



have often the poorest sort of success in getting the meaning of it. Still, the church preserves his tranquillity. And he ends with inviting Philip to a seat in the chariot beside him. And I think we all shall agree that this busy deacon never rode in such state before.

Now, before we attempt to go on any further with this story, I want you to pick out a few exceedingly interesting hints offered as really helpful suggestions in the doing of our duty.

See those two persons together. Just look first at Philip. Remember that he had traveled down from a great woe popular city district, and was acting now as a sort of missionary for the foreign mission field. He was evangelizing Africa in a small but amazingly effective way. He had an African whom the Lord had put directly before him; and when Ethiopia stretched forth her hands, Philip stretched forth his hands also in the best way he knew how. Hence we see it is wrong to be fastidious about opportunities. Wherever souls are, there is the place for us to go and try to save them, as the Holy Spirit seems to suggest.

Nor is this all: another lesson follows right on from that. This bears on our persistent fidelity: we are never to despise the day of small things. Philip had an audience that day of only one person; and that was just what Jesus had at the well in Sychar. And Philip's audience consisted of a large strange black man in a desert. But this deacon did his duty, and went straight ahead as he was told; and his whole congregation was converted before he left it in the afternoon.

Keep this entire thought in mind, for it leads to another lesson. Consider the measureless worth of a single chance of telling a fellow-being about Jesus Christ. You note here that the record says Philip "ran" when he started toward the wagon. See what pre-eminent activity in obedience! But you mark that it was now or never with the church. Philip had not met this man before; there is no assurance that he should ever meet him again. A moment lost might have lost a soul for a vast eternity.

Now look, finally, at the church: Philip's conduct was instructive, but that of this African treasurer was not less so. When the abrupt stranger asked him if he understood the chapter in Isaiah he was trying to read, he was not at all angry. Perhaps it may occur as a question to some one how Philip happened to know what he was doing. In Eastern schools the teachers are accustomed to tell orally to their pupils what they desire them to learn; and the scholars repeat it over and over audibly in a sort of concert exercise after them. Thus even the best educated people get in the habit of reading out aloud. In such silent solitudes of the desert the voice of a human being goes a great way, and seems quite distinct; the likelihood is that Philip heard the man long before he came up to him.

Hence, the first we notice in the behaviour of this Abyssinian noble is his high-toned and unmistakable politeness. A churl would have told this stranger to move on and attend to his own concerns. But this colored man had some fine instincts, which always evidence good breeding, or long association with excellent society. Courtesy is never lost on anybody in this uneasy and somewhat rough world. This Ethiopian gentleman is to be imitated by those whom Christians try so often to benefit.

Then, next to this, you notice his humility. He wanted help, and he acknowledged it; such religious matters were quite too much for his management. It may be he had had some experience with interpreters before in his own land. There was then a class of wandering expositors in Jewish synagogues; wherever they had any converts to be instructed, the rich sometimes employed and paid them. It is evident from the first glance that the primo minister of Candace had no spurious pride or prudishness under confession of real ignorance. And once we remember it was a primo minister of England who said: "To be conscious that you are ignorant is a great step to knowledge." It is never a shame for one not to know; it is only a shame not to learn.

Now let us notice, as we end our study, that the story before us closes with a fresh assertion concerning the presence and working of the Holy Ghost. "And when they were come up out of the water, the Spirit of the Lord caught away Philip, and the church saw him no more; and he went on his own way rejoicing." Philip was sent by the Spirit, guided by the Spirit, and withdrawn by the Spirit; and our lesson is this: whoever desires to do good must find out where the Spirit of God is leading him or leading others, and then must simply and humbly follow on.

And let us be sure that, in this vast desert of a world, no inquirer shall be misled, to whom we might give help; watch even chance chariots passing by, in the hope to save souls.

CORRECTIONS OF MISREPRESENTATIONS OF ME BY ELDER JOSE HARRAL ON THE COMMUNION QUESTION.

BY ELDER R. J. COLEMAN, CAROT, ARK.

9. He represents me as saying that I had exploded the 5th chapter I Cor. Now Bro. H. knows better than that. He knows, as every man of common sense must know, that I meant the *proof* he had drawn from that chapter. Now such a course might be excusable in a political propagandist, but never in a religious controversialist, especially a Baptist. This negro and bomb were introduced simply to divert the attention of the reader from the force of my argument, which is disgusting.

10. In his fourth reply he charges me with nearly swearing by Bro. Pendleton, and contradicting him, further claiming that there were two ways to enter a Baptist church. 1st. I say I fully endorse all that Bro. P. said in that connection on the subject of one entrance into the church, and showed that fellowship was that "one way," and that it required baptism to secure fellowship. 2nd. But according to Bro. H.'s position there were two ways. All of that was plain enough in what I said, but Bro. H. had to "dist" the eyes of the readers to keep them from seeing the force of my argument. In the same connection he says I charge him with abusing Bro. P. Now Bro. H. can't find the word abuse in my writing. Then what can one think of a man of his standing making such reckless statements simply to carry his point?

11. Bro. H. says he quoted the *whole law* governing the Passover, in his article. I deny it, and refer the reader to January 6th, 1883. And there you will find his quotation, together with his argument, and he positively says that that law required each family to eat the lamb to itself, refers to Ex. 12th chap., 3rd verse, and after he has made his argument denying the provisions for two families, at the end of his article he says: "Here is the law to which they refer," (Dr. Gambrell and others) just as though it was not a part of the very same law, one is the 3rd and the other is the 4th verse. So the reader can see that my charge against him was true, that he denied the provision in the law for two families to eat together. It is true the law does not say they shall eat together, but "take it together," which I understand to be the same thing, because there is no provision for a division of it, but a positive prohibition to break a bone of it, which forbids a division. I called upon Bro. H. to tell how he could divide a lamb without breaking a bone, and he failed to tell, but said he had divided a deer into two parts to carry on his horse. He seemed to think that was sufficient, but I say, Bro. H., that will not do, you will have to tell how it is done before people who think for themselves can accept it. The subjects of Pedobaptist teaching may take bare statements about unreasonable things, but not Baptists who think for themselves. Webster says that break means to part, separate, divide. Bro. H. says that I am trying to make it appear that God had repeated his own law. I deny it. I simply tried to show that it was a whole law, that like all laws human and divine, there were parts of the grand whole, that's all. Further, Bro. H. lays great stress on eating the lamb in one house. I say that's right, that a lamb was not to be divided and carried into different houses. So that

only goes to prove that two families could and did eat the one lamb where each was too small to consume it.

12. Bro. H., in his fifth reply, endeavors to place me in a ridiculous light by representing me as believing that a member of one church is entitled to the rights and privileges of every other church. That is a false construction upon my position. I did not say it nor intimate such a thing. I here say once for all that Christ has a *universal family, organic, visible*, but the members of each church only have rights, especially such as voting in the church to which they belong; that the communion is a memorial institution, and that members of other churches cannot claim it as a right to commune with that particular church of which they are not members, but the church has the perfect right to invite, and then they have the perfect right to accept, and neither violate any law of Christ. I illustrated this thing fully in my previous writing, but there was a part of my manuscript that never saw daylight. I wrote to Bro. Graves about it, and he stated to me that he did not read any of it but turned the whole thing over to Bro. H. So I do not know what became of it, but do know that some six or seven pages slipped through some one's fingers. I suppose that was easier done than to answer the arguments.

Next, Bro. H. endeavors to represent me as holding to the idea of some writer who has pictured out a universal, invisible church, with an invisible administrator, an invisible candidate or subject, an invisible ordinance. First let me say I never yet have seen, much more read, such a production until I read it from Bro. H.'s hand. Such an idea never had a place in my mind, nor will a just construction of my language admit of such a conclusion. All of this was doubtless done to place me in a ridiculous light to break down intercommunion. He then represents me as saying I will stand by the Bible provided it supports intercommunion, but if not let the Bible slide, but I will stand by intercommunion. Now Bro. H., I am sure that all of your friends are ashamed of you for such talk, you not so other man has any higher appreciation of the Bible, God's Word, than I have, which I think my history will fully prove. Therefore, I cannot let you, or any other man, with all the D.D.s and L.L.D.s that can be affixed to their names, drives me into some new theory which they have totally failed to sustain by the Scriptures. No, my brother, your torturing of the Scriptures, and my positions and language, together with your ridicule and sarcasm will not make me leave the Bible teaching. With regard to the universal church of Christ, I will discuss that question with any one. Bro. Graves says that was the weak part of my writing. If he will open up his columns to me I will further expose my weakness.

13. In Bro. H.'s reply to my 7th, which ought to have been the 6th, he makes a great blow over what I said about the evidence of immersion in the New Testament. I hope the reader will turn to April 26th, 1884, of THE BAPTIST, and read what I said, first page. I am ready to admit that there is no positive evidence in the New Testament that there were disciples at Troas. Neither is there any positive evidence in the New Testament that anyone ever was immersed. And yet we as Baptists fully believe that all the baptisms mentioned in the New Testament were so many immersions. The reader can see very clearly that I placed both upon the same hypothesis, i. e., one was as plain as the other.

