of 23 1/2 degrees above the horizon?]

Of course if the first assumption be true, the change could only have been wrought by the same Almighty Being who created the earth, placed it in position, and set it in motion.

Admitting it to be true, that the earth was suddenly arrested in its orbit, its motion suspended, and by a wrench of the Almighty arm, its northern pole was suddenly thrown up; what effect would that have had, upon the seas and oceans?

In answer to this question, and throwing some light upon it, I will here present the views of David in the 10th Psalm, beginning at the 5th verse:

5. Who laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be moved forever.

6. Thou coveredst it with the deep as with a garment; the waters stood above the mountains.

7. At thy rebuke they fled; at the voice of thy thunder they hastened away.

8. They go up by the mountains; they go down by the valleys, unto the place which thou hast founded for them.

9. Thou hast set a bound, that they may not pass over; that they turn not again to cover the earth.

This is David's account of the manner of the flood. That the waters of the seas and the oceans were forced from their deep basins and afterwards driven back and confined to their bounds, that they should not again turn "to cover the earth." Moses in Genesis vii, 11, "The same day even all the fountains of the great deep were broken up, and the windows of heaven were opened."

10. "And the water prevailed exceedingly upon the earth; and all the high hills that were under the heavens were covered."

Doubts have been thrown over the Mosaic account of the deluge; the question being asked, whence could all the water have come from, that was required to cover the earth 15 cubits, or 28 feet, above the highest mountains? And even some Christians have doubted if the deluge was total and not partial. But upon the supposition of the truth of the Ohio editor's theory, we can well imagine, that the sudden upheaval of the northern pole, would deluge the waters of the deep sea basins, and toss them over all the continents, even the highest mountains; and this constant swaying of the earth, up and down, like a pendulum seeking rest, the rotary motion still going on, we can conceive that every portion of the earth would, during the 40 days, have been totally submerged.

Now I have no doubt about the deluge, and a total deluge, and I have no doubt of its having been done by a miraculous exercise of Almighty power, and I do incline to the theory of the Ohio editor. Of one thing I have no doubt, that the "breaking

of the quon modo of the deluge."

## EXEGETICAL.

HADES—PARADISE.

**W**E have now noticed those scriptures that tell where the righteous go after death. "Gathered unto people," is the uniform expression. "Abraham's bosom" says Christ. What idea did Christ convey to the Jewish mind by this expression? Let Josephus answer. He says in his discourse on hades, "that is divided by a chaos deep and wide, that the just are conducted by angels to the right hand and are led with hymns, sung by the angels appointed over that place, unto a region of light, in which the just have dwelt from the beginning of the world; not constrained by necessity, but ever enjoying the prospect of the good things they see, and rejoicing in the expectation of those new enjoyments, \*\*\* with whom there is no place of toll, no burning heat, no piercing cold; \*\*\* but the countenances of the fathers, and of the just which they see always, smile upon them, while they wait for the rest and eternal new life in heaven which is to succeed this region. This place we call the bosom of Abraham."

"Doddridge says, "Both the rich man and Lazarus were in hades, though in different regions of it. See Grotius' learned and judicious note here." Christ was continually talking about heaven, what and who was in it. He could have said, he would have said, that Lazarus died and was carried by angels to heaven, if it were true. Our Lord told about another man departing this life and the language is, "this day shalt thou be with me in Paradise."

He did not say this day thou shalt meet me in heaven. The word heaven occurs some one hundred and fifty times in his discourses, but twice he told us where the righteous go at death. Once he says "carried to Abraham's bosom," and the other he says, "to Paradise." Then these are equivalent expressions and his hearers understood, as can be abundantly shown from Jewish literature of those times. Did his hearers understand that the thief or Lazarus went to heaven? What did they understand by Paradise? What was its current meaning at that time? The phase of thought presented in the rabbinical schools was, that "it was a region of the world, of the dead, of Sheol \*\*\* the intermediate home of the blessed." See Smith's Bible Dictionary. This same author says "Sheol is always the abode of departed spirits, like the Greek Hades. \*\*\* Consequently it has been the prevalent, almost universal notion, that hades is an intermediate state, between death and resurrection, divided into two parts, one the abode of the blessed, the other of the lost." See articles Hell and Paradise.

Dr. Doddridge says Paradise is "that garden of God which is the seat of happy spirits in the intermediate state. Dr. Adam Clark, says, "Among Christian writers, it generally means, the place of the blessed, or the state of separate spirits." Dr. Geo. Campbell declares this to be the opinion of all Christian antiquity, Origen alone excepted. See his Dissertation VI. on Hades and Gehenna.

We are overpowered with the amount of like testimony, and cannot give a tithe. Let us cover the whole ground by quoting once more from that prince of Baptist commentators, Dr. G. W. Clark.

Our only purpose in this article is to free the mind of the reader from that gross prejudice which leads him to say that Paradise and heaven are one.

When this is done we have something to say on the subject that will help on our line of thought. This blind prejudice which defies reason and all authority must be broken or the truth will not enter. The blending and confounding of Paradise and Heaven is not the result of reason, historical research, philology, or anything that we know, save the blinding power of prejudice. Who ever thought that Paradise meant heaven, when seeing the word elsewhere than the three places where it occurs in the New Testament. Whoever confounded the primeval Hebrew *gan* in its many Old Testament occurrences with heaven? Whoever confounded these terms in reading Milton's Paradise Lost and Regained, or Bickersteth's "Yester-

day, To day and Forever," or reading anything else, written in any age of the world? How is it possible for a man to satisfy his own mind that these two words mean the same thing. The only way is to show that the context in the three New Testament occurrences, require a revision of their universal distinction. But this is not possible. So confident are we, that we would feel safe in resting the whole issue on these contexts. But these we will notice.

Let us hear from Dr. Clark on Luke 23:43. Today, shalt thou be with me in Paradise." The word Paradise which is of oriental origin, denotes a park or pleasure ground. In the Septuagint it is used for the garden of Eden Gen. 2:8. Very naturally the word came to be applied to any place of beauty and happiness. The Jews applied it to that part of hades, the underworld, or abode of the dead, where the souls of the righteous await the resurrection." *Allard.*

The word in Hebrew corresponding with hades is Sheol. Whatever may have been the conceptions of the early Hebrews with regard to the separation between the righteous and the wicked in Sheol, those of a later period plainly concealed of such a separation. To them hades and Sheol alike designated the place of the righteous and wicked dead, the former inhabiting the regions of the blest called paradise, while the latter dwelt in the abyss called Tartarus. Paradise was also styled Abraham's bosom. The Jews spoke of all true believers as going to Abraham and to be in Abraham's bosom—a metaphor borrowed from the manner of reclining at meals—was equivalent to being in paradise in the general receptacle of happy, but awaiting souls. (Compare, 16:22.) It is supposed that Jesus spoke in terms such as the penitent himself would understand. In pronouncing him, therefore, that he should be with him that day in paradise, he evidently understood that Jesus would that day enter into the abode of the righteous after death, and that he himself would be with him there on that day, an assurance doubly comforting in that he would not be left to linger in agony two or three days as crucified persons often did, but after a few hours at most of suffering would pass into the same blessed abode. Jesus did not speak of heaven, \*\*\* but of paradise, that blessed but temporary dwelling place of disembodied, redeemed souls between death and the resurrection. In this intermediate state they are indeed happy and blessed, but they have not entered into the fullness of glory. They are not yet glorified. They are neither joined to their spiritual bodies and made fully like unto Christ, nor have they entered into that kingdom which was prepared for them from the foundation of the world. For these they must await the resurrection—the redemption of their bodies. (Compare author in Christian Review, April, 1832, p. 25, ff.)