Again, in the second column near the top, I say: Are not the inferences which I have drawn as good proof of there being a church at Troas as the inferences that persons were immersed, taking the Scriptures for both. Now I ask any man or woman of good judgment, where is any room in what I have said for Bro. H.'s to make the great blow that he has, to try to make it appear that I denied immersion being taught in the New Testament, while I said there was no positive evidence, but we as Baptists believe that all the baptisms recorded in the New Testament were so many immersions. Why did not Bro. H.

meet my argument, if he could, and if not, admit it, and not fly off to make capital of something in an unfair way, in order to divert the mind of the reader from the force of my argument? But again, in the 3d column the same thing is called up. "Here it is: Why does Bro. H. ask for a plain statement in the New Testament for intercommunion, when he, or they, know there is no such statement? Bro. H. demand reminds one of the Pedobaptist demand for a single positive statement in the New Testament of immersion, when every scholar among them is bound to admit that the baptisms of the New Testament, or at least a part of those recorded, prove immersion, because the circumstance connected go to prove it; so I claim for the intercommunion at Troas. Now I want to ask the reader if there is any ground in all this for Bro. H.'s great blow about my repudiating immersion. He dies off to baptizo, which all informed persons know is a Greek word, and not in the English Testament, while I was writing from the English. All of Bro. H.'s efforts to place me in a false light before the public will recoil on his own head sooner or later. I must say in conclusion, that while I have met in public debate a strong Campbellite four days, a tricky Cumberland seven days, and two Methodists against me three days, yet none of them could equal Bro. H. for torturing and perverting language, and making false deductions.

ELDER COLEMAN'S CHARGES ANSWERED BY DR. JOSE HARRAL, EPHORA, MISS.

I had said, and I now say, that members of Baptist churches are forbidden to sit down at the Lord's table with known immoral persons, and under the practice of intercommunion they could not avoid it, and I offered this as proof of my statement: "But now I have written unto you not to keep company, if any man that is called a brother be a fornicator, or covetous, or an idolater, or a railer, or a drunkard, or an extortioner; with such an one, no not to eat." (1 Cor. v: 11.) Now, if he exploded that proof, did he not explode that part of the chapter? Where is the difference? I cannot tell what he means, except by what he says, and that is what he said. But allow that he meant the proofs, and there is no difference whatever, for the proofs drawn from that chapter were all like the one mentioned, and he was exploded himself, and there is the trouble. I did not destroy his intercommunion house; Paul did it with his bomb No. 5, and he should not blame me for his being houseless. A little boy rolled and tossed in his bed, trying in vain to sleep, and finding it impossible, he grew nervous, began to cry, and crept into his mother's bed, saying, "Mamma, I can sleep here, I know I can; I have done slept my bed all up, and I can't find any sleep there." Bro. Coleman has slept his intercommunion bed all up, and he has become disgusted with it, and is nervous and excited, but if he will come into Paul's church-communion bed, he will sleep quietly, and have no tormenting dreams of negroes and bombs to disturb him. Come along, Bro. Coleman.

10. By reference to THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST of April 5, 1884, the reader can see that Bro. Coleman claims that there are two ways of entrance into a church of Christ, and in that he contradicts Bro. Pendleton, and makes an argument to prove that there are two ways of entrance, while I contradicted his position, and insisted, as does Dr. Pendleton, that there is but one way of entrance, and here he comes and says that I say there are two ways of entrance into a Baptist church. Well, I never said any such thing in my life, and I so asserted in my fourth reply, to which he refers. When he attempts to make me, or Bro. Pendleton, either, responsible for what we never said, he abuses us, whether he uses the word "abuse" or not, and that he is trying to do with all his power, and he is so angry that the sun has gone down on his wrath, and yet he is not cool. There must be some cause for this, and what is it? Some writer, perhaps Josiah Billings, wrote of the bumble bee, and said "It was a very pretty little bird that flew

lazily, and with a humming sound, from flower to flower, gathering something that resembled honey, and was sweet to the mouth, but bitter in the stomach, and gave one a fine Grecian bend in a few minutes. That it was easy to catch it, and easy to handle it, but the trouble was, that the man who handled it could not be handled himself for a long time afterwards, but jumped about as if he had a piece of hot iron in his hand and could not let it go. Now, Bro. Coleman's intercommunion bumble bee's honey has been sweet to his tongue, but bitter in his stomach, bending his moral outline in true Grecian style, and in handling his bee he became excited and talked like a man who is obliged to be in a hurry, and keep moving about, and says some things not lawful to speak. But I hope he will be quiet after the pain inflicted by his bee subsides.

11. In his article No. 4, TENNESSEE BAPTIST, April 5, 1884, he charges me with suppressing a part of the law of the Passover, and says: "Now I ask why did not Bro. Harrah read the fourth verse?" In my reply I said: "Bro. Coleman tries to make it appear that I did not quote the fourth verse in the article in the TENNESSEE BAPTIST to which he refers. In fact he says I did not, for I 'stopped too soon,' and yet there it is in that article just as I quoted it here: 'And if the household be too little for the lamb, let him and his neighbor (not neighbors) next unto his house take it according to the number of the souls.'" Bro. Coleman saw all that, and yet here he is making the same charge, and at the same time saying that I have misrepresented him. If Bro. Coleman is all that he seems to imagine that he is, he is the phenomenon of this age. How a failure to quote the fourth verse of the twelfth chapter of Exodus, if I had so failed, is misrepresentation of him, is more than I can tell, unless he imagines that he is the author of the Bible. That intercommunion honey is a terrible thing to disorder one's imagination. The first time Bro. C. goes to Memphis let him go to any butcher's shop and the butcher will take his knife and divide a lamb for him without breaking a bone. Bro. C. has seen human skeletons that had been divided and put together again without breaking a bone in them, no doubt, and if that can be done with a human body, to say it cannot be done with a lamb is to be obstinate for a purpose. Bro. C. has discussed the Passover to the best of his ability, and failed signally, even in the judgment of his best friends, and if he is not satisfied, let him select a man who can do better; and my heart is over-flowing with anxiety to meet him. Rip Van Winkle, after his sleep of twenty years, finding himself alone, his dog gone, his gun rusted and rattled, and remembering how his brain became confused by drinking from a flagon, he cried out in his distress: "O that flagon! O that flagon!" so, Bro. Coleman, having partaken too freely of the intercommunion honey, fell into a stupor and became confused, and waking up, as did old Rip, to find himself almost alone, his brethren having gone after the Word of the Lord, if he is not utterly incurable, I expect soon to hear of his pouring out his grief as he hastens after them, "O that honey! O that honey!"

12. I have done what I could to prevent my good brother from putting himself in a "ridiculous light," but it seems that I cannot. If Christ has one "visible, organized, universal" church, or "family," every member has equal rights in it, for no one ever heard of an organization that gives rights to some of its members and deprived the other members of them; but this is the ridiculous attitude my brother now puts himself in, and then says I have misrepresented him. If members of one Baptist church have no right to sit at the Lord's table in another church, how can they sit there scripturally? If one church has "the perfect right to invite" the members of another church, and that church has "the perfect right to accept" the invitation and commune there, you should show it in the New Testament, or quit saying there is any such right on either side. If they have "no right" to commune outside of their own church it is because Christ gave them none, and it is rebellious to say that the church can give what

Christ withheld. You say Baptists will not accept the bare statement of any man, and your bare statement that they have such rights is not sufficient; they are not supported by a word in the Bible, and therefore we reject your statement as unworthy of credit, you being judge. Your manuscript was as it left your hands, no doubt, for the pages were regular throughout without a mis, and your statement that I found it easier to destroy it than answer it, is so ridiculous that no one will believe it, I am sure; and in my judgment such pages exist only in Bro. Coleman's imagination. Now re-write your six or seven pages to prove that one Baptist church has the right to invite another Baptist church to commune with her, and the other has the right to accept the invitation, and THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST will publish them and I will answer them. I never saw your lost pages in my life, and I am incapable of such trickery. You do teach that there is an invisible church with all its invisible appendages, for you continually assert that there is one universal church, as in this very article, and No. 12, and yet no man can see it, and you cannot show it to them. It is invisible and cannot be seen. When a man says there is no evidence in the Bible that there was a church at Troas, and no evidence, nor example, nor precept for intercommunion in the New Testament, and still declares with emphasis that intercommunion between Baptist churches is right, and when the Scriptures are shown forbidding it, he says I will still intercommune, and will intercommune even if baptism has to be discredited and given up, I think I may safely say, that such a man will cling to his practice even if the Bible slides, and that is exactly what Bro. Coleman says and does.

13. Now, reader, lest it escape you, I will quote his words used here, and in his review of me, April 26, 1884, TENNESSEE BAPTIST: "I am ready to admit that there is no positive evidence in the New Testament that there were disciples at Troas; neither is there any positive evidence in the New Testament that any one ever was immersed." Have I misrepresented him? Intercommunion must stand, even if baptism has to be given up. He says there is no evidence that there were disciples at Troas but inference, and immersion stands on no better foundation. Again, "Why does Bro. Harrah ask for a plain statement for intercommunion in the New Testament when he or they know there is no such statement. Bro. Harrah's demand reminds one of the Pedobaptist demand for a single positive statement of immersion." If there is no positive evidence in the New Testament of immersion, I have been preaching a falsehood for twenty-five years; but there is evidence as positive as language can make it. I said he could not find a Baptist congregation in Arkansas who would accept such a heresy, and I am glad to know that he cannot find such an one, and that is the cause of my brother's madness. He never again can meet the "tricky Cumberland and the two ethiops" on that point, for they would sell him at a blow. Just imagine a great concourse of people to hear a discussion of the New Testament baptism by a Pedobaptist and Elder R. J. Coleman; Elder Coleman affirming that it was immersion, and see him rise, the pride of his people, the blaze of successful battle in his face, and armed, as they suppose, with proofs strong as Holy Writ can make them, and already anticipating an easy and grand victory over error, he opens his mouth and says: "I am ready to admit that there is no positive evidence in the New Testament that any one ever was immersed;" and then, if you can imagine that Baptist host mortified in the presence of their enemies, and amid their jeers and taunts made to furl their flag, stack their arms and surrender to an inferior foe, by their champion, just as the Australians at Ulm were made to surrender to Bonaparte by their traitor general, Mack, when they had not been beaten. O, brethren of Arkansas, this is not a fanciful picture, as you see, and may be practically true at any time. This is some of the bitter fruit of intercommunion, and yet we are told, if we eat of it we shall not die. I was not there when God divided the light from the darkness, when he separated the waters from the land and rolled them into their ocean beds, and spread abroad the plains and painted the flowers, and heaved the mountains, and gave the moon her beauty and lighted the brilliance of the stars, and kindled the fires of the sun, but I have positive evidence that God did all those things when his Word tells me so; when Matthew, and Mark, and Luke, and John, and Christ tell me that all the baptisms mentioned in the New Testament were immersions, I know, I have positive evidence that they were immersions, and until I come to believe that the Bible is not the Word of God I will cling to it in life and in death as the sheet-anchor of my hope.

NEWS LETTER.

PRO. GRAVES:—We have been honored with a visit from Dr. G. W. Jarman, the apostle of education in Tennessee. He is passing around informing the aspiring youth of the benefits to be derived from study in the Southwestern University. Some of our boys will doubtless attend the coming session. Before I knew Dr. Jarman and his co-laborers, I wondered at the popularity of this young University, and of its successful career financially and otherwise, but I no longer feel astonished. Men so gifted, thoroughly qualified, and devoted to the cause of education are sure to succeed.

Prof. L. T. M. Canada, who was elected adjunct professor of mathematics at the last meeting of the Trustees, has taught during the past year with marked approval near one of my churches. He showed not only that he was eminently prepared for his work, but that he was gifted in the art of teaching. One or two of his pupils will follow him to Jackson. He was my co-laborer in the Gospel. By invitation of the church he conducted services every Sunday night in my absence. He is very reticent, but eminently useful in church work. May success attend him in his professorship.

I have recently closed an interesting meeting with Eudora church, White Station. Prof. W. E. Hughes and family remained with us throughout the meeting. The singing was highly appreciated and did good. The congregation was larger than it had been before in twenty years. We do not know that there were any conversions, yet we have reason to believe that some will date their new life to this meeting. The membership seemed to be benefited. I baptized two into the fellowship of the church last Saturday, one a Methodist, and the other was converted at our meeting last year. Bro. L. T. Ray, returning from his charge at Senatobia, preached an excellent sermon. Dr. Johnston, of Collierville, gave us one able discourse during the meeting.

We have an excellent meeting in progress here. I am assisted by Bro. W. T. Lowrey. Will report fully when we close. J. D. ANDERSON, Germantown, Tenn.

PRO. GRAVES:—Seeing a communication in *THE BAPTIST* of August 2nd, copied from the *Baptist Record*, from the pen of J. B. Searey, is my apology for this communication. Bro. Searey says "we have quite a crop of marketable preachers which will be ready to ship upon short notice." Now will Bro. Searey answer the two following questions: First, What does he mean by "marketable?" Webster says "that which is fit for market." Does Bro. Searey mean that? Second, Will he give us the names of those "marketable" preachers in the *Evangelist*? Two years ago he advertised the ministers of the Pine Bluff Association as "a class of uneducated preachers." Now, Arkansas has a crop ripe and ready to ship to any market! Now I will offer the following advice to my good brother: When you write again dip your pen in ink, and not in gall, and govern yourself accordingly, and you will not offend those for whom Christ died. Yours truly one of the uneducated, unripe preachers, W. J. NEWELL.

A WORD FROM TEXAS.

PRO. GRAVES:—Thursday, the 4th inst., I left home to hold a meeting with old Friendship church, near Austin. I reached Dupree Friday in time to hear Bro. Walker, pastor at that place, preach. He was just closing a meeting of about two weeks, which resulted in the church being revived and several baptisms. After a tedious drive Friday evening, I reached the arbor for our meeting at a late hour, and found a congregation waiting. The meeting lasted ten days and resulted in fourteen accessions to the church; three by letter, one restored and ten by baptism. The seeds of Campbellism have been scattered in that community, but all the young converts said they wanted to follow Christ in baptism because they loved Him and not in order to be pardoned. Elder J.

D. Stringer and D. A. Porter did most of the preaching. The meeting closed Sunday evening at the river side, where a large and appreciative audience stood to witness the burial of a Christian lady in the watery grave. The full results of that meeting are certainly untold.

On Friday, the 18th inst., the San Antonio Association met with the Pleasant Hill church, Wilson county. The Association was called to order at 2:30 p. m., and after reading letters from the churches went into the election of officers, which resulted as follows: Bro. A. G. Martin, Moderator; Elder J. J. Morrow, Clerk; and Elder J. W. Peebles, Treasurer.

One new church petitioned for membership and was received. Preaching at night by Bro. Fouts. Met Saturday at 8:30 a. m. The roll of messengers was called. Bro. J. J. Moore read a report on Sunday schools, which was discussed at length and adopted. At 11 o'clock Elder J. M. Curry (known as Father Curry) preached the doctrinal sermon. He asked and ably answered three questions, viz: (1) What is the church? (2) When and by whom was it organized? (3) For what was it organized? [A capital subject.—Ed.]

In the evening the usual reports on Home and Foreign Missions, Ministerial Education, etc., were read, discussed and adopted. Sunday at 11 o'clock Elder W. C. Manning preached the missionary sermon and took up a collection of about \$200 for Associational Missions, after which the large congregation united in singing that good old song, "How firm a foundation," and the parting hand was extended. Thus closed a very pleasant and harmonious meeting of the San Antonio Association. E. L. FOUTS.

Prairie Lee, Tex., July 22nd, 1881.

Make our 50 cents offer known at every Association meeting.

A NEW CORRESPONDENT FROM MISSOURI.

PRO. GRAVES:—I am fully convinced that Bro. Ray is determined to act unfairly and unjustly with you in the discussion on the Communion question. If what you have said is not sufficient to convince him, he would not be persuaded though one arose from the dead. I took the *Flag*, and know that he garbled your articles and put you in a false light before his readers by making you say what you did not say.

Brother Ray visited our district association, and preached Sunday afternoon. His theme was the See Heres and the See Theres. He said during his sermon that you had gotten up a "See Here." He also said according to your position there was not a Baptist church upon the earth, because they had perverted the Supper by practicing intercommunion, and hence had become subverted and were but apostates. After the sermon I took him to one side and gave him a good talking to, and he had to confess he could not prove the above statements! He acknowledged that many of our brethren years ago taught intercommunion was unscriptural. If Bro. Ray is correct, that a church becomes subverted—an apostate—by perverting the supper, then Spurgeon is no Baptist, and the church to which he preaches is no Baptist church. But, again; the Second Church, St. Louis, Mo., a few years ago perverted the supper, and Bro. Ray said so at the time. Then down she went and became an apostate. But Bro. Ray moves from LaGrange to St. Louis, and takes his letter from the First Church, LaGrange, and joins the Second Church—an apostate—of St. Louis! But he says she had become sound again. What! *Bring a true church out of an apostate?* By his own position he left the Baptist and spent a few years with an apostate. Thus in his haste to condemn Bro. Graves he has condemned himself. But is it true that a church becomes subverted by perverting the Lord's Supper? I answer emphatically no. Paul in the 11th chapter of 1 Corinthians rebuked the Corinthians for perverting this very ordinance, and still he calls them "the church of God which is at Corinth." Paul, who wrote by inspiration, did not know that the church would become sub-

verted by perverting the supper, but Bro. Ray, who does not write by inspiration, has discovered this very important truth! Bro. Graves is the "reformer," but Bro. Ray is the discoverer. Discovered a truth never revealed to Christ and his Apostles!

Now, I verily believe Bro. Ray knows better. I have an old file of the *Flag*, and I want to notice a few things he taught of old.

In the Baptist Yunker Discussion, *Flag*, volume 5, number 16, Bro. Ray says: "According to the Bible, a local church is the only body authorized to transact church business. The church is to exclude the unworthy, Matt. xviii:15-18; 1 Cor. v:1-7. The church must restore, 2 Cor. ii:5-7."

Again, in the *Baptist* of May 12th, 1883, he says: "The Word of God most plainly declares that baptism (immersion) is essential to membership in a gospel church; and that the Lord's Supper is an ordinance *within* such a church, to be partaken of by her members. Now, if a man admits these propositions, logic compels him to infer that those who have not been baptized are not members of a gospel church, and that they are not proper persons (however pious they may be) to partake of the supper. But logic does not stop here. It compels us to act compatibly with these items of our faith, at the peril of our fidelity, integrity and self-respect. To admit the above truths, and then disregard them, is to demonstrate our contempt for divine authority? The church that holds the doctrine of 'close communion, as an inference from the teachings of inspiration, is as much bound to practice it, as if the Lord had said, *practice close communion.*'"

Now, notice: If Bro. Ray holds by "inference" that "a local church is the only body authorized to transact church business," and that "the Lord's Supper is strictly a church ordinance, to be observed in church capacity," and that it is "*within* such a church, to be partaken of by her members," then he is as much bound to practice it as if the Lord had said, "*practice strict church communion.*"

I would suggest that if the supper is "*within* such a church, to be partaken of by her members," then she "is as much bound" to keep it "*within*" and give it alone to her members as though the Lord had said, "*keep it within, and give it alone to your own members.*" And for a church to disregard the above truths, "is to demonstrate" its "contempt for divine authority!" And for Bro. Ray to admit the above truths, and then disregard them, is to demonstrate his "contempt for divine authority!"

Again Bro. Ray continues: "So long as a church holds this faith, she can swerve from it only as she betrays her convictions of duty." Then the church, which holds that "the Lord's Supper is strictly a church ordinance," swerves from her faith and betrays her convictions of duty whenever she practices other than strict church communion. Will not logic compel Bro. Ray to admit this? Bro. Ray continues: "Applied logic—which is but another name for honesty, integrity, or consistency—will necessitate a course of life in harmony with one's convictions of duty." Just so, just so. Honesty compels a church to celebrate the supper as "strictly a church ordinance" *within* such a church, and hence intercommunion is out of the question. Why cannot Bro. Ray apply his logic to the question in hand.