Geikie, in his "Life of Christ," says of the word paradise, page 542, note f, "The ying thief would doubtless understand it in the sense in which his nation then used it." Then doubtless he did not think of going to heaven. Let us next study the three passages where this word occurs, and see if they contradict its universal meaning.—*Baptist Gleaner.*

## LETTER FROM ARKANSAS.

**B**RO. GRAVES:—Thinking that the many readers of THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST would like to hear from this portion of the country, I offer these few thoughts.

Not long since I conducted a meeting for Union church, of which I am pastor. The church has been in a luke-warm state for quite a while, and seemingly doing nothing for the cause. Our meeting lasted two weeks. A portion of the time we had the services of Brother John J. Haynes, a very worthy man, and whose labors were highly appreciated. During the series of meetings the church was revived and the cause of Christ advanced. Such a grand and glorious meeting has not been witnessed here for several years, so I was told. There were twenty-three sermons delivered, and there were twenty-three acceptances to the

church—thirteen were received by baptism, and the others by letter and restoration. The church now has something near 100 members. "The Lord has done great things for us, whereof we are glad."

## FALCON BAPTIST CHURCH

On last Sunday evening I visited the Baptist church at Falcon, in company with Captain John Parker, who is one of our firm, unwavering Baptists. We reached the church at 7 p.m., just as the minister began the sermon. Notwithstanding the congregation had been called to order, there was an old-fashioned hand shaking. Among the many who met us with a cheerful heart and happy welcome was Brother J. W. Land, their pastor, and Brethren W. R. Scott, B. W. Moore, Wm. Atkinson, Dr. Rye and Dr. Price. One could not feel happy to meet them. I was seated into the stand, where I found one of the students of Jackson University, Brother Milwee, who was just about announcing his text. Brother Milwee preached a grand sermon. This is the same B. W. Milwee that labored with Brother Stanger near Cotton Plant, Ark., this last summer. We predict a bright future for him, and to be sure that he is ours. God bless you, Brother Milwee.

During the series of meetings he taught the church your writer preached four sermons. At the close of the last session I preached. I am near falling as a victim of sympathetic affection between the heart and lungs.

I must say that we had a grand meeting on meeting, and while there were no services in the church, we believe that good was done. When this church was organized here it was a strong Methodist society in the town. They hated the Baptists beyond reason. This church has earnestly contended for the faith until that Methodist society has retreated and now holds forth about three miles south of Falcon. May God bless you, brethren. Still on.

Falcon church now has the worthiest of men, Brother Landers. Brother Landers is an uncompromising Baptist.

The Baptists of our country are improving, thus with Brother Graves, and hope to make still greater. Hoping that this will not find the whole basket, and that God will bless your paper. I remain yours in Christ,

R. H. WILSON,  
Bodaw, Nevada county, Ark. Sept. 21, 1884.

## FROM MISSISSIPPI

**B**RO. GRAVES:—I have recently held several meetings at each of the churches I am serving—Hickory Grove and Tyro both in Leflore county. The Lord was with us in great blessing at Hickory Grove. There were fourteen sessions to the church, and the brethren greatly revived and strengthened. They have resolved on having preaching twice a month. The meeting at Tyro was one of the best I ever saw. There was no excitement, but a deep seriousness seemed to pervade the whole community. There were forty acceptances to the church, thirty-six of them by baptism. The additions were from the best people of the country, merchants, doctors and substantial farmers. Nine-tenths of Tyro are now Baptists, while the church numbers one hundred and forty-two, and will have preaching twice each month. Elds. W. M. Gordon and W. J. Hargis, were with me in the beginning of the meeting which lasted two weeks, but my greatest ministerial help came from Bro. J. H. Edwards, the able and devoted pastor of Oxford. He stayed with me to the very close of the meeting, laboring incessantly, and most effectually for the Master. He is indeed a noble man, wholly consecrated to the work and delighting in it. As soon as our people sell some cotton, and have a little money, I intend raising you a club for the Old Banner. Fraternally,

J. H. AMACKER,  
Glennville, Miss.

THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST FOR 50 CENTS  
We will send this paper 4 months from date of  
subscribing for 50 cents, and all ministers and  
members 12 months for \$1.  
PUBLISHERS.

## REVIVAL NEWS.

**D**EAR BAPTIST:—We closed a good meeting at Center Hill on last Monday. It lasted nine days. We had quite a revival. I do not know the number of professors. There were six that I know of who did not unite with the church, I think they will at the next regular meeting. We had twenty-nine additions, nine by letter and restoration, and twenty by experience of grace—eighteen of them were baptized, and two are holding over. I learned, after coming out of the water, that I had converted two to Baptist sentiment, one a Methodist, who acknowledged that she had not been baptized, though she had been in the water and knelt and had some poured on her head. Nor did I tell the audience that immersion was "positively taught in the New Testament," but described such arguments from its symbolism as to prove immersion—the way that I have argued for thirty years. Our able Bro. A. J. Kynead, was with us for six days and did splendid preaching, much endearing himself to most, if not all the congregation. He is a preacher. I hope to baptize more there at the next meeting. We had 22 baptisms there last year, and had no ministerial aid for the pastor, though the good brethren and sisters helped much. Thanks be to God for His unspeakable gifts, for the glory is His. To His name be all the praise.

R. J. COLEMAN, PASTOR.  
Cabot, Ark. Sept. 19, 1884.

## RESOLUTIONS.

**W**HICHSOEVER, There are certain slanderous reports being circulated on our beloved pastor, W. F. Aouston, and, whereas, said reports are without the least foundation, but are calculated to injure our dear brother, and, also, the cause of our Master, Therefore: We, the Baptist Church of Christ at Bethlehem, do hereby denounce said reports as base falsehoods, and do heartily command our Brother W. F. Aouston, to any church or community, as a Christian gentleman, and an excellent preacher of the gospel of Christ.

**R**esolved, Therefore, That these resolutions be spread on our church book, and that a copy of the same be sent to the *Baptist Record*, and the *THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST* for publication.

Done in Conference, August term, 1884, and signed by order of the Church.

W. D. ALLEN, Mod. pro tem.  
B. P. WRIGHT, Church Clerk.

G. M. MAXWELL.—IMPOSTOR.

**E**ditor BAPTIST:—We, the church committee of Cedar Grove church, Independence county, Arkansas, request the publication of the following:

G. M. Maxwell, once a member of Cedar Grove Baptist church, Independence county, Arkansas, was expelled from said church for dissension and lying. He still holds his credentials, having refused to surrender the same to the church on demand. Said Maxwell is perhaps 37 years old, about 5 feet 5 inches high, fair skin, light hair and blue eyes. He is held by the church as a religious imposter and very bad man. Baptists please look out. Reference, J. H. Bayless. J. W. Sims, Chairman.

[American Baptist Flag and the Evangel please copy.]

Central Association met with Bell's church last Saturday, re-elected W. G. Inman Moderator, H. C. Irby Clerk, and J. M. Senter Treasurer. Forty-seven of the fifty churches reported a membership of 4,611, loss over last year 211. One new church added: Baptisms 266, gain of 46; deaths 53, 6 less than last year; 35 Sunday-schools, 1 gain; pupils 2146, gain 216; State Missions \$386, loss \$28; Foreign Missions \$260, gain \$120; Home Missions, \$13, loss \$27; Ministerial Relief \$33, gain \$30; Ministerial Education \$434, gain \$64; Endowment \$532, gain \$532. Total contributions \$1,658, gain \$683. Churches lettered off to aid in the organization of a new Association, carrying a membership of 1,250. Collected for Foreign Missions \$80. Next meeting at Humboldt, Tenn. J. M. SENTER.

Trenton, Tenn., Sept. 20, 1884. J. M. GREEN.

## Associational and State Missions

## THE PLAN OF CO-OPERATION.

1. Let such Association appoint an Executive Committee whose duty it shall be to induce the churches to take collections for State, Home, and Foreign Missions, Ministerial Relief and Education. The chairman of these committees will constitute the Board of Collection for the State Convention.

2. Let the members of this Board of Collection report and remit, monthly if possible, to the Missionary Secretary of the Convention, the monies collected for the various causes by the several churches in their Associations, stating plainly for which cause.

3. Let the Executive Committees of the Associations nominate for appointment by the State Board, a missionary for bounds who shall be the joint missionary of the Convention and the Association.

[We trust our Missionary Secretary and Missionaries, State and Foreign, will freely contribute to this Department this year.

HOME MISSION BOARD SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION, Maintaining the work of the Gospel in destitute regions in Texas, Arkansas, Florida, City of New Orleans, the West Indies, among Chinese in California, and the great southwest.

## OFFICE OF STATE BOARD OF MISSIONS AND SUNDAY-SCHOOLS, TENNESSEE BAPTIST CONVENTION.

**D**EAR BROTHER:—Although more than two months have elapsed since the adjournment of the Convention, the Board of Missions and Sunday-schools have so far been unable to secure the services of a proper man to serve as Missionary Secretary.

As soon as it was known that Bro. Bailey would not accept the position, the Board began actively the search for some other, but so far have failed to find any one possessing the necessary qualifications for the office who would accept it. The search is still being prosecuted with all possible vigor, in the hope that the Lord will soon direct us to the right man, but in the meantime all missionary work of the Board is at a stand-still, and much of the ground gained in the last few years will soon be lost unless operation be speedily resumed.

There is a debt of about \$1,000 against the Board, and a further debt of about \$600 against Associational Boards, which ought to be paid. We cannot print the Minutes of the last session of the Convention until there is money in the treasury to pay for the same.

In view of the foregoing, we have been instructed by the Board of Missions and Sunday-schools to appeal to the churches for aid to remove the existing indebtedness, and pay for the printing of the Minutes.

Why should collections stop simply because of the want of one officer? Surely the churches of Tennessee do not intend to stop aiding the State Mission cause simply for the reason that there is no collecting officer in the field.

If contributions were still coming in freely, and the debt were being rapidly reduced, it would be much easier for the Board to find a Missionary Secretary.

We would like to hear from you at once, by letter addressed to W. M. Woodcock, Nashville, Tenn., making suggestions to the Board as to the best method to pursue in the present critical condition of the State work, and promising to take a collection in aid of that work at the next meeting of the church, and to at once forward the same to the Board.

You are not only invited to make suggestions as to the best way out of our present difficulties, but you are also invited to ask freely about the present condition of the work, and your questions will be fully and frankly answered.

The Board is not incurring any indebtedness now, not even the salary of a Missionary Secretary, and it does seem that the 80,000 Baptists of Tennessee ought to be able to very soon lift the debt now existing. Fraternally,

G. B. WILLIAMS, President of Board.

W. HENRY STRICKLAND, Miss. Sec'y pro tem.  
W. M. WOODCOCK, Committee.

## SAMPLE PAPERS.

We will send THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST for 4 months, from time subscription is received to all non-subscribers, for 50 cents. We want them to "sample it." Ministers not subscribers 12 months for \$1.

PUBLISHERS.

## The Tennessee Baptist.

THOU HAST GIVEN A BANNER TO THEM THAT FEAR THEE  
THAT IT MAY BE DISPLAYED BECAUSE OF THE TRUTH."—Ps.

GRAVES & MAHAFFEY Publishers

J. R. GRAVES, LL.D. EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR

ASSOCIATE EDITOR.

REV. J. M. D. CATES. Woodbury, Tenn.

STATED CONTRIBUTOR.

REV. WM. P. BOND, LL.D. Brownsville, Tenn.

A. J. FROST, D.D. Sacramento, Cal.

REV. WM. NORTON, LL.D. England.

REV. J. T. OAKLEY. Commerce, Tenn.

JAS. S. MAHAFFEY. Nashville Manager

BUSINESS OFFICE: 350 Main Street, Memphis, Tenn.

SUBSCRIPTIONS PER ANNUM IN ADVANCE:

Single Copy . . . . . \$1.25  
Club of Three (containing one time) . . . . . 3.75  
Club of Six (containing one time) . . . . . 6.25  
Club of Sixty (containing one time) . . . . . 50.00

TERMS FOR SIX MONTHS:

Single Copy . . . . . \$1.25  
Club of Three (containing one time) . . . . . 3.75  
Club of Six (containing one time) . . . . . 6.25

ADVERTISING RATES:

One inch (12 lines of nonpareil) one insertion . . . . . \$1.00  
One inch, four insertions . . . . . 3.00

**BITINARIES**—when of patrons of this paper or members of their families, seven lines gratis; all over seven lines, fifteen cents per line. Eight words make a line. Please send copy to us, and we will bear this in mind and send back your copy.

Send money to Post Office, order Registered Letter, Express, or first, at our risk, otherwise at the sender's. If answer desired by mail, send stamp or postal card.

Distinguishing Principles of Baptists.

1. As Baptists, we are to stand for the supreme authority of the word of God as the only and sufficient rule of faith and practice. We are to stand for the truth as opposed to all heresies, in matters both of faith and practice, we must claim as being a distinguishing doctrine of our denomination—a doctrine for which we are called earnestly to contend.

2. As Baptists, we are to stand for the ordinances of Christ as he established them, that is, the same in number, kind, in order, and in successive meeting, unchanged and unchangeable till he come.

3. As Baptists, we are to stand for a spiritual and regenerated church, and that none shall be received into Christ's church, or be welcomed to its ordinances, without confessing a personal faith in Christ, and giving credible evidence of regeneration of heart.

Church Polity.

The Baptists believe that a Christian church is a local congregation, and complete in itself.

4. That, under Christ, each church is absolutely sovereign and independent.

5. That the church Christ committed the sole guardianship and control of the ordinances—preaching the gospel and administering baptism and the Lord's supper.

6. That all church rights and privileges, as voting and the Lord's supper, should be limited to the discipline of each church.

7. That no semblance of ecclesiastical authority can be exercised save by a local church.

8. That each local church alone is invested with all ecclesiastical power—power to elect and ordain, and to confer and to exclude members.

Distinguishing Policy of Historical Baptists.

The non-recognition of human societies as Scriptural assemblies by affiliation, ministerial or ecclesiastical, or any alliance or co-operation that is susceptible of being apparently or logically construed by our members or theirs or the world into a recognition of ecclesiastical or ministerial equality with Baptist churches.

SILENCE IS THE MOST EFFECTIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT OF ERROR.

THE SCRIPTURAL LAW OF DIVORCE.

BY REV. A. J. FROST, D.D.

NO III.