The supper is "strictly a church ordinance" *within* such a church, and why, Bro. Ray? Is it not because it was delivered as "strictly a church ordinance" and placed *within* such a church? And are we not commanded to keep the ordinances as they were delivered? Apply your logic—which is honesty—to the above and then decide if you can hold to intercommunion.

Bro. Ray knows that a church can consistently confine the supper to her members alone. In the *Flag* of Dec. 8, 1880, Bro. Ray says: "It is perfectly consistent to restrict the communion to those who have been baptized and are in church fellowship."

Why does Bro. Ray want to practice intercommunion when it is perfectly consistent to restrict

the communion to those who are in church fellowship?

Is it perfectly consistent to restrict the communion, and also perfectly consistent not to restrict it?

I asked Bro. Ray at our Association what objection he could possibly have to your position, namely, that the Lord's Supper was a church ordinance and could not be carried beyond the limits of discipline. And he confessed he had no objection to the position, but your arguments were heretical. Why is he not honest enough to make this statement to his readers? He is doing all within his power to break down church communion by striking at some of your heretical arguments!

J. A. GARNETT.

REMARKS.—We thank Bro. G. for his able communication. It grieves us to the heart to learn from so many sources that Bro. Ray will take occasion at Associations in Missouri, Arkansas and where else we know not, when brethren, and among them our friends, invite him to preach Christ and him crucified, to abuse the courtesy and assail and misrepresent us—an absent brother, when we have no chance to defend ourselves. It is not fraternal or Christian treatment of the brethren who invite or of the assailed brother. Why will he not consent to a fair, full and fraternal discussion of the question—and not bushwhack us in his paper or on public occasions? Let the reader note Bro. Ray's admissions!

50 CENTS UNTIL 1ST OF JANUARY.

We will send this paper until the 1st of January, 4 months, for 50 cents—ministers not subscribers 12 months for \$1.

PUBLISHERS.

A RIDE WITH THE DEACON.

NOT with Josiah Tatt, for a Sunday-school missionary could not "ride in the same cart" with the Farmersville croaker without "falling out."

My deacon's name we will call Smith [so as to keep this fuss in the family]; he had also been elected superintendent of the Sunday-school; he could talk well and pray well. The deacon met me at the station with his "one horse shay." We were to have a long ride over the prairie together; the only ears beside our own hearing our conversation were those belonging to "old Noddy," the horse who continually turned his "auricular appendages" toward us, seemingly very much interested in what we were saying. I venture the opening remark of our talk:

"So the elder has resigned."

"Yes," said the deacon, "we couldn't raise enough money for him."

"What's the trouble?"

"We're all poor on this prairie."

Just then we came to a farmer leaning over the fence. His question to the deacon was:

"What's wheat worth at the station to-day?"

"Dollar and a quarter for number two," answered the deacon.

After a few questions concerning their stock, corn, oats, etc., the easy-going horse was exhorted to "get up." Resuming our conversation, I asked:

"Have you a pretty good wheat crop this year, deacon?"

"Yes, a very fair crop, about twenty-five bushels to the acre."

I then carefully put the question: "You haven't used all your land for wheat, have you?"

"O, no, I put in sixty in wheat and the rest in oats and corn." This occurred after last year's harvest.

I again carefully inquired: "Are most of the members of your church farmers?" and quietly took my memorandum-book and pencil from my pocket.

"Yes, they are mostly farmers; there is Bro. C., who keeps the village store, and Bro. A., who owns the mill, and several others who are not farmers."

I jotted down the deacon's sixty acres of wheat, with twenty-five bushels to the acre, and soon

Associational and State Missions

THE PLAN OF CO-OPERATION.

1. Let each Association appoint an Executive Committee whose duty it shall be to induce the churches to take collections for State, Home, and Foreign Missions, Material Relief and Education. The chairman of these committees will consult into the Board of Collection for the State Convention.
2. Let the members of this Board of Collection report and remit, monthly if possible, to the Missionary Secretary of the Convention, the moneys collected for the various causes by the several churches in their Associations, stating plainly for which cause.
3. Let the Executive Committees of the Associations nominate for appointment by the State Board, a missionary for its bounds, who shall be the joint missionary of the Convention and the Association.

[We trust our Missionary Secretary and Missionaries, State and Foreign, will freely contribute to this Department this year.]

HOME MISSION BOARD SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION.
Maintains the work of the Gospel in destitute regions in Texas, Arkansas, Florida, City of New Orleans, the Indian Territory, among Chinese in California, and the great Southwest.

EDITOR BAPTIST:—Please announce that a mass meeting in the interest of Foreign Missions will be held at Brownsville, Tenn., Aug. 30, 31—5th Sunday. There are to be some unusually interesting features connected with this meeting. The first is that it will be a farewell meeting in honor of the departure of Rev. J. M. Joiner and Miss Mary M. Egger, who leave Brownsville for China the day after the close of the meeting. Some of the leading brethren of other States are to participate in this service. Another important thing is that it will be the opening of work in Big Hatchie this associational year.

Bro. Borum will be present and begin his work from this meeting. Brethren, let us start him off grandly.

It is also desired that every member of the Big Hatchie Executive Board attend to devise plans, etc., for the year's work. Those members who live at Brownsville desire the help of their brethren elsewhere in this important matter. Is not every member who consents to serve under obligations to attend the quarterly meetings?

No complete programme will be printed. All the brethren and sisters in Big Hatchie and throughout West Tennessee are invited to think and pray about Foreign Missions until the time comes and then come to the meeting. This will be programme enough. Do not fear the hospitality of Brownsville.

Brethren of Central Association, come down to see us and confer with us.

E. C. GATES,

A. W. LAMAR,

Committee.

NOTICE.—The above meeting of the Big Hatchie Association has been postponed until further notice. E. B. BAPTIST.

ASSOCIATIONS.	AMOUNT.
Beach River.....	75 00
Bendish.....	225 00
Big River.....	150 00
Big Hatchie.....	800 00
Central.....	00 00
Citron.....	225 00
Concord.....	600 00
Cumberland.....	500 00
Duck River.....	400 00
East Tennessee.....	300 00
Enon.....	225 00
Estimate.....	100 00
Hawkes.....	150 00
Hulton.....	300 00
Indian Creek (in part).....	100 00
Judson.....	100 00
Liberty (in part).....	50 00
Little River.....	200 00
Long Creek.....	50 00
Mount Harmony.....	175 00
Nashville Gap.....	250 00
Nashville.....	400 00
Northern.....	300 00
Oeneo.....	40 00
Salmon.....	50 00
Squandale Valley.....	175 00
Southern District.....	75 00
Stockton Valley (in part).....	200 00
Sweet Water.....	200 00
Tennessee.....	100 00
Union.....	350 00
Unity.....	200 00
Walanga.....	200 00
Western District.....	200 00
Western Union (in part).....	100 00
Walnut Grove.....	50 00
Providence.....	75 00
Johnson.....	50 00

Yours in hope,

J. M. SENTER,

Trenton, Tenn.

Vice-President

URLES BOSTON

The Tennessee Baptist.

THOU HAST GIVEN A HAND TO THEM THAT FEAR THEE THAT IT MAY REDUPLED READER OF THE TRUTH.—Ps.

GRAVES & MAHAFFY - Publishers

J. H. GRAVES, LL.D. Editor and Proprietor

REV. J. M. D. CATES. Associate Editor

REV. WM. P. BOND, LL.D. Stated Contributor

REV. J. F. FROST, D.D. Correspondent

REV. WM. NORTON, LL.D. Correspondent

REV. J. T. OAKLEY, Correspondent

JAM. S. MAHAFFY, Business Manager

Business Office: 335 Main Street, Memphis, Tenn.

SUBSCRIPTIONS PER ANNUM IN ADVANCE:

Single Copy.....\$1.00

Clubs of Three (sent at one time).....3.00

Clubs of Six (sent at one time).....6.00

TERMS FOR SIX MONTHS:

Single Copy.....\$1.25

Clubs of Three (sent at one time).....3.75

Clubs of Six (sent at one time).....7.50

ADVERTISING RATES:

One inch (12 lines of nonpareil) one insertion.....1.00

One inch, four insertions.....3.00

bitaries—when of patrons of this paper or members of

their families, seven lines gratis, all over seven lines, fifteen

cents per line. Eight words make a line. Parties sending

us obituaries, resolutions of respect, etc., will bear this in

mind and send cash with their copy.

Send money by Post-Office Order, Registered Letter, Express,

or draft, at our risk; otherwise at the sender's. If answer is

desired by mail, send stamp or postal card.

Distinguishing Principles of Baptists.

1. As Baptists, we are to stand for the supreme authority

of the word of God as the only and sufficient rule of faith

and practice. The Bible, and the Bible only, as opposed to

all human tradition in matters both of faith and practice.

we must claim as being a distinguishing doctrine of our de-

nomination—a doctrine for which we are called earnestly

to contend.

2. As Baptists, we are to stand for the ordinances of Christ

as he enjoined them upon his followers, the same in number,

in mode, in order, and in symbolic meaning, unchanged and

unchangeable till he come.

3. As Baptists, we are to stand for a spiritual and regener-

ated church, and that none shall be received into Christ's

church, or be welcomed to its ordinances, without confessing

a personal faith in Christ, and giving credible evidence of

regeneration of heart.

Church Polity.

The Baptists believe that a Christian church is a local con-

gregation, and complete in itself.

4. That, under Christ, each church is absolutely sovereign

and independent.

5. That to each church Christ committed the sole guardi-

anship and control of the ordinances—preaching the gospel

and administering baptism and the Lord's supper.

6. That all church rights and privileges, and the

Lord's supper, should be limited to the discipline of each

church.

7. That no semblance of ecclesiastical authority can be

exercised save by a local church.

8. That each local church alone is invested with all eccle-

siastical power—power to elect and commission and depose

a own officers—power to receive, discipline and exclude a

own members.

Distinguishing Policy of Historical Baptists.