THESE passages found in Matt. 5: 31, 32; 19: 8-10;

Mark 10: 2-12; Luke 16: 18, contain all the words of Christ upon the subject of marriage and divorce. Having already given a brief exegesis of these scriptures we now draw a few general conclusions from the words of the Great Teacher, before passing to the statements of the great apostle upon this subject. And, first, we conclude from these words of Christ, that marriage is indissoluble "save for the cause of fornication." Indissoluble is a much stronger term than permanent. Permanent means that something will endure under ordinary circumstances. Indissoluble means generally, that which cannot be dissolved or brought to an end. It cannot be dissolved by any human authority. Marriage is a divine institution and can only be dissolved by divine authority. But divine authority has authorized the dissolution of marriage in case of fornication and for no other cause. We are aware that this doctrine of the indissolubility of marriage is very unpopular, but popularity is not a test of Biblical doctrine. It is not possible to assert in stronger language the unity and indissolubility of the marriage relation than Christ has done in these words: "they twain shall be one flesh." Husband and wife are thus members one of another. They are not their own, each belongs to the other. The husband does not own himself, the wife owns

him. The wife does not own herself, the husband owns her. He can say "my wife," she can say "my husband." She gave herself to him, he gave himself to her in bonds that never can be dissolved except by the divine authority that instituted the primal law of marriage. You will thus see how close this union is, and how intimate. It is more than union, it is unity, oneness, almost identity. Nothing but adultery or death can ever dissolve that unity. Adultery does not dissolve it. It is a legitimate cause for dissolution. Want of love is no ground for divorce. Incompatibility is no ground for divorce. Intemperance, cruelty, desertion, etc., are no grounds for divorce. Christ never mentions any of these as causes for putting away a wife or husband. Marriage is absolutely indissoluble so far as all these causes are concerned.

Our fourth conclusion from Christ's words relate to marriage after divorce. He positively asserts that marriage on the part of husband or wife, is adultery unless the previous "cause of fornication" exists. He also certainly implies, that the remarriage of the innocent person is allowable and optional.

Divorce on the ground of fornication just as completely frees the innocent husband or wife as if death had separated them. "so that she is no adulteress though she be married to another man."

But now the guilty party marry again? Christ says nothing about that. It was not necessary that Christ should say anything about the remarriage of a guilty man, under sentence of death, according to the Jewish law. The guilty man had no marital laws or privileges. He has forfeited all right of marriage. And if our laws do not condemn him to death, our social customs should condemn him from society and public recognition. When a public man, and especially a minister of the gospel, is guilty of adultery, he should forever leave his sacred office and hide himself in the quietest obscurity. He should say nothing of remarriage and be forever grateful that he is permitted to live.

"To claim for an adulterer," says Dr. Woolsey, "the protection of law in a Christian State, so that when free from his former obligations he may again marry, is to sin; he should forever leave his sacred office and hide himself in the quietest obscurity."

The second conclusion drawn from the words of Christ is, that, now speaking, no man can have more than one wife at one time. He may take himself to more than one woman, but the first one to whom he was married was his wife. All others are, of course, as far as God is concerned, the former dissipation, fornication, or adultery is still permitted even as an adulterer, he nowhere authorizes encouraging it or sanctioning it. On the other hand, he visited the most virulent infidelity with execrable language and other indignities and curses. God taught in that case, the language of strict monogamy. One later ag, that they twain are one flesh, one man three or four years, but they twain are one man, and I marry a second woman during the lifetime of the first unless she has been divorced for a scriptural cause. They may call themselves husband and wife, they may pass to society as such, civil law may pronounce them such, but they are not husband and wife. God caused him to his wife and they are one flesh, and God has never joined a man to two or more wives at the same time.

Brigham Young had but one wife at the rest were concubines. It is absolutely impossible for a man to be married to two women at the same time. For if he passes twice as wife and concubine another, he commits adultery. In the latter case they are not to be called husband and wife, as they are not married in the eye of God, of that case.

The voluntary separation of two and wife, or separation by civil enactment, finds no place in the word of God. A separation can take place only under the conditions appointed by God himself. No matter if they two are not of one heart, or of one mind, or of one's drift, they are "one flesh," and they can never become one flesh with anybody else, until that first union is dissolved by God himself on the ground of adultery or death.

The importance of preserving our denominational unity in organization we need not now insist upon; for it is evident that our organic unity is the natural outgrowth of our unity of sentiment. The very existence, then, of our denomination, as such, would seem to be closely connected with such unity of sentiment, nay, absolutely dependent upon it. We must, then, test ourselves as best we can, that we may have a literature of our own—a literature of which the cultivated outsider cannot afford to be ignorant. We must meet error in its stronghold. We must encourage all honest and intelligent efforts to produce a genuine Baptist literature, which shall be valuable from a purely literary point of view. Hold up the hands of Baptist writers; enable Baptist papers to secure the best writing talents in the denomination. Circulate the printed thoughts of Baptists. Subscribe liberally for your denominational paper.—J. C. Hiden, D.D., in *American Baptist Reflector*.

The *Journal and Messenger*, Ohio, has been solid with those brethren and papers that oppose the use of wine—the fermented juice of the grape, for nothing else ever was or is wine—at the Lord's Supper, and now it seems that he does not believe that the Savior used any at all. This is progress. In a recent reply to the *Advocate* he says: "Now it would be interesting to know by what process

without the one primal condition of sexual crime, No candid exegesis, logical induction, no fair interpretation of Christ's words can make out more than one cause for divorce.

Our fourth conclusion from Christ's words relate to marriage after divorce. He positively asserts that marriage on the part of husband or wife, is adultery unless the previous "cause of fornication" exists. He also certainly implies, that the remarriage of the innocent person is allowable and optional.

Divorce on the ground of fornication just as completely frees the innocent husband or wife as if death had separated them. "so that she is no adulteress though she be married to another man."

But now the guilty party marry again? Christ says nothing about that. It was not necessary that Christ should say anything about the remarriage of a guilty man, under sentence of death, according to the Jewish law. The guilty man had no marital laws or privileges. He has forfeited all right of marriage. And if our laws do not condemn him to death, our social customs should condemn him from society and public recognition.

When a public man, and especially a minister of the gospel, is guilty of adultery, he should forever leave his sacred office and hide himself in the quietest obscurity. He should say nothing of remarriage and be forever grateful that he is permitted to live.

"To claim for an adulterer," says Dr. Woolsey, "the protection of law in a Christian State, so that when free from his former obligations he may again marry, is to sin; he should forever leave his sacred office and hide himself in the quietest obscurity."

The second conclusion drawn from the words of Christ is, that, now speaking, no man can have more than one wife at one time. He may take himself to more than one woman, but the first one to whom he was married was his wife. All others are, of course, as far as God is concerned, the former dissipation, fornication, or adultery is still permitted even as an adulterer, he nowhere authorizes encouraging it or sanctioning it. On the other hand, he visited the most virulent infidelity with execrable language and other indignities and curses. God taught in that case, the language of strict monogamy. One later ag, that they twain are one flesh, one man three or four years, but they twain are one man, and I marry a second woman during the lifetime of the first unless she has been divorced for a scriptural cause. They may call themselves husband and wife, they may pass to society as such, civil law may pronounce them such, but they are not husband and wife. God caused him to his wife and they are one flesh, and God has never joined a man to two or more wives at the same time.

Brigham Young had but one wife at the rest were concubines. It is absolutely impossible for a man to be married to two women at the same time. For if he passes twice as wife and concubine another, he commits adultery. In the latter case they are not to be called husband and wife, as they are not married in the eye of God, of that case.