The non-recognition of human societies as Scriptural

churches by affiliation, ministerial or ecclesiastical, or any

alliance or co-operation that is susceptible of being ap-

parently or logically construed as the members or heirs of

the world into a recognition of ecclesiastical or ministerial

equality with Baptist churches.

Silence is the most efficient Accomplice of Error.

A NAMELESS paper that misrepresents the sen-

timents of North Carolina Baptists, with re-

spect to Tennessee, at least, after a tirade of abuse

asserts that the whole State of Tennessee is in a

missionary State, i. e., "in a state of heathenish

darkness.

It is useless to reason with an editor who can so

scholars than Kentucky, 2428 more schools and 155,136 more scholars than South Carolina, 51,663 scholars in her Sunday-schools and 984 more teachers than the State of North Carolina, and if these facts are evidences of heathenism, what is the state of Virginia, Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina, and even of North Carolina, whence comes the unfounded and unfounded charge? According to her membership and age the Baptists of Tennessee are far ahead of the Baptists of North Carolina in educational enterprises—male and female institutions of high character, and ministerial education—as the State is ahead of her in the Sunday-school work.

THE LORD'S SUPPER

The following we take from the *Tennessee Baptist*. It is so calm and conservative that it can be read by every Baptist who is a worthy representative of Baptist principles. It is an article that should be commended to the notice of those who are prejudiced and disinclined to look the question fairly in the face. *THE BAPTIST*.

MUCH has been written for your columns upon this subject, especially by those who favor mixed communion. The opposite view, however, obtains much more largely than is generally supposed.

I do not wish to enter upon a discussion of the subject, but I think an interchange of views upon this, as upon all other subjects, is profitable.

Baptists, generally, regard the Supper as a church ordinance. Ripley says, "the word church designates a particular assembly of believers in Christ associated together as his disciples; that is, in ordinary phrase, some particular church, as for instance, the church in Ephesus or in Corinth."

This is, in substance, the usual definition given of a church. Now if the communion of the Lord's Supper is a church ordinance, it must be confined to the local church or assembly, or we must admit that there is a concrete body composing the church, and to this, and no the local body belongs the Supper. If so, can they be said to be associated together? Did Paul deliver this ordinance to the church at Corinth to be observed, as a church, when he said "keep the ordinance as I delivered them to you," having special reference to the Supper? Or did he deliver it to that church intending that it should be administered indiscriminately to members of other churches? If so, does not this rather prove it to be an ordinance of the kingdom and not of the church?

If the churches all over the land were to restrict the Lord's Supper to their own membership, it would exhibit a unity of oneness of faith more fully than if occasional intercommunion were practiced. That the practice is only occasional, all will admit, for it is the exception that so many are contending for, and not the general rule.

Church privileges and duties are co-extensive. I do not know of a single exception. If it is one's privilege to commune with a church where he has no membership it is at the same time his duty. It follows then that it is the duty of the church to extend the elements to him, and ceases to be a privilege or courtesy upon her part.

The advocacy of restricted, or local communion, as some are pleased to call it, leads to one of the real issues between Baptists and other denominations, i. e., independence of the churches.

Pedobaptists, in discussing the communion question with us, always place us upon a footing with their hierarchies, and do not recognize our entire independence as local churches.

No man can successfully defend mixed communion and church independence at the same time. If he does, he must show how a church can carry a church ordinance beyond her own membership, a thing difficult to conceive.

There is so much Roman Catholicism and priest-hood mixed up with other denominations, and the world, that it requires "eternal vigilance" to keep the haven out of Baptist churches. The practice of local communion cuts off the camel's head and keeps the beast of episcopacy from intruding its hideous form into our midst. It will then not be so difficult to show that the ordinances are hands

of the churches, and not the ministry, a distinction not clearly seen, we are sorry to say, by all of our presbyters. These questions need to be agitated, so that the influx of our membership may be fully posted upon the great question of church independence.

Inter-communion will have to change, base. They have been able to establish restricted communion heretofore by showing, from our own and other authors, that the Lord's Supper is a church ordinance. When this position is sustained, local communion is sustained, it being conceded that a church is a local assembly of baptized believers, congregated together, etc.

We might rest the question here by reproducing the old but ever ready argument against infant baptism, which so many good brethren have used. Show us a clear precept or example in the Bible for inter-communion, and we will yield the question. Until then, they will please excuse us for advocating it as a church ordinance.

J. A. SHACKELFORD.

Whitney, Oct. 20, 1880.

EDIGRAMS.

Bro. E. L. Wesson: Your trouble is only imaginary. The 1st and 2nd Epistles to the Corinthians were specifically addressed to the local church at Corinth. They are not general epistles to all Christians. (See verse 2.) They were indeed "called to be saints with all that in every place call upon the name of Jesus Christ our Lord." The reading of the two Epistles will convince you of this. We are in receipt of an eight-page letter from Rev. C. K. Imbrie, D.D., pastor of the Presbyterian church, Jersey City, in commendation of our work on the "Seven Dispensations," and the points of difference between us, influenced largely from our denominational standpoints. We may publish it at an early day with comments. It is an elegant and able document. Though a Presbyterian he does not believe that baptism comes in room of circumcision, the latter being a purely Jewish, and the former a Christian rite. Bro. Brown, of Cleburne, Texas, appears in an article in the *Tennessee Baptist* against church communion, and as that paper is now open, will not some brother near from Cleburne examine Bro. B.'s position? Thousands in Texas wish to see it fairly and fully discussed.—*J. A. S., Texas*. We hope some brother in Cleburne will consent to do this, and gratify his brethren. Bro. Brown believes that the Lord's Supper is a church ordinance and that it is a symbol of church fellowship, and this inevitably confines its administration to each particular church, as such. Bro. B. cannot express church fellowship for any one who is not a member of his own church. He cannot express church fellowship for us, for we are not a fellow member with him of the same church, and this ends the whole matter.—

"What has become of that \$12.40 Bro. Gambrell got out of you? Has the Board of the State Convention received it on the terms he offered it to your State Board? There are Baptists not a few in Mississippi who wish to know."—*M. P. D.* We cannot give you any light upon the subject. It should burn like live coals in his pockets.

"BODILY EXERCISE."—1 TIMOTHY IV: 8. THERE has been a diversity of opinion in relation to the import of this expression. Some suppose that the reference is to bodily mortifications inflicted from religious motives. To these the Jews were much given. Indeed, such macerations appear to have been preached in all ages of the world by false religionists. They are practiced to a fearful extent by the heathen and to a great degree by Romanists, especially in papal countries. This interpretation may be supposed to agree well with the foregoing context in which the apostle is evidently speaking of the great apostasy. See vs. 1-4. The language would seem aptly to apply to the penances and mortifications enjoined by the Romish church and all other teachers of a legal religion. If this be the meaning we must understand "the old wives' fables" mentioned in the preceding verse to be the teaching of those who prescribed such bodily afflictions.

The fact, however, that this "bodily exercise" is declared by the apostle to be "profitable" (*aphelimos*) even "for a little," would seem to be wholly irreconcilable with the idea that he referred to the matters of which he had been speaking. The apostle would not have used this language in reference to anything which he would speak of as "old wives' fables" and which he would warn Timothy to "refuse." The inconsistency here is indeed so apparent that our translators felt constrained to insert the word "rather" before the word "godliness," a supplement for which there is not the least authority or foundation, and which therefore is very properly omitted by the revisionists. It is making altogether too free with the language of the apostle to represent him as exhorting Timothy to exercise himself to godliness rather than something else, giving thereby at least a quasi approval of that something.

Is it not much better to understand the apostle as intending simply to exhort Timothy to apply all the energies of his mind to the duties of religion when he says, "and exercise thyself unto godliness?" He would remind him that it was not enough for him to "refuse" those things against which he had warned him but he must devote all the powers of his soul to godliness. In giving the exhortation to exercise himself he uses a word which could not fail to bring before his own mind and the mind of Timothy the Isthmian games—games which were at that time extensively practiced and in preparation for which and in the actual execution of which there was the most devoted, self-denying and persevering exercise of all the powers of the body. The word to which I refer is *gymnaze*—a word from which we have our English word *gymnast*. The verb is used in the seventh verse and the apostle uses the noun *gymnasia* in the very next verse. How easy and natural is the supposition that having alluded to these games which were then so common he would institute a comparison between the advantage attending the "exercise" (*gymnasia*) which they called for and that which attended the consecration of the soul to God, the one "profitable for a little," (R. V.) the other "profitable for all things, having promise of the life which now is, and of that which is to come," (R. V.).

How strikingly does the passage thus viewed agree with 1 Cor. ix. 21-27, in which the apostle says: "they," that is, the runners in the Isthmian games, "do it to obtain a corruptible crown, but we an incorruptible." Here we have on the one side "the little" and "the promise of this life," or that which pertains exclusively to this life, and on the other side "the promise of the life to come" in addition to "the promise of the life that now is." We find the same apostle in his second epistle to this young servant of Christ, chap. iv. 7, recurring to the fact that he had been engaged in this blessed *gymnasia*. He there says, "I have fought the good fight, I have finished my course, I have kept the faith: henceforth there is laid up for me the crown of righteousness, which the Lord the righteous Judge will give to me at that day." The very term which he employs to indicate "the crown" that he was to receive involves an allusion to those games. This is *stephanos*—a garland of ivy or, as Meyer thinks of parseley—a corruptible crown indeed!

My attention was turned to this exhortation of the apostle to his dear son Timothy in reading a few hours ago the first epistle of Ignatius to Polycarp in which he says: "Be vigilant as God's athlete. The meed is incorruptibility and life eternal, of which things thou also art persuaded. In every thing I pledge for thy soul myself and my bonds, which thou hast loved. Let not these confound thee who, appearing worthy of truth, teach strange doctrines. Stand in the truth like an anvil which is struck, for it becomes a great athlete to be struck and to conquer."

What a deep impression should the study of this passage make upon the hearts of Christians and especially of Christian ministers! Ah! what a rebuke does it administer to those who are making it an object to have as easy a time as possible! May

God in his infinite mercy preserve the writer and the reader from a delusion so awful!—*Dr. Cooper, in "The Truth."*

STILL IMPROVING.

Dr. Graves is still improving slowly, and the symptoms are altogether favorable. We deem it unnecessary to say more this week.

50 CENTS UNTIL 1ST OF JANUARY.

We will send this paper until the 1st of January, 4 months, for 50 cents—ministers not subscribers 12 months for \$1.

PUBLISHERS.

ABUNDANT GIVING.

The sun gives ever, so the earth;
What it can give, so much 'tis worth,
The ocean gives in many ways;
Gives paths; gives rivers, fishes, bays;
So, too, the air, it gives us breath;
When it stops giving comes in death.
Give, give, be always giving.
Who gives not is not living;
The more we give the more we live.

God's love, though in our wealth unheaped,
Only by giving it is reaped;
The body withers, and the mind,
If pent in by a selfish rind.
Give thought, give strength, give deeds, give self.
Give love, give tears, and give thyself.
Give, give be always giving;
Who gives not is not living;
The more we give the more we live.

SAMPLE PAPERS.

We will send THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST until 1st of January, 4 months, to all non-subscribers, for 50 cents. We want them to "sample it." Ministers not subscribers 12 months for \$1.

PUBLISHERS.

WESTERN DISTRICT ASSOCIATION.

The Western District Association convenes at Ralston Station this year, beginning on Friday before the first Sabbath in October. Ralston is on the N. & N. W. R. R., five miles west of Dresden, the county seat, and three miles of Martin.

The church while in conference on yesterday, appointed a Committee of Arrangements. The said committee take pleasure while acting for the church in cordially inviting all who are friendly to Baptist doctrine, and all others who see proper to give us their presence at that time. Especially do we want plenty of able ministers. Brethren, please come to our assistance, we are but a handful in number and need all the assistance we can get from our brethren.

C. C. ADAMS,
Chrm. Com. on Arr'mts.

CENTRAL ASSOCIATION.

DIO. GRAVES:—Please give the following a place in your columns:

The Central Association will meet with the church in Bell's Depot, Tenn., on Saturday before the third Sunday in September, 1881, being the 20th day of said month. Introductory sermon by Elder A. J. Hall. W. G. INMAN, Moderator.

H. C. INNY, Clerk.

The following committee of reception has been appointed by the Bell's Depot church:

L. W. Daniels, Chairman, M. V. Bottis, W. N. Turner, Mrs. S. Y. Haynes, Mrs. M. Weddle and S. C. Winbon.

Ample accommodation will be provided for persons attending the Association. Those arriving by rail will be met by the committee and assigned homes by the Committee on Reception. Brother Graves, be sure to come to the Association.

Yours in Hope,

S. C. WINBON.

Bell's Depot, Tenn., Aug. 12th, 1881.

Our young ministers, C. Owen and Mahon, will canvass Tipton and Lauderdale counties for the "New Great Iron Wheel" and "The Seven Dispensations" and this paper, and we trust our brethren will liberally patronize them, and thus assist them to clothe and book themselves at Jackson next season. Help them to place this paper in every family they visit, and you will help us and them and bless your family.

MEETING OF TENNESSEE ASSOCIATION.

Beulah, Oak Grove church, 8 miles South of Martin, Saturday before the 1st Sunday in September.

Unity, Adamsville, Saturday before the 2nd Sabbath in September.

Central, Bell Station, Saturday before the 3rd Sunday in September.

Western District, Ralston, Friday before the 1st Sabbath in October.

Southwestern District, Landis Ridge, Decatur county, Saturday before the 2nd Sabbath in October.

Beech River, New Fellowship church, Hardin county, Sept. 27th.

Big Knory, Bethel church, at Ruddy, C. S. R. R., Sept. 4th.

Clinton, Coal Creek church, Anderson county, Sept. 25th.

Cumberland, Harmony church, five miles from Adam's Station on the N. W. R. R., Aug. 14th.

Duck River, Pleasant Hill church, three miles from Lewisburg, Aug. 22.

East Tennessee, Hiwassee Union, Sept. 18th.

East Tennessee, French Broad church, Cocke county, Sept. 25th.

Enon, Liberty church, Macon county, Sept. 11th.

Hiwassee, Print Springs, two and one-half miles from Rhea Springs, Aug. 21st.

Houston, Johnson City, Sept. 7th.

Indian Creek, Rock Springs church, Giles county, Sept. 27th.

Johnson, Mine Lick church, Putnam county, Oct. 16th.

Judson, New hope church, Hickman county, Sept. 6th.

Little River, Island Home church, Knox county, Aug. 7th.

Mount Harmony, Mt. Lebanon church, five miles from Maryville, Sept. 5th.

Mulberry Gap, Liberty church, Claiborne county, Sept. 5th.

Nolachucky, Big Spring church, Aug. 15th.

Northern, Cedar Ford church, Union county, Sept. 16th.

Oceola, Philippi church, near Cleveland, Oct. 2.

Providence, Mt. Pleasant church, Oct. 2.

Salem, Buena Vista church, Sept. 19.

Sweetwater, Prospect church, Loudon county, Aug. 14th.

Tennessee, Third Creek church, Oct. 2d.

Union, Macedonia church, Smith county, Sept. 27th.

Walnut Grove, Union Grove, McMinn county, Oct. 3d.

Watanga, Taylorsville church, Johnson county, Sept. 11th.

Western District, Ralston church, Weakly county, Oct. 3d.

THE DETECTIVE.

Under this head we shall insert, and keep standing for the benefit of the denomination, the names of every Baptist and excluded Baptist preacher known to us, as reference to prove his guilt.

BAPTIST TAKE NOTICE.

J. C. PETERSON, a small, dark complexioned man, formerly a Baptist minister, has been excluded from Bethesda church, Little River county, Ark., for gross unchristian conduct. He still holds his credentials, having refused to give them to the church when demanded. Baptists of other States take notice.

T. M. HAZLEWOOD.—Reference, Ben. Moltryan, Church Clerk, Ashville, Ala.

EDWARD HARRIS.—Reference, J. W. Wann, Ch. Clerk Carrollton church, Ark.

ELD. ROBT. TOMLIN.—Reference, Eld. A. J. Paddy, Hemphill, Texas.

REV. A. G. JONES.—Ains George Jones.—Reference, *Biblical Recorder*, Raleigh, N. C.

T. M. GALLAND.—Reference, W. B. Crumpton, Shiloh's Mill, Ala.

J. C. LOP, Ark.—Reference Eld. A. Immax, Haslehurst, Miss.

R. T. GOODRUM, "uthbert has a visitor, Rev. R. T. Goodrum, a Baptist minister of Tennessee, in quest of a healthful rest, for swelling.—Reference, the Clerk of that church had church, White county, Ark.

Give us your justities, Bro. Thomas.

JOHN H. BAWHOFF, expelled from the Bluff Spring church, Savannah, Tenn., for drunkenness, adultery and for making his wife and children. He is of a very dark skin, black hair and beard, black eyes and the whites of a yellow cast, and of all erect countenance, weight about 140, and some forty years old; a keen, shrewd, bad man. Reference—W. W. Barrett, Savannah, Tenn. Baptist papers west of Mississippi will please copy.

ELD. J. B. BARRY.—Whereas this church had charges against Elder J. B. Barry for unchristian conduct; and at his request, the church calling a council from sister churches to hear him and advise the church. The council that was called, after hearing the matter, found the charges sustained, and a voted the church to withdraw fellowship from him, and demand his credentials. The church has done so. And whereas, said J. B. Barry refused to give up his credentials. Therefore, he is resolved, that we, the Oakland Baptist church, publish him, as excluded from this church, and that this preamble and resolve be sent to the *Arkansas Star* and *Pittsburgh Courier* with a request to publish the same. Done by order of the church in regular conference, Saturday before the first Sunday in June, 1881.

J. W. HARRIS, Secy.

D. A. P. KENTON, Church Clerk.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Q. 110. Would you advise Baptists to send their children to a Sunday-school in which all are required to repeat each Sunday the following, which they tell us was "The Apostles' Creed?"

A. I believe in God the Father Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth; and in Jesus Christ his only Son our Lord; who was conceived by the Holy Ghost, born of the Virgin Mary, suffered under Pontius Pilate; was crucified, dead, and buried; the third day he rose from the dead; he ascended into heaven, and sitteth on the right hand of God the Father Almighty; from thence he shall come to judge the quick and the dead.

"I believe in the Holy Ghost; the holy catholic Church, the communion of saints; the forgiveness of sins; the resurrection of the body, and the life everlasting. Amen."

How do they know it was the Apostles' Creed? Did the Apostles make it or use it?

A. We answer our brother with an emphatic No! He has been entrapped into an Episcopal or Methodist Union Sunday-school, and such a school is no place for the children of Baptists. We are responsible to God for teaching our children the whole truth, without mixture of error—and the whole gospel or doctrine of Christ is taught in no union school and never was and is never intended to be.

Touching that creed, it might be believed and repeated a thousand times a week and it would not save a soul or qualify one for baptism and the church of Christ, though the Catholics and Episcopalians so teach, and the Methodists and Campbellites will baptize any one into their communions if they will repeat or profess to believe it. Satan himself has for over 1800 years believed every word of it.

There is at least one manifest absurdity in the first sentence, and one contradiction in the second:

1. Jesus Christ certainly was not "conceived by the Holy Ghost," but by the Virgin Mary. A child is generally born of the one by whom it was conceived. We do not wish for our children to be taught that the Holy Ghost is the mother of our Savior. The last sentence of the paragraph suggests a falsehood—i. e., that there will be a general judgment of the living and the dead at the coming of Christ, which is not the fact.