The voluntary separation of two and wife, or separation by civil enactment, finds no place in the word of God. A separation can take place only under the conditions appointed by God himself. No matter if they two are not of one heart, or of one mind, or of one's drift, they are "one flesh," and they can never become one flesh with anybody else, until that first union is dissolved by God himself on the ground of adultery or death.

The importance of preserving our denominational unity in organization we need not now insist upon; for it is evident that our organic unity is the natural outgrowth of our unity of sentiment. The very existence, then, of our denomination, as such, would seem to be closely connected with such unity of sentiment, nay, absolutely dependent upon it. We must, then, test ourselves as best we can, that we may have a literature of our own—a literature of which the cultivated outsider cannot afford to be ignorant. We must meet error in its stronghold. We must encourage all honest and intelligent efforts to produce a genuine Baptist literature, which shall be valuable from a purely literary point of view. Hold up the hands of Baptist writers; enable Baptist papers to secure the best writing talents in the denomination. Circulate the printed thoughts of Baptists. Subscribe liberally for your denominational paper.—J. C. Hiden, D.D., in *American Baptist Reflector*.

The *Journal and Messenger*, Ohio, has been solid with those brethren and papers that oppose the use of wine—the fermented juice of the grape, for nothing else ever was or is wine—at the Lord's Supper, and now it seems that he does not believe that the Savior used any at all. This is progress. In a recent reply to the *Advocate* he says: "Now it would be interesting to know by what process

the *Advocate* reaches the conclusion that in instituting the supper the Lord Jesus used wine, or the juice of the grape. So far as we have read, it is nowhere said that he did; neither is it anywhere in the New Testament said that the Apostles or early Christians used the wine, or grape juice, in connection with the supper of the Lord." We are accounted a terrible heretic because we advocate the observance of the supper as a church ordinance. What will the Baptist press say about Bro. Lasker's position respecting wine and unleavened bread? for he wants proof that Christ instituted the supper with unleavened bread, or that it is incumbent on his churches to use it. Who will give us a nice article upon these elements? Will not Bro. J. P. Kincaid?

A BAPTIST PRAYER BOOK.—During our twelve years connection with the Baptist Book House, we have received numerous calls for a Baptist Prayer Book, and after diligent search through the catalogues of all the publishers with whom we do business, we have at last found a book, which, though not a *Prayer Book*, like those used by Episcopalians and Roman Catholics, is a book calculated to aid young Christians in public and private worship, and will meet the wants of our patrons. The title of this book is—"Aids to Devotion: Including Bickersteth on Prayer, Watt's Guide to Prayer, and Select Devotional Exercises." The title of this book explains its character and design. Besides the whole of Dr. Watt's up-to-date *Guide to Prayer*, it contains the richly evangelical *Views of Prayer*, by Mr. Bickersteth, with examples from Scripture and other sources, sufficient to illustrate the principles, and aid the young Christian in applying them to practice. The hints in the Preface are invaluable for social Prayer Meetings, the benefits of which are sometimes wholly lost for want of observing just such things as he points out. 16mo, pp. 320. Cloth, \$1.00. Sent by mail post-paid on receipt of price.

Address GRAVES & MAHAFFEY.

EDIGRAMS.

Bro. J. M. Ginty, of Flatonia, Texas, writes as follows: I lay down my paper after reading your article on "That Corner Stone" to say "well done thou good and faithful servant." I speak of thing

only a saying theology is worth saying," said Joseph Cook in a recent lecture—which involves the duty of upholding intolerance against fanatics. We may say every saying theology is worth saying, and this involves the duty of maintaining intolerance among those whose errors are not fatal.

My father's condition the past week was one of great suffering from nervous exhaustion, but soon

recovered, rapidly returning to the paralyzed limb. Galvanism is being applied with success, and his recovery is in all respects hopeful. He can use the penitentiary while at one time, and will next week try to meet with his readers. This is the seventh week of his sickness, and we must say that he is remarkably patient.

My father's condition the past week was one of great suffering from nervous exhaustion, but soon

recovered, rapidly returning to the paralyzed limb. Galvanism is being applied with success, and his recovery is in all respects hopeful. He can use the penitentiary while at one time, and will next week try to meet with his readers. This is the seventh week of his sickness, and we must say that he is remarkably patient.

How they have changed their tactics! Who? The Pedobaptists and other opponents of the scriptural teachings of the Baptists. In old times it was baptism that provoked them and gored them to desperation. They raked up all their scholarship and linked with it all the prejudice they could raking to beat down the growing idea of immersion the only baptism. We all remember how long and hot the battle raged. The truth prevailed.

Except an occasional spurt from some dying abolitionist, but little is heard now publicly in defense of sprinkling. The babies, bless their dear hearts, are allowed to remain in their cradles. Brothers, except when lulled to rest by church officials, demand the privilege of following their Lord, or else freely admit that they ought so to do. The fierce battle against Bible baptism has in a large measure ceased.

What now? Why, close communion. All along the lines this is now the cry: Baptist exclusiveness!—Baptist selfishness! They do not care so much to eat with each other, but they are so distressed they cannot eat with the once despised Baptists. What a pity! We have only two things to say First, let our friends and brethren of other denominations come over and embrace the whole truth. They are on the way; they have done right to give up the fight about baptism; let them take yet another step and admit the scripturality of the Baptist position on the Lord's Supper, and then let them dare, no matter who oppose, to act upon their convictions and come all the way out of long-embraced errors. While to the Baptists we say, be courteous, but be firm.

You have carried one position of the enemy, the other will yield in due time. To God be the glory! —*Religious Herald*. Now, let Baptists discontinue the use of the Lord's Supper as a test of "Christian courtesy," and observe it strictly as a church act, limiting it to the members of each church as they do voting, and do it in remembrance of Christ alone, and not of their visiting brethren also, and this weapon of their warfare would be

broken in the hands of Pedobaptists, and then we could successfully meet them with their own standards, which forbid them to extend holy communions beyond the limits of their own membership! (See "Intercommunion" on this.) Baptists will one day take this scriptural ground as generally as they now reject alien immersions. Fewer and fewer churches are inviting, and fewer and fewer visitors are accepting the invitations when given. To God be all the glory!

A BAPTIST PRAYER BOOK.—During our twelve years connection with the Baptist Book House, we have received numerous calls for a Baptist Prayer Book, and after diligent search through the catalogues of all the publishers with whom we do business, we have at last found a book, which, though not a *Prayer Book*, like those used by Episcopalians and Roman Catholics, is a book calculated to aid young Christians in public and private worship, and will meet the wants of our patrons.

The *Advocate* comments with intelligent force on Monsignor Capel's recent deliverances thus:

The assertion of Monsignor Capel that the principle of private judgment has brought no conversions from non belief to belief in Christianity is a foolish one, and cannot be supported by proof. The very growth of Protestant Christianity disproves the statement, in fact, since by far the greater part of the accessions to Protestant churches are the results of the cultivation of private judgment. Indeed, the whole of Dr. Watt's up-to-date *Guide to Prayer*, and *Select Devotional Exercises*, is calculated to aid young Christians in applying them to practice. The hints in the Preface are invaluable for social Prayer Meetings, the benefits of which are sometimes wholly lost for want of observing just such things as he points out. 16mo, pp. 320. Cloth, \$1.00. Sent by mail post-paid on receipt of price.