2. The contradiction is the statement that there is a "holy catholic Church." If this refers to the Greek, Romish, Episcopal, or Methodist, they are not composed of even professed saints, nor general or universal—in every place. If the phrase was intended to mean one church of Christ universal and indivisible, there is no such thing in the universe, and the phrase is a contradiction, for a church means an organized assembly, both in its classical and scriptural signification—a body that can be congregated in one place or house, and can act organically. This catholic, or general, church never did or will or can assemble—is not an organized body, and, consequently no church in any conceivable sense of that term.

We do not want our children taught such absurd and contradictory notions.

The Apostles never made, used, saw, heard, or believed the above creed ascribed to them. They did not believe that the Holy Ghost conceived Christ, and they never heard of such a body as the "Holy Catholic Church."

Q. 120. If a member of any of the societies claiming to be a church of Christ offers for membership in the Baptist church, is it right that they should be questioned as to the doctrines of that church?

J. A. GRAVES.

A. We cannot conceive why the duty and propriety of this course should be called in question by any one, unless some very tender Baptist who is afraid that the very shadow of a duty which a church owes to itself may offend some members of those sects.

Suppose the applicant to be a Campbellite—how is the church to know that he possesses the first qualification for membership without questioning him as to his Christian experience and views of Christian doctrine? He may be still a Campbellite in all his sentiments, but willing, as thousands are, to live in a Baptist church. A Campbellite does not profess the first qualification for membership, and of course cannot be received. He believes himself pardoned and regenerated, to be sure, but only because he has submitted to be immersed in order to secure these blessings, and we know that he is still an unregenerated man, and belocuted in a soul-destroying error. No church can encourage him in abiding in that state of death and error. Should he profess to have been regenerated before he was immersed by the Campbellites he may be satisfied

with their act for Christian baptism, and if so, he should not be received upon it; or, if he believed it valid and yet was willing to submit to another immersion. He should be thoroughly questioned by the church as to his former erroneous views and practices, both for his sake and that of the church. So with a Methodist or Presbyterian; he may be a rank Arminian or Antinomian and believe in open communion and "three modes of baptism," but prefer immersion and possibly was immersed by one of those societies and desires to be received upon such an immersion—may still be in faith more of a Methodist or Presbyterian than a Baptist.

How is this faith of an applicant to be ascertained but by a careful questioning? It has become by far too easy a thing to get into Baptist churches, and hence the vast numbers of unregenerate and those whose religious sympathies are in reality more with Pedobaptists than with Baptists. They use their entire influence to deter their pastors and visiting brethren from preaching or even indicating a Baptist doctrine or distinctive principle for fear of hurting the feelings of their dear Pedobaptist friends. It is a small matter that the feelings of their own Baptist brethren are hurt by the unfaithfulness of their pastor to his duty and to themselves.

ITEMS.

THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST FOR 50 CENTS.

We will send this paper 1 month from date of subscription for 50 cents, and all ministers not subscribers 12 months for \$1.

P. H. GRAVES.

The Book House has disposed of one invoice of Dr. T. T. Eaton's sermon on "Adultery," and another is now ready for delivery. Orders promptly filled at ten cents per copy, \$1 per dozen.

KIND WORDS.—We notice this paper still holds on its prosperous way. We are glad to see it, and hope all our Sunday-schools will patronize our own Sunday-school paper. Orders may be sent to us.

SEND TEN CENTS TO GRAVES & MAHAFFY, Memphis, Tenn., for sample copy of EVERGREENS HYMNS, bound in cloth—just the book for country churches. Price \$1.50 per dozen, by mail, post-paid.

AN SPECIAL OFFER TO YOUNG MINISTERS AT SCHOOL.—To every young minister in any college or theological seminary in America, we will send "The New Great Iron Wheel" at cost, for the next six months—50 cents. New Methodism will confront them everywhere, and constantly, and they should prepare for it. It is a practical work on Polemics.

PUBLISHERS.

BAPTISTS TAKE NOTICE.—Joshua W. Johnson, a small, fair-complected man, large mouth and front teeth, was excluded from Sulphur Baptist church for gross disorder and unchristian conduct—stealing. He still holds his credentials, stating that he has lost them, which statement the church refuses to accept. Reference, Thomas Neal, church clerk.

Peytonburg, Ky.

Our young ministers, C. Owen and Mahon, will canvass Tipton and Lauderdale counties for the "New Great Iron Wheel" and "The Seven Dispensations" and this paper, and we trust our brethren will liberally patronize them, and thus assist them to clothe and book themselves at Jackson next session. Help them to place this paper in every family they visit, and you will help us and them and bless your family.

Will not every friend to this paper call the attention of their pastors, brethren and friends to the 50 cents offer of the publishers for four months, and the \$1.00 offer to all ministers not subscribers? This offer will be continued two months only. Will not some brother announce this at noon of the first day's session in every Association in this State and every other State and himself as ready to take and forward subscriptions? We will repay him for his kindness. We want 5000 trial subscribers.

Will not some brother at each of the Associations held in Tennessee this fall, speak a word in favor of the old TENNESSEE BAPTIST, and rally up a list of new subscribers for it? Also in the Report on Baptist Literature have attention called to the Baptist Book House, where every Baptist and religious book published in America can be seen by retail or wholesale at publisher's prices, and books and Sunday-school books and helps in full and varied supply, and cheap as the cheapest.

The series of sermons of Bro. Lamar on "Baptist Principles," which recently appeared in these columns, has been published in neat tract form of 60 pages. The tract also contains a full page picture of the Central Baptist church. We will supply them in any quantity at 10 cents per copy, or \$1.00 per dozen. Send orders to Graves & Mahaffy.

When an old man, Thurlow Weed wrote thus from Paris to his wife in New York: "Conscious of an unfitness for public service, I have ever labored to advance others rather than myself," and he also tells her how "the consciousness of a sincere desire to promote worthy men, as the representatives of right measures," was a "compensation for all the ill will" his "efforts had caused." If a man such as Thurlow Weed was, found his declining years brightened by having helped aspiring politicians to positions of influence, why may not one find delight in helping promising, though poor, young men who feel called of God to preach the Gospel to obtain an education that will the better fit them for this high and holy calling? What a sweet and holy gratification it must be when we come to lay the head upon our dying pillow, the thought that there are those preaching the Gospel, leading the lost to Christ and blessing the world who have been encouraged and the better enabled to do so by our poor endeavors. Will not this be of itself an all sufficient reward? But to hear the Master say, "Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these my brethren."

Send 50 cents and have this paper sent to you, brother or sister, for four months.

BOOK-TABLE.

FISHER'S HALL; or, The power of Influence. By Dorothy Holroyd. Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society. Price, \$1.00.

In this pleasant story, the influence exerted by a bright, true-hearted Christian girl is well portrayed. It is not the influence of direct exhortation or instruction, but that of a cheerful, consistent Christian life. By this means, a young invalid is led to new views of life and to humble trust in the Saviour. The scene is laid chiefly in Virginia. The characters are well drawn, and this little volume cannot fail to do good. We hope it will find place in every Baptist Sunday-school Library. It is printed and bound in very attractive style, and has several engravings.

Sent by mail postpaid on receipt of \$1.00.

GRAVES & MAHAFFY, Memphis, Tenn.

SAMPLE PAPERS.

We will send THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST until 1st of January, 4 months, to all non-subscribers, for 50 cents. We want them to "sample it." Ministers not subscribers 12 months for \$1.

PUBLISHERS.

DENOMINATIONAL SERMONS—FIRST VOLUME.

BY J. R. GRAVES.

This first volume contains the six following Denominational Sermons:

1. The Act of Baptism.
2. The Symbolism of Baptism.
3. The Relation of Baptism to Salvation.
4. The Lord's Supper a Church Ordinance.
5. What is it to Eat and Drink Unworthily?
6. Conscience: What is it?

These six sermons are beautifully bound in one volume of 331 pages, and sold at only 75 cents, post-paid.

The publishers believing that there are thousands who would be pleased to have all the great Denominational Sermons preached by the author, put up in nice style for their libraries have brought out this first volume, which will be followed by a second volume, if the enterprise is a success. Send for a copy for your library. Address Baptist Book House, Memphis, Tenn.

RELIGIOUS NEWS.

TENNESSEE.—Bro. E. C. Faulkner sends the following from Ripley, Aug. 28th: "Have just closed a meeting with Woodhewer church. Four additions, and others to follow. Bro. Wise, of Covington, was with us and preached for us five days of the meeting. His sermons were instructive and heart-searching. We all fell in love with him. Bro. Jas. Mahan, one of the University boys, was with us and made many friends by his prudence and efficient labors."—The following cheering news from Bro. C. P. Malone, Denmark: "We began on the first Sunday in August a serial meeting at Independence church, Madison county, and continued until the second Sunday, holding two services daily. It was manifest right at the beginning that the Lord was with his people. There were twelve conversions, and twenty-one were added to the church, seventeen by baptism, two by letter and two by restoration. The church was greatly revived, strengthened and united. Congregations large and the most attentive I ever saw. On Wednesday night I asked 'all who feel yourselves sinners and need salvation and desire the prayers of the people of God meet me here in the aisle and give me your hand,' and there at least seventy-five men and women, many of them heads of families, gave their hands. Among those baptized was my daughter, a precious child thirteen years old. I baptized an entire household—mother, son and two daughters. We have in the church five households, and no infants. There was one from the Campbellites and one from the Methodists."—Bro. Enoch Windes writes from Somerville, Aug. 28th: "Mt. Moriah church began a meeting on the third Sunday and continued it ten days and nights. Congregations were large. People never gave better attention to the preaching of the gospel. Ten were baptized. Others are approving, and it is hoped that others still will join at the next regular meeting. I intended to close the meeting by taking a collection for missions, but a rainy evening cut off so much of our last congregation that I concluded to wait for a more favorable occasion."—Bro. J. T. Warren, Adamsville, Aug. 18th, writes: "We have just closed a good meeting at our church. We had a feast at every service. Bro. Cochrane, our beloved pastor, did the most of the preaching. He is a forcible speaker and his preaching was accompanied with the Spirit. The church is alive and sinners were brought to repentance. Result: ten baptisms, five approved for restoration; and we trust there are many to follow soon. Bro. Barnett, from Savannah, was in attendance one day and night; he is a good preacher."—As the result of a meeting at Round Lick three were baptized and taken into fellowship. The church has doubled the pastor's salary and called him for half of his time. Shop Springs church will doubtless engage him for the other half, so Bro. Easton will have a pleasant field. Some of the friends of the Rev. James Waters, who had been his associates in the State Mission Board of the Convention, recently presented him with a very elegant gold-headed cane bearing a suitable inscription, as a token of their warm personal regard and high appreciation of the fidelity and zeal with which he had filled the position of Missionary Secretary. There has been a revival at Salem church, near Liberty, with seven additions to the church.