Elder J. A. Browning, Ark.: Please say to Bro. Langly, that Bro. Harral or Bro. Bond will discuss the question with him to his heart's content, in the columns of the *Evangel*, his own State paper, and I will

## INFANT BAPTISM REPUDIATED.

COMMENTING on the new Congregational Creed which has been published by the commissioner appointed by the National Congregational Council, held in St. Louis in 1880, to prepare a statement of belief, the *Independent* has this to say on the article, relating to the sacraments:

"The article on the sacraments appears to us neither wise nor clear. They are described as:

"Baptism to be administered to believers and their children, as the sign of cleansing from sin, of union to Christ, and of the impartation of the Holy Spirit; and the Lord's Supper, as a symbol of his atoning death, a seal of its efficacy, and a means whereby he confirms and strengthens the spiritual union and communion of believers with himself."

While we have no doubt that every one of the signers and nine-tenths of the Congregational body believes that baptism should be administered to the children of believers, that is a point which divides the best Christian believers. *It is a matter of great doubt whether the Apostolic Church baptized infants. The Bible makes no mention of it.* The newly discovered "Teaching of the Apostles," written in the life time of the next generation after the Apostles, seems to know only believers' baptism."

This view agrees with the candid statement of Dr. H. A. W. Meyer, who, as a German critical scholar, has no superior. Speaking of the baptism of Lydia's household, he says:

"The baptism of the children of Christians, of which no trace is found in the New Testament, is not to be held as an apostolic ordinance; but it is an institution of the church, which gradually arose in post-apostolic times in connection with the development of ecclesiastical life and of doctrinal teaching, not certainly attested before Tertullian, A.D. 300, and by him still decidedly opposed, and although already defended by Cyprian, only becoming general after the time of Augustine, A.D. 400, in virtue of that connection."

SUB-EDITORIAL.—Now what was that doctrinal teaching, which in the fourth and fifth centuries, gave ground and called into existence the practice of baptizing unconscious babes?

The answer is potent to every one the least familiar with the history of doctrines—it was the doctrine introduced by the Greek and Latin Apostles of those ages, viz.: that baptism is a sacrament of salvation; that there is no promise of the remission of sins or regeneration of heart to any one without baptism, and that these graces are conferred upon them in the reception of the rite.

Bro. Patten, of New York, from whose *Baptist Weekly* we clip the above admissions says:

"If our Pedobaptist brethren would generally take this position, acknowledging the traditional ground of their practice, and confessing that infant baptism has no scriptural authority, the schism which this error has fostered in Christendom would soon be healed."

We think not, because by their best scholars, for almost a century past, the traditional character of the infantile rite has been admitted and the ritual survives. But we say, convince all parents that baptism is not a sacrament of salvation—that it does the child no good but a positive injury, then it will be immediately and generally discontinued.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Q. 131. Is it right or proper for a Baptist to marry a Campbellite or Catholic? H. E. M. H.

A. Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers." This certainly means the same today as it did when Paul penned it by the dictation of the Holy Ghost.

Catholicism is an abominable system of anti-Christian idolatry. This is all that need to be said of it, and marriage by its priests is a sacrament of the church, and unites the parties married to that church, and they are bound by the vows administered to bring up their offspring in the faith of the Catholic church. Depend on this, Miss H., you cannot be married by a Roman Catholic priest without vowed this, and so being a Catholic to all intents and purposes.

As to Campbellism, it is without a question in our mind a subtle system of infidelity—an ingenu-

ous counterfeit of Christianity, as it is utterly subservious of it. It manifestly denies the proper work, if it does not the real personality, of the Holy Spirit. It inverts the order of the gospel, and thus perverts it, and we would a thousand times a daughter of ours would marry an openly avowed infidel or atheist than a Campbellite, because he would not so mercilessly persecute and torment her, but would stand a thousand times better chance to be reached and converted by the truth. If you want to experience a living purgatory, marry a man of either of these sects. If two cannot walk together in the pleasure of peace unless they be agreed, why should a Baptist marry one bitterly opposed to her religious faith? It is perilous enough for a Christian woman to marry an ungenerous man who, like most, has a great respect for Christianity. Many a Christian wife has lived saddened in heart and in a loveless home, a widow with a tyro Christian in her cause—but that man is not constrained by any such obligations to assault her faith or the constant assertion of his own. "A house," said the saint, "divided against itself cannot stand." A thousand waves with the wrecked happiness and blighted hopes, could they speak, would tell you this.

Q. 132. I wish to ask you this question: When the intercommunionists attend the usual baptismal service, do they not practice baptism in that case, thus supposing they are not yet in the whole ground in which they stand? This is a very ticklish question. What do you say? R. C. G. T.

I. C. T. S. S.

J. Most unquestionably they do. They admit that the infant have no scriptural right to come to the font, and yet the members of the self-styled church—because they have the right to come. Why have not members of sister churches the right to intercommunion? Because Christ has withheld the right. Can a church give what Christ has withheld? This would be rejecting Him as the only lawgiver—would be an insult to His crown. A church is not a legislative body. She can neither give nor withhold any right—can only execute the trusts committed to her. The intercommunionists do every thing he invites, surrender the whole ground upon which he stands.

## THE BIBLE AND BAPTIZO

There is progress making touching the baptismal controversy. It is certainly a tremendous concession made to us by Baptists. Dr. Pedobaptists propose, on any condition, to allow the true definition of Baptizo to appear in the margin of their bibles, translated for the heathen. But how inadmissible the condition, viz., that we insert their definition on the margin of our revisions.

This would be to surrender our principles in order to get peace from pedobaptists. We had rather a thousand times, conquer it. I reminds us of the once a certain scoundrel personage made to Christ, when he offered to him all the kingdoms of this earth and the glory of them. "I do

I deem it a great shame to the Christian Church that its members cannot unite in the circulation of the Bible. To go before the heathen, or even the nominal Christian world, with rival Bibles is to bring a reproach upon our Lord. Why should the Baptists be separated from our Bible Society, if a fair *iran.com* can be made, so that that noble body of believers may conscientiously join the rest of us in the matter of Bible distribution? The fact is that a very large proportion of the Christian church believes that "baptizo" means "immerse." Another large portion believes it does not, and I am one of these latter. Each portion is equally evangelical and earnest in missionary work. Why should we not print our Bibles with the alternate reading in the margin? Let our received English version have some translate "baptizo" simply "to apply water" without regard to mode." In the Telugu country it would be the same. Wherever the prevailing form of belief (on this subject) has its views in the text, let the other form be put in the margin. This would be an honest statement to all of a difference of opinion held tenaciously by each, but in regard to which all agree to act in Christian liberality. I am sure that our Baptist brethren would see in this a fair, fraternal act, acceptable to all who love a united Christian movement upon a sin-smitten world.

Yours ever truly,  
HOWARD CROSBY.

The plan of Dr. Crosby to bring about an *iran.com* between the Baptists and Pedobaptists will not be acceptable, because it is not a fair compromise. Let the *acknowledged translation of baptizo* be put in the text, and the transiter (baptizo) be put in the margin of the Bibles, then, if any one could pop poor, sprinkle, and call it a "watering ceremony" on the authority of the margin with *baptizo*, a non-interchangeable term in Greek, stamp him in the face, as he pronounces the sacred formula, let him do it, and answer for it to Him who has said, "By my word shall ye be judged in the last day." The distinctive characteristic of the word in the Greek is *to immerse*, and no living one is substituted without destroying the symbol or spirit of the ordinance, and contrarie the etymological meaning of the word designating that ceremony. It would oblige the Christian brother, that Christ requires by example and precept to be worn by every one of his followers, and on this account of words, creating a schism on this subject, proving the Babylonian origin of the baptism. Baptism by sprinkling, immersing, spraying, &c. The absurdity can scarcely be imagined. If Jesus, John, Peter and Paul used *baptizo* and equated it with *christizo*, then any one who thinks them sin the latter, though expressed in other words, misrepresents them, and commits a literary forgery, because, while he professes to quote what they have said, he conceals the truth, by slanting words they never said.