MISSISSIPPI.—Bro. J. J. Andrews, Guntown, Aug. 18th, writes: "I have just closed some very interesting meetings with the Pleasant Hill and Bogalusa churches. I had to leave on account of a bad chill which lasted several hours. I failed to carry on a meeting at Friendship. I am improving in health very fast, and if no backset, will be able for the field again soon."—Bro. W. T. Slocomb, Early Grove, August 21st, sends the following: "We have recently had one of the best meetings at our church (Alexandria) that I think it has ever been my good fortune to attend. Our pastor, Bro. Floyd, was assisted five days by young Bro. E. L. Wesson, who did most of the preaching, and proved himself to be a workman that need not be ashamed." We all fell in love with him. Our dear old pastor, Bro. Jatenian, and Elds. Hurdle and Morris all did excellent work; in fact some of the lay brethren became preachers and proclaimed in their own tongue the 'wonderful works of God.' There were about 20 conversions; eleven joined the church by experience and baptism, one a Methodist for a number of years, and two or three others who had been Methodists.

Others are expected to join soon."—From Harrison, August 18th, Bro. D. W. Patterson writes the following: "A good meeting has just closed with our church here. It began under very unfavorable circumstances. There was a bad feeling in the place, but the Lord overruled it all for good and gave us a glorious revival long to be remembered. There were 30 additions to the church—five by letter and twenty-five who followed Christ by baptism; two others approved for baptism. The meeting lasted fifteen days. Our beloved pastor, Bro. H. A. Rockett, did all the preaching except two or three sermons by Brethren Rowe and Bryant. The congregations were large and orderly. It was probably the best meeting ever held here. We are going to do our best to secure the services of Bro. Rockett twice a month for another year. After the first two or three sermons Bro. Rockett became seriously affected with a cold, so much so we thought he would be unable to continue his labors, but fortunately he secured the loan of Bro. Gowen's brace, which acted like a charm. At the close I heard him say he would not do without one for \$100. Upon an intimation of this our good sisters, who are always foremost in every good work, soon secured enough money to purchase one for our good brother."

FLORIDA.—Bro. Isaac McGee, Chiseco, writes as follows: "Rev. R. E. Bell has resigned the care of the church at Emmaus and is preaching two Sundays in each month at Fort Dale City. This is a growing place on the survey of a railroad that will soon be finished, thus connecting South Florida with the outside world by 'iron ties.' At the above named point arrangements have been about completed for the erection of a large, commodious building such as will be an ornament to the place and reflect credit on the Baptists. The church at Emmaus has called Bro. Caden, and he will probably accept. Bro. Spevey is preaching at the Sweetwater schoolhouse, near here; congregations increasing, attention good; a church much needed; hope to organize soon."

KENTUCKY.—Bro. W. H. McRidley writes from Cadiz, July 24th: "I left Bardonia May 21st, and have been engaged in a meeting: fifty-six accessions to the church, in the meantime we are building a new house of worship 60x10 feet. We have the house under roof and expect no preventing Providence, to have it ready for occupancy the last of September: it will cost between \$1800 and \$2000. We have raised cash, since accepting the pastorate, \$1000. I think the spiritual outlook here is very encouraging and we expect, through the help of the Lord, to make this place a Baptist stronghold hitherto. Campbellism and Methodism have been flourishing here. There are 600 or 700 Baptists in this community."

TEXAS.—Bro. T. H. Sturges sends the following from Springtown, August 20: "I have held a series of meetings at Walnut Creek and New Hope Baptist churches in this (Parker) county, which resulted in forty-six additions to the Walnut Creek church and nineteen to the New Hope church. These have been by far the most successful meetings I have witnessed in the State. I have been preaching to both of those churches for one year. The New Hope church wants my services four days in the month for the next year. I am in one of the most fruitful fields of labor I have ever occupied. Texas is certainly a Baptist State. Scarcity of water don't prevent the growth of the Baptists."—Two additions recently to the Tyler church. Macedonia church recently enjoyed a good meeting—one by letter, six by baptism, and one awaiting baptism. A meeting at Jasper resulted in eight accessions—five by baptism, two by letter, one by restoration.—The Texas Baptist Herald has the following: "Dr. J. D. Murphy has gone to Fort Scott, Kansas, and assumed the pastorate of the church there, to which he was unexpectedly and unanimously called. We regret to lose him, but congratulate the Baptists of Fort Scott."—Rev. B. H. Beall, missionary, reports an interesting meeting at Dickens, and while there were no conversions, yet the people were as attentive and orderly on the frontier as elsewhere. A small church was organized which, it is hoped, will be a light in the whole country. A church has been organized at Lyons, on the G. & S. F. railroad, with ten members. Afterwards two were added by baptism.

ARKANSAS.—Bro. J. R. Vick, Carnett, July 30, writes: "I have just closed a good meeting at Beth-

lehem church; baptized 10 and 4 restored, and the brethren greatly revived. I have four churches and my brethren are gradually falling into the line of church communion."—Bro. W. N. Womack recently closed a ten-days' meeting at Pleasant Hill church, Logan county, which resulted in six conversions, four of whom were baptized. A new church has been organized near St. Charles which will be known as "Bellview Baptist church." A series of meetings was held with Salem church, commencing on Aug. 10th and ending Aug. 17th, which resulted in eleven accessions to the church—ten by baptism and one by letter.—The last issue of the Evangel contains the "Valedictory" of Dr. A. S. Worrell, associate editor, in which he bids the readers of the Evangel "farewell." He will soon remove to Alabama. Touching his withdrawal, the editor says: "Arkansas sustains a very heavy loss in the removal of Dr. Worrell. A scholarly, Christian gentleman is no small acquisition to a State, especially to one in so great need of such men. Our editorial relations have been most pleasant and our best wishes and prayers follow our brother to his home in Alabama."

GEORGIA.—Five additions to Maysville church. Nineteen accessions to Lumpkin church up to August 21st.—A new church will be organized at Chiversville, September 7th, with 35 or 40 members, and a house of worship built at an early day.—Antioch church, Troup county, has built a nice pool near the house of worship.—Thirty-one additions to Antioch church, Webster county, 26 by baptism. The wife of Rev. M. Owensby, Heard county, died August 10.

ALABAMA.—Seven baptisms at Lay Minette; two of the candidates reared as Romanists.—Six recent accessions at Rehoboth, Wilson county.—Nine additions to the church at Fairview and nine at Union, in Greasy county.—The church at Garland, although without a pastor, has had five additions, and is building a new house of worship.

MISCELLANEOUS.—The strongest church in Kansas is at Atchison, about 500 members. Rev. Wm. Smothers is pastor; their church property is worth over \$20,000. The Second church, Topeka, is also strong.—Rev. A. S. Merrifield, general missionary for Kansas, says that thirteen pastors have lately resigned in the State. This leaves many vacant fields.—The Colored Baptist General Association of Kansas recommend that all churches in the Association will send collections to the Missionary Union for the Congo Mission.—Says the Index: "Our distinguished missionary at Saltillo, Mexico, Rev. W. D. Powell, was induced, years ago, by Prof. G. W. Jarman to enter Union University, Murfreesboro, Tenn., and pay his way by hauling wood on Saturdays.—A young girl, dying, expressed the wish that her father would give to missions yearly as much as it cost to keep her. Think of this, parents."

This paper from September 1st to January 1st for 50 cents.

THE YOUNG MINISTERS' FUND OF "THE TENNESSEE BAPTIST" FOR 1881-5.

WANTED \$400
Relying upon the assistance of the patrons of this paper, we have given our personal obligation to be responsible for the board of three young ministers the present year, two at Jackson and one at Carson College. The least sum that will be sufficient to pay the board, lights, and washing of these three will be \$400. The young ministers have been selected, and they are each devotedly pious, and notably promising men. There will be over forty this year applying for help in part, or altogether, and we cannot propose to do less than to guarantee the expenses of three believing that among the thousands of our patrons, God will put it in the hearts of four hundred to help us a little, and divide up the sum. We believe that all the young ministers who have been aided by this fund, who are settled pastors, will contribute annually to this fund. Frank DeCourcy, who graduated last June, promises \$5 yearly, and if possible monthly, until he has paid back all interest. This is able, and should encourage all to contribute. In a few years this Baptist fund alone will keep ten young ministers at school.

P. D.

Mrs. J. H. Graves, \$1; Miss Nora H. Graves, \$1; Miss Lela M. Graves, \$1; Miss Lou T. Graves, \$1; Miss Little Myrtle Graves, \$1; J. H. Graves, Jr., \$1; George H. Graves, \$1; D. D. Graves, \$1; Willie C. Graves, \$1; Master Calvin Z. Graves, \$1; Mrs. W. P. Marks, \$1; Frank DeCourcy, \$5; A. L. Farran, Texas, \$100; B. F. McCreary, La., \$1.00.