Two things cannot be one thing, while totally distinct. If Christ never, even commanded baptism and not baptism then according to the scholars of the world, the symbolism, and circumstances of the ordinance, the word means immersion, and cannot mean anything else. The Church of Rome has packed upon it to supersede it. Sprinkling and the water are upon a par.

The most Protestants profess to take the Bible as their infallible rule of faith and practice, and then stultify themselves by following the anti-Christian hierarchy that assumes the prerogative "sovereign and awful" for the Christian world. The Baptists profess to all good friends to be ironical on the basis of truth and righteousness. First pure, then peccate. —S. W. in N. Y. *Observer*

## THE DETECTIVE.

Under this head we shall insert, and keep standing for the benefit of the denomination, the names of every baptist or pedobaptist baptist preacher known to us, as reference to prove his guilt.

## BAPTISTS TAKE NOTICE.

J. C. PETERSON, a small dark complexioned man, formerly a Baptist minister, has been excommunicated from Bethesda Baptist Church, Little River County, Ark., for gross unchristian conduct. He is a total drunkard, having refused to give up to the church, he demanded. Baptists of other States would note.

E. M. HAZLEWOOD. Reference, Ben. McFerren, Church Clerk, Ardmore, Ark.

EDWARD HARRIS. Reference, J. W. Wain, Ch. Clerk, Carrollton Church, Ark.

ELD. ROBE. TOMLIN.—Reference, Eld. A. J. Peden, Hopewell, Texas.

REV. A. G. JONES. Ans. George Jones. Reference, Rev. Dr. R. L. Moore, Raleigh, N. C.

T. C. M. GALLAND.—Reference, W. B. Crumpton, Shields' Mill, Ans. T. C. M. Galland.

R. T. GOODMAN, caught in a *iran.com*, Rev. R. T. Goodman, a Baptist minister of Tennessee, in quest of health, "Oregon fever," he was excommunicated from the Baptist church in that far west.

ELD. JAMES C. ORCHUTT, who is now traveling in Texas, is an excommunicated member of the Baptist church at Woodville, for swindling.—Reference, the Clerk of that church.

J. M. LEE, excommunicated from Rosebud church for adultery and desertion of his family. Address, S. J. Thomas, Clerk of Rose bud church, White County, Ark.

Give us your postoffice, Bro. Thomas.

JOHN H. BAWHOPP, excommunicated from the First Spring church, Savanna, Tenn., for drunkenness, adultery, and for taking his wife and children. He is of a very dark skin black hair and beard, black eyes and the whites of a yellowish tint, and weighs about 160, and some forty years old; a keen shrewd, bad man. Reference, S. W. Barr, Marion, Tenn., Baptist papers west of Mississippi will please

ELD. J. B. HARRY.—Whereas this church has charged me, Eld. J. B. Harry for his christian conduct, and at the request, the church calling a council from sister churches to hear the matter, and advise the church. The council thus convened, after hearing this matter, found the charges against me well founded, and withdrew fellowship from him, and demanded his credentials. The church rebuked me, and wherefore, said J. B. Harry refused to give up his credentials. Therefore, he is now excommunicated from this church, and that his name and record be sent to the Arkansas Evangel and the Tennessee Baptist, and to publish the name. Done by order of the council in regular Conference Saturday before the first Sunday in June, 1884.

G. V. BURRUS, Moderator,

D. A. P. EASTER, Church Clerk.

## Foreign Missions.

MISS NORA H. GRAVES, EDITOR.

Nora.—I was requested by the Big Hatchet Association during its last session, to act as agent for collecting through sales of this paper, the \$1,000 promised by that body for Foreign Missions. Hence, all funds collected by the churches of the Big Hatchet Association for Foreign Missions are requested to be sent to me.

TEXAS BAPTIST STATE CONVENTION AND SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION

The following statement is copied from Rev. Dr. Pichon's last report of Home Mission Board, and sent by him to the subscriber, who is Dr. Thomas' predecessor at Columbia, Miss., and Montgomery, Ala., will speak for me.

ALABAMA.—The Muscle Shoals Association met with the Huntsville Church yesterday.—J. S. Dill writes that on State Convention made a deep impress to the Church and community in Tuscaloosa.—E. B. F. Gray has left Selma, and gone to Birmingham, Etowah county.—J. M. Fortune says, "The great fifth Sunday meeting of the Selma Baptist Association, held with the Town Creek Church, on the last Sunday in August, and Friday & Saturday, has been the best meeting of the kind I have attended."

Representation on contributions to Home Board, Alabama, \$12,500.00; Mississippi, \$10,000.; Kentucky, \$12,135.42.; Georgia, \$14,000.; South Carolina, \$8,765.00.; North Carolina, \$1,000.; Texas Convention, \$32,895.40.; Louisiana, \$7,714.00.; Maryland, \$6,700.00.; Virginia, \$7,917.17.

GEORGIA.—A great revival is in progress in Columbus. This city has seen over one thousand converts. The Union has doubled its membership.—F. J. M. W. has moved to Atlanta.

MISSISSIPPI.—S. J. McDonald, of Woodville, writes: "This is to inform you that during a very interesting meeting of the Percy Creek Baptist church, embracing the last week in August, the news of the affliction of Eld. J. R. Graves, was received. It was proposed that solemn prayer be offered for Dr. Graves in view of his great affliction. The meeting closed with six baptisms, two standing over for the ordinance. I also witnessed twenty-eight conversions at Pioneer church, the fourth and fifth Sundays."

WILLIAM C. CLARK, Baylor University, Independence, Tex., Sept. 20.

PLEASEANT PRESSING PROMPT.

BETWEEN the 15th and 30th of October instant, the newly appointed missionaries of the Board of Foreign Missions—making, with their families, an company of fifteen—will depart for their distant fields of labor. The expense involved in these departures for outfit, passage across the continent and the oceans, and for advanced salaries, are very heavy. But, what duty should be more pressing than contribution to the equipment and sending forth of these servants of God, who go to appropriate the nations as the immediate representatives of our own churches? With deepest sympathy, the board goes forward, contrasting with indifference, relying on the adequacy and timely offerings of God's people. The time is short. The need is pressing. Let the responses be prompt. Those much as we have done at the cost of the last of these my brethren, we have done unto me." Thus shall the Lord say in that day of days.

H. A. TURNER,  
Richmond, Va.  
Cor. Secretary.

## RELIGIOUS NEWS.

TENNESSEE.—Bro. Burdin, Dyersburg, Sept. 22, writes: I have just returned from Berea church, left a glorious meeting in progress. Fifteen had united with the church up to the time of my leaving. I resigned its care, and Bro. Clemon Younger was elected as my successor. Bro. V. is a young and hopeful preacher. Brethren Savage, Gardner, Bray, Pool and Andrews, assisted in the meeting. Bros. Gardner and Younger doing the most of the preaching.—The *Nashville American*, Sept. 22, has the following: "A week ago, Rev. Wm. Henry Strickland announced in the Sunday School of the Edgefield Baptist Church, that he would at some time like to preach to the boys of the Church and Sunday School, if the boys would like to hear him. At the conclusion of the exercises, Mr. H. W. Butterill, Superintendent of the school, said he had no doubt Bro. Strickland would preach to the boys next Sunday, if the boys desired it. We learn from Dr. Crane that Baylor University has more boarders than at this time last year, and others coming in constantly. The president will continue the management of the boarding hall, with as good care in all respects for students, as can be had at the same price in North America. The arrangement another made to take the domineel was broken up by the declination of the party.—Sister Mollie Smith, writing from Weatherford, gives voice to the sentiment of the entire church, in deeply regretting the resignation of their pastor, Dr. Kimbrough. She also reports eight additions within the past two months. We

will not let some brother at each of the Associations held in Tennessee this fall, speak a word in favor of the old TENNESSEE BAPTIST, and rally up a list of new subscribers for it. Also in the Report on Baptist Literature have attention called to the

new Great Iron Wheel" at cost, for the next six months—\$9.00 cents. New Methodism will confront them every where, and constantly, and they should prepare for it. It is a practical work on *Palmiers*.

PUBLISHERS.

Will not some brother at each of the Associations held in Tennessee this fall, speak a word in favor of the old TENNESSEE BAPTIST, and rally up a list of new subscribers for it. Also in the Report on

Baptist Literature have attention called to the

new Great Iron Wheel" at cost, for the next six months—\$9.00 cents. New Methodism will confront

them every where, and constantly, and they should

prepare for it. It is a practical work on *Palmiers*.

PUBLISHERS.

Will not some brother at each of the Associations held in Tennessee this fall, speak a word in favor of the old TENNESSEE BAPTIST, and rally up a list of new subscribers for it. Also in the Report on

Baptist Literature have attention called to the

new Great Iron Wheel" at cost, for the next six months—\$9.00 cents. New Methodism will confront

them every where, and constantly, and they should

prepare for it. It is a practical work on *Palmiers*.

PUBLISHERS.

Will not some brother at each of the Associations held in Tennessee this fall, speak a word in favor of the old TENNESSEE BAPTIST, and rally up a list of new subscribers for it. Also in the Report on

Baptist Literature have attention called to the

new Great Iron Wheel" at cost, for the next six months—\$9.00 cents. New Methodism will confront

them every where, and constantly, and they should

prepare for it. It is a practical work on *Palmiers*.

PUBLISHERS.

Will not some brother at each of the Associations held in Tennessee this fall, speak a word in favor of the old TENNESSEE BAPTIST, and rally up a list of new subscribers for it. Also in the Report on

Baptist Literature have attention called to the

new Great Iron Wheel" at cost, for the next six months—\$9.00 cents. New Methodism will confront

them every where, and constantly, and they should

prepare for it. It is a practical work on *Palmiers*.



## TEST YOUR BAKING POWDER TO-DAY!

Brands advertised are absolutely pure  
CONTAIN AMMONIA.

## THE TEST:

Place a box top down on a hot stove until heated, then  
turn it over and down on a cold stove. A chemist will be  
called to detect the presence of ammonia.



DOES NOT CONTAIN AMMONIA.  
ITS HEALTHFULNESS HAS NEVER BEEN QUESTIONED.

In a million homes for a quarter of a century it has  
stood the consumer's reliable test.

## THE TEST OF THE OVEN.

PRICE BAKING POWDER CO.,  
Dr. Price's Special Flavoring Extracts

The strongest, most delicious and natural flavor known, and  
Dr. Price's Lupulin Yeast Gems

For Light, Healthy Bread, The Best Dry Hop  
Yeast in the World.

FOR SALE BY CROCKERS,  
CHICAGO. ST. LOUIS.

## LIGHT HEALTHY BREAD



The best dry hop yeast in the world. Bread  
raised by this yeast is light, white and whole-  
some like our grandmother's delicious bread.

GROCERS SELL THEM.

PREPARED BY THE  
Price Baking Powder Co.,  
Manuf'tg. of Dr. Price's Special Flavoring Extracts,

Chicago, Ill. St. Louis, Mo.

SWEET GUM & MULLEIN

The sweet gum taken from the tree of the  
Southern woods, which has the power to  
lessen the phlegm, and cuts the false membrane  
from the lungs, curing Consumption, curing  
Cough, &c., & the Croupy Cough. This combined  
with the mullein, which is a well-known  
remedy for Consumption, & the Croupy Cough, &  
the Mullein Plant of the old said, presents in Taylor's Chero-  
kee Remedy for Consumption, & the Croupy Cough, &  
the Mullein Plant of the old said, presents in Taylor's Chero-  
kee Remedy for Consumption, & the Croupy Cough, &

WALTER A. TAYLOR, Atlanta, Ga.

"STANDARD"  
FARM  
FEED MILL

MANUFACTURED BY  
R. L. ORR & CO.,  
LIMITED,

PITTSBURGH, PA.

Improved 1884.

Price Reduced.

Sold for Circular.

BROWNSVILLE  
Female College

BROWNSVILLE, TENNESSEE.

A thorough school for Girls. Thirty-third session

opened Sept. 16. An extensive Literary course, Ancient

and Modern History, English, French, German, Latin, Greek, Music,

Art, Was. Work, Dress Making, Cooking, etc.

Education healthy and accessible. Social influence refining

Character, Religious advantages superior; Government

restrains the vice of the world.

Unsectarian. General

reputation for the best of the St. Louis Bee

Canning Company.

Dr. Mayle's Lemon Elixir, prepared at drug

store, 116 Whitehall street, Atlanta, Ga.

It cures all diseases, consumption, constipation, indigestion, headache, rheumatism, & every disease

unparalleled in the South. Every member of the faculty

is educated and proved capable by actual success

in teaching. We have a large number of students

entering your daughter - - - - -

Address, R. L. ORR, President of Trustees.

R. L. ORR, President of Trustees.

S. F. THOMAS, Secretary.

## KENDALL'S SPAVIN CURE.

SAN FRANCISCO, CAL., /  
January 16th, 1882.

Mrs. J. B. Kendall & Co., Gents:

—Through the recommendation of a friend about a year ago, I was induced to give your Kendall's Spavin Cure a trial, and I am pleased to say that I was fully satisfied with the results. I used it in several instances upon splints, which after a few applications were entirely removed. I also used it on a, avin with the same results. The medicine has grown in popularity in this vicinity in the past few months, and what is sold here today I believe is put out upon its merits.

ALEX. MCCORD  
Foreman City R. I. Co.

In experimenting with cotton seed meal at the Mississippi Agricultural College a steer fed for beef, gained 200 pounds in fifty six days, or an average of about four pounds per day, consisting of about fourteen and one-half lbs. pounds of seed and eleven pounds of hay and straw.

The cost of feed per day was not quite 10 cents, or about \$5.50 for 200 pounds of beef.

What we are afraid to do before men we should be afraid to think before God.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Effects radical cures of all maladies arising

from the taint of Scrofulous or Con-

tagious Diseases, or the corruption of

Mercury in the system.

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Is endorsed by the medical profession and regularly prescribed by many leading practitioners.

—

The attestations of a myriad of unimpeachable witnesses might be cited, were it necessary, to prove the almost miraculous cures effected by this only really Blood Purifying Medicine.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Has been for many years recognized as

the best Alterative and Tonic Medicine in all civilized countries.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Promptly relieves from General Debility,

Nervous Prostration, and Derangement of

the Vital Organs.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many

falsely called Alteratives foisted upon the credulity of the public.

—

AYER'S SARSAPARILLA

Contains no Arsenic and Aloes, as do many



